# S1 Description & experimental support for the modules of $EMT\_Mechanosensing.$

#### Table S1a: PhysEnv module

Target Node	Node Gate		
	Node Type	Node Descrip	tion Link Description
	ынк туре	Input Node	Link Description
CellDensity _High	CellDensit	$y_High = Cel$	lDensity_High
	Env	The <i>CellDens</i> ment with hig is self-sustaining	<i>ity_High</i> node in our model represents an extracellular environ- th enough cell density to block cell spreading. This input node ing in the absence of <i>in silico</i> perturbation.
	Env	CellDensity _High	$CellDensity\_High$ is self-sustaining in the absence of <i>in silico</i> perturbation.
CellDensity _Low	CellDensit	$y\_Low = Cell$	Density_Low or CellDensity_High
	Env	The <i>CellDen</i> comparable t adhesions with	sity_Low node represents an environment with cell density o the edge of a monolayer, where cells can maintain strong n each other but are also able to spread and polarize horizontally.
	$_{\text{Env}}$	CellDensity _High	$CellDensity\_Low$ is automatically ON at very high cell density.
	$\leftarrow \\ Env$	$\_Low$	$CellDensity\_Low$ is self-sustaining in the absence of <i>in silico</i> perturbation.
ECM	$\mathbf{ECM} = \mathbf{EC}$	CM or Stiff_E0	CM
	Env	The <i>ECM</i> inp that does not but does supp is self-sustain and overridde	put node represents access to a very soft extracellular matrix support cell spreading or stress fiber formation ( $< 0.5$ kPa), ort anchorage-dependent survival signaling [1]. This input node ing in the absence of a stiff $ECM$ (or in silico perturbation), n to an ON state otherwise by $Stiff\_ECM$ .
	$\leftarrow \\ Env$	$Stiff\_ECM$	ECM is automatically ON when cells have access to stiff $ECM.$
	$\leftarrow \\ Env$	ECM	ECM is self-sustaining in the absence of <i>in silico</i> perturbation.
$Stiff\_ECM$	Stiff_ECM	$I = Stiff_ECN$	Λ
	Env	The <i>Sfiff_EC</i> matrix that p no limitation node is self-su	CM input node represents access to a very stiff extracellular romotes / supports stress fiber formation sufficiently to place on a cell's capacity to proliferate ( > 100 kPa) [1]. This input istaining in the absence of <i>in silico</i> perturbation.
	$\leftarrow Env$	Stiff_ECM	$S\!f\!i\!f\!f\_ECM$ is self-sustaining in the absence of $in\ silico$ perturbation.

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
GF	$\mathbf{GF} = \mathbf{GF}$ or	r <b>GF_High</b>	
	Env	The $GF$ nod growth factor node is self-su	e represents an extracellular environment with low levels of s capable of sustaining survival signaling. Thus, the $GF$ input ustaining in the absence of in silico perturbation.
	Env	$\operatorname{GF}$	The $GF$ input node is self-sustaining in the absence of in silico perturbation.
	$\leftarrow {\rm Env}$	GF_High	The $GF$ node represents an extracellular environment with low levels of growth factors capable of sustaining survival signaling. Thus, this node is ON in high growth factor as well.
GF_High	$\mathbf{GF}_{\mathbf{High}} =$	$= \mathbf{GF}_{\mathbf{High}}$	
	Env	The $GF_{High}$ restriction is a saturating level absence of $in$	node in our model represents an extracellular environment with vels of growth factors; this input node is self-sustaining in the <i>silico</i> perturbation.
	Env	GF_High	The $GF_{High}$ input node is self-sustaining in the absence of <i>in silico</i> perturbation.

# Table S1b: GrowthFactor\_Env module

# Table S1c: GF\_Basal\_MAPK module

Target Node	Node Gate	Node Descrip	tion
	Link Type	Input Node	Link Description
	шик турс	input Nouc	
RTK	$\mathbf{RTK} = (\mathbf{not}$	t $\mathbf{CAD}$ ) and (G	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{High}}$ or $\mathbf{GF}$ )
	Rec	The ON state activation (re of <i>CAD</i> and environment	of the $RTK$ node in our model represents basal growth receptor quired to keep a normal cell alive). Thus it requires the absence at least low growth levels of growth factors in the extracellular [2].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Ligand}$	GF	The ON state of the $RTK$ node in our model represents basal growth receptor activation by low / medium growth factor availability, encoded by the $GF$ node (required to keep a normal cell alive).
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Ligand}$	GF_High Similarly, high growth factor availability also keeps $RTK$ on.	
	⊢ Per	CAD	Caspase-activated DNase $(CAD)$ inhibition of receptor tyro- sine kinases ensures that apoptotic cells no longer maintain even basal levels of growth signaling.
Shc	$\mathbf{Shc} = (\mathbf{RTI})$	K and GF_Hig	$(\mathbf{FAK} \text{ or } \mathbf{Src})$

	Adap	Shc is ON wh factors (capab active Src kin of integrin sig parallel links	then $RTKs$ are activated by high levels of extracellular growth ble of driving proliferation) [3], and its recruitment is aided by ase [4] or focal adhesion kinase $(FAK)$ [4]. The two mediators gnaling appears to be able to act independently, forming two between integrin signaling and full $RTK$ activation [4].
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	GF_High	The ON state of $Shc$ in our model encode the change from basal $Shc$ recruitment to weakly stimulated $RTKs$ to the level of recruitment seen in high growth factor environments, capable of mediating $Ras$ activation.
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	RTK	Shc proteins are adaptors that binds to phosphor-tyrosine motifs, facilitating their recruitment to activated receptors such as receptor tyrosine kinases $RTKs$ [3].
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	FAK	FAK activated at sites of integrin-ECM attachments directly phosphorylates $Shc$ Tyr-317, promoting its ability to assemble MAPK-inducing signaling scaffolds, including $Grb2$ binding [4].
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Src	c-Src recruited to and activated by integrin-ECM attachments directly phosphorylate Shc, promoting its ability to assemble MAPK-inducing signaling scaffolds, including Grb2 binding [4].
Grb2	$\mathbf{Grb2} = \mathbf{RT}$	${f K}$ and ${f Shc}$	
	Adap	<i>Grb2</i> is recru recruitment of	ited to $RTKs$ receptors upon ligand binding and subsequent f $Shc$ adaptors [5, 6].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Compl}$	RTK	The SH2 domain of $Grb2$ binds to a phosphotyrosine residue in the activated $RTK$ , where it functions as an adaptor protein [5].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Compl}$	Shc	Shc proteins phosphorylated by tyrosine kinases represent binding sites for $Grb2$ , aiding its recruitment to active RTKs [3].
SOS	$\mathbf{SOS} = \mathbf{Grb}$	2	
	GEF	RTK-bound $C$ that converts	Grb2 recruits $SOS$ , a guanine nucleotide–exchange protein (GEF) inactive $Ras$ to its active GTP-bound form [5].
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Grb2	Grb2 recruits $SOS$ to activated $RTKs$ [5].
Ras	$Ras = ((O N_bcatenin))$	<b>Grb2</b> and <b>SOS</b> <b>n_H</b> )	) and $\mathbf{Src})$ and $((\mathbf{IQGAP1\_LeadingE} \text{ or } (\operatorname{not} \mathbf{Merlin}))$ or

GTPa	Ras activation requires the GEF activity of SOS and the RTK-linked (active) adaptor protein Grb2 [5] and Src [7, 8]. In addition to aiding sustained Ras/Raf-1 signaling, Src may also physically link IQGAP1 to RTKs such as VEGFR2 [9]. IQGAP1, in turn, serves a scaffold for MAPK and PI3K signaling [10], leading us to link its activation at the leading edge. In contrast, Merlin blocks Ras activation at sites that link focal adhesions and actin filaments to MAPK signaling [11]. Here we assume that concentrated IQGAP1 at the leading edge can override remaining Merlin activity in the rest of the cell. Alternatively, high levels of $\beta$ -catenin can also sustain Ras by protecting it from lysosomal degradation [12].		
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Grb2	RTK-bound $Grb2$ is required to recruits $SOS$ , the GEF responsible for converting inactive $Ras$ to its GTP-bound active form [5].	
$\leftarrow \\ \text{GEF}$	SOS	SOS is a GEF that is recruited to activate $Ras$ near ligand- bound, active $RTKs$ [5].	
← ComplProc	Src	Cellular Src $(c-Src)$ is required for mitogenesis initiated by multiple growth factor receptors, including epidermal growth factor $(EGF)$ , platelet-derived growth factor $(PDGF)$ , colony stimulating factor-1 $(CSF-1)$ , and basic fibroblast growth factor $(bFGF)$ [7]. In addition to aiding the formation of Shc/Grb2/SOS/Ras/Raf-1 cascade, <i>Src</i> may also increase the rate of receptor internalization and aid sustained <i>MAPK</i> signaling by internalized <i>Ras</i> on endosomes and Golgi [7, 8].	
$\leftarrow$ ComplProc	Merlin	Merlin uncouples Ras from growth factor signals by counter- acting the ERM (ezrin, radixin, moesin)–dependent activation of Ras, which aids Grb2, SOS, Ras complex formation linked to filamentous actin [11].	
← Compl	IQGAP1 _LeadingE	IQGAP1 acts as a scaffold for the $MAPK$ cascade, binding directly to $B$ -Raf, $MEK$ , and $ERK$ and regulating their activation [13]. The $IQGAP1$ -Leading- $E$ node in our model specifically links the availability of active $IQGAP1$ recruited to lamellipodia and enhanced $MAPK / AKT$ signaling.	
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	N_bcatenin _H	High levels of $\beta$ -catenin protect Ras from lysosomal degrada- tion [12]. Overall, $\beta$ -catenin overexpression / silencing can activate / block ERK in a MEK-dependent way, like via Ras/Raf [14].	
$\mathbf{RAF} = ((\mathrm{no}$	t ${f Casp3})$ and ${f I}$	$\mathbf{Ras}) \text{ and } (\mathrm{not}  \mathbf{SPRY2})$	
К	Raf is active active Raf-1 is translocates is explicitly), or are necessary	in response to $Ras$ activity in the absence of $Caspase 3$ . As is continuously dephosphorylated and bound by $14$ - $3$ - $3$ , which it to the cytoplasm from the plasma membrane (not modeled agoing $Ras$ activity [15] and lack of $SPRY2$ inhibition [16, 17] to keep $Raf$ ON.	
← P	Ras	Active <i>Ras</i> phosphorylates <i>Raf</i> , enhancing its kinase activity [15].	
⊢	SPRV2	Sprouty2 $(SPRY2)$ blocks Raf activity and downstream	

⊢ SPRY2 IBind

RAF

MAPK signaling [16, 17].

	$\vdash$ Lysis	Casp3	Raf-1 is cleaved and inhibited by Caspase 3 [18].
MEK	MEK = RA	AF	
	К	Raf phosphor	ylates and activates the $MEK$ kinase [15].
	← P	RAF	$Raf$ phosphorylates and activates the $M\!E\!K$ kinase [15].
ERK	$\mathbf{ERK} = (\mathbf{M}$	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{K}$ and (not $\mathbf{B}$	$\mathbf{IK})) \text{ and } (\mathbf{FocalAdhesions} \text{ or } \mathbf{N\_bcatenin\_H})$
	K	The $ERK$ kin to translocate	has is active when phoisphotylated by $MEK$ [15] and allowed to the nucleus in the absence of $BIK$ [19].
	← P	MEK	MEK phosphorylates and activates the $ERK$ kinase [15].
	⊢ IBind	BIK	BIK binds to active, phosphorylated $ERK1/2$ and suppresses its nuclear translocation [19].
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	FocalAdhesion	Focal adhesions recruit the MAPK scaffolding protein GIT1 and locally potentiate $ERK1/2$ activation [20].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	N_bcatenin _H	$\beta\text{-}catenin$ over expression / silencing can activate / block $ERK$ in a MEK-dependent way [14].
mTORC2	mTORC2	$= \mathbf{PIP3} \text{ or } (\text{not}$	<b>S6K</b> )
	PC	Our model as levels of $PI3$ , the absence of increase $mTC$	ssumes that $mTORC2$ is active in quiescent cells with basal $K$ activity leading to basal $PIP3$ generation. Alternatively, f high growth factor-stimulated $mTORC1$ and $S6K1$ can also $DRC2$ activity.
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{PBind}$	PIP3	PtdIns $(3,4,5)$ P3 ( <i>PIP3</i> ), interacts with the <i>mTORC2</i> component <i>Sin1</i> to release its inhibition on the <i>mTOR</i> kinase domain. Thus, <i>PIP3</i> is necessary for <i>mTORC2</i> activation [21].
	⊢ P	S6K	Rictor, a component of the $mTORC2$ complex, undergoes $S6K1$ -mediated phosphorylation at T1135, dampening $mTORC2$ -dependent phosphorylation of $Akt$ [22, 23].
PI3K	$\mathbf{PI3K} = (\mathbf{F}A)$	$\mathbf{AK}  ext{ or } \mathbf{Src}$ ) and	$(\mathbf{Ras} \text{ or } \mathbf{RTK})$
	К	In our model, tive <i>Ras</i> [24, 2 dependent sig <i>PTEN</i> activit	basal $PI3K$ activity can be maintained by active $RTKs$ [2], ac- 25]. In addition, survival signalling via $PI3K$ requires anchorage- nals via active $FAK$ [26] or via $Src$ -mediated blocking of basal by (not modeled explicitly) [27].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{BLoc}$	RTK	Active $RTKs$ recruit $PI3K$ to the signaling complex they nucleate, where $PI3K$ catalyzes the production of PtdIns $(3,4,5)$ P3 (PIP3) [2].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	Ras	<i>Ras</i> binds the catalytic subunit of <i>PI3K</i> and <i>Ras</i> knockdown / over expression decreases /increases the <i>PI3K</i> -dependent generation of PIP3 [24, 25].

	$\leftarrow \\ \text{PLoc}$	FAK	Attachment to the ECM activates $FAK$ kinase, which pro- motes anchorage-dependent survival signaling via $PI3K / AKT$ [26].
	← P	$\operatorname{Src}$	Src kinases regulate $PI3K$ signaling cascade by altering the function of the $PTEN$ tumor suppressor via inhibitory phosphorylation [27].
PIP3	$\mathbf{PIP3} = \mathbf{PI3}$	3K_H or PI3K	
	Met	In our model,	PIP3 is ON as a result of basal or high $PI3K$ activity.
	$\leftarrow \\ Cat$	PI3K	Active $PI3K$ recruited to the membrane catalyzes the production of membrane-bound $PtdIns(3,4,5)P3$ (PIP3) from $PtdIns(4,5)P2$ (PIP2) [2].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Cat}$	PI3K_H	Active $PI3K$ recruited to the membrane catalyzes the production of membrane-bound $PtdIns(3,4,5)P3$ (PIP3) from $PtdIns(4,5)P2$ (PIP2) [2].
PDK1	PDK1 = P2	I3K and PIP3	
	К	<i>PDK1</i> enzym [28].	ne activation requires active (at least basal) $PI3K$ and $PIP3$
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	PI3K	The $PDK1$ kinase is recruited to the plasma membrane by $PIP3$ at the sites of active $PI3K$ activity [28].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	PIP3	The $PDK1$ kinase is recruited to the plasma membrane by $PIP3$ at the sites of active $PI3K$ activity [28].
AKT_B	$\mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{B}} =$	((not Casp3) a	nd $\mathbf{PIP3}$ ) and ( $\mathbf{PDK1}$ or $\mathbf{mTORC2}$ )
	К	Basal AKT1 availability of or mTORC2. phosphorylati	activity in our model requires the absence of Caspase 3, the f at least basal levels of $PIP3$ , and phosphorylation by $PDK1$ In contrast, full mitogen-stimulated $AKT1$ activation requires on by both (see $AKT_H$ ) [28].
	← P	mTORC2	Maximal activation of $AKT1$ requires phosphorylation of S473 by $mTORC2$ [28].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	PIP3	PIP3 recruits $AKT1$ to the plasma membrane and $PIP3$ binding changes the conformation of $AKT1$ such that it becomes accessible for T308 phosphorylation by $PDK1$ [28].
	← P	PDK1	Membrane-recruited $PDK1$ phosphorylates $AKT1$ at T308, a critical step in its activation [28].
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	AKT1 is cleaved and inhibited by Caspase 3 [18].

# Table S1d: GF\_PI3K module

Table	S1d:	$\mathbf{GF}$	PI3K	module
		_	-	

	Link Type	Input Node	Link Description
p110_H	$\mathbf{p110}\mathbf{H} =$ (not <b>Nedd4</b> ]	= <b>YAP</b> and $(L))))$	$((FoxO3 \text{ and } (notNedd4L)) \text{ or } (p110_H \text{ and } (FoxO3 \text{ or } $
	Prot	As $YAP$ is a t is low in high we assume that the cyclic dy: that high $p11$ growth factor- be maintained	ranscriptional inducer of $p110$ subunits [29] and their expression cell density areas where $YAP$ activity is suppressed [30], here at high $p110$ expression requires active $YAP$ . In order to capture namics of $p110$ protein expression, we make the assumption 0 protein levels can be induced by $FoxO3$ in the absence of the activated $Nedd4L$ ubiquitin ligase. Once present, high $p110$ can d by $FoxO3$ transcription, or the absence of activated $Nedd4L$ .
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	p110_H	Our model assumes that maintaining high $p110$ levels is easier than driving the re-accumulation of the protein following its rapid destruction.
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	FoxO3	FoxO3 is a direct inducer $p110\alpha$ (PIK3CA), the catalytic subunit of PI3K [31].
	⊢ Ubiq	Nedd4L	$p110\alpha$ ( <i>PIK3CA</i> ) is polyubiquitinated by the E3 ligase <i>Nedd4L</i> , leading to its proteasomal degradation. Both free $p110\alpha$ and the regulatory subunit-bound protein is subject to ubiquitina- tion by <i>Nedd4L</i> [32].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	YAP	YAP is a transcriptional inducer of both catalytic $p110$ sub- units of $PI3K$ , $p110a$ and $p110b$ ; $p110-H$ albeit its effect on p110a expression requires raising $p110b$ levels first. Moreover, YAP knockdown leads to downregulation of both subunits [29].
PI3K_H	$\mathbf{PI3K}_{\mathbf{H}} =$	((((not <b>PTEN</b>	$(\mathbf{c})$ and $\mathbf{p110}$ H) and $\mathbf{RTK}$ ) and $\mathbf{PI3K}$ ) and $\mathbf{Ras}$
	К	Full, peak-leve <i>PI3K</i> activat our model rep inducing (high conditions. I required for p	el activation of $PI3K$ requires high levels of $p110$ protein, basal ion, active $Ras$ , and active $RTKs$ . As the ON-state of $Ras$ in presents strong $Ras$ activation in the presence of proliferation- h) growth factors, $PI3K_H$ activation can only occur in these in addition, a reduction of cytoplasmic $PTEN$ levels is also peak $PI3K$ activity.
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	RTK	High levels of $PI3K$ activation only occur at growth factor- bound $RTK$ s, which recruit and activate $PI3K$ at the plasma membrane [28].
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	Ras	Ras binds the catalytic subunit of $PI3K$ and Ras knockdown / over expression decreases /increases the $PI3K$ -dependent generation of PIP3 [24, 25].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	PI3K	In our model, high $PI3K$ activation is contingent on the ON-state of the basal $PI3K$ node.
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	p110_H	High levels of $PI3K$ activity in response to strong growth factor stimulation only occur in cells that express high levels of $p110$ protein [30].
	⊢ DP	PTEN_c	Cytoplasmic <i>PTEN</i> regulates <i>PI3K</i> signaling by dephosphorylating its lipid signaling intermediate <i>PIP3</i> [33].

#### Table S1d: GF\_PI3K module

# $AKT_H \qquad \begin{array}{l} AKT_H = (((((AKT_B \text{ and } p110_H) \text{ and } PI3K_H) \text{ and } PIP3) \text{ and } PDK1) \text{ and } mTORC2) \text{ and } (Ras \text{ or } PAK1) \end{array}$

In contact to basal AKT1, high AKT1 activity in our model requires basal AKT1 ( $AKT\_B$ ), the ongoing presence of high p110 protein levels along with active  $PI3K\_H$  and PIP3. In addition this maximal AKT1 activation requires phosphorylation by both PDK1 and mTORC2, , as well as either active Ras [28] or PAK1 [34].

$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	PIP3	PIP3 recruits $AKT1$ to the plasma membrane and $PIP3$ binding changes the conformation of $AKT1$ such that it becomes accessible for T308 phosphorylation by $PDK1$ [28].
← P	PDK1	Membrane-recruited $PDK1$ phosphorylates $AKT1$ at T308, a critical step in its activation [28].
$\leftarrow$ Per	AKT_B	In our model, high $AKT1$ activation is contingent on the ON-state of basal $AKT1$ $(AKT_B)$ .
← P	p110_H	Ongoing high $p110$ availability and $PI3K_H$ activity are required to induce maximal activation of $AKT_H$ [28].
← P	PI3K_H	Ongoing high $p110$ availability and $PI3K_H$ activity are required to induce maximal activation of $AKT_H$ [28].
← P	mTORC2	Maximal activation of $AKT1$ requires phosphorylation of S473 by $mTORC2$ [28].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	Ras	Ras binding to the catalytic subunit of $PI3K$ is required for its full potency in $PIP3$ generation [24, 25]. Active Ras is thus required for inducing peak $AKT_H$ activity.
← P	PAK1	PAK1 interacts with and directly phosphorylates $AKT1$ [35]. In addition, $PAK1$ provides a scaffold to facilitate $Akt$ stimulation by $PDK1$ and to aid $AKT$ 's membrane recruitment [34].

FoxO3

TF

Κ

 $FoxO3 = (not((AKT_B \text{ or } AKT_H) \text{ or } ERK)) \text{ or } ((not(AKT_H \text{ and } (((Plk1 \text{ or } Plk1 H) \text{ or } AKT B) \text{ or } ERK))) \text{ and } (not((Plk1 \text{ and } Plk1 H) \text{ and } ERK)))$ 

In order to account for all the influences on FoxO3 activity, we used the following logic. In the absence of basal or high AKT1 as well as ERK, FoxO3 remains active. In addition, FoxO3 can overcame peak  $(AKT_H)$  activation only if no other inhibitor is present and  $AKT_B$  is OFF (indicating that AKT1 levels are falling). Finally, the joint activity of ERK and Plk1 can also block FoxO3.

⊢ P	ERK	ERK downregulates <i>FoxO3</i> transcriptional activity by phos- phorylating it at three Serines, inducing its <i>MDM2</i> -mediated ubiquitination and degradation [36].
⊢ PLoc	AKT_B	AKT1 mediates the translocation of the FoxO3 our of the nucleus through direct phosphorylation of three conserved residues. These events create a recognition site for 14-3-3 family proteins, which export and sequester FoxO3 in the cytosol [28].

#### Table S1d: GF\_PI3K module

⊢ PLoc	AKT_H	AKT1 mediates the translocation of the FoxO3 our of the nucleus through direct phosphorylation of three conserved residues. These events create a recognition site for 14-3-3 family proteins, which export and sequester FoxO3 in the cytosol [28].
⊢ PLoc	Plk1	<i>Plk1</i> binds <i>FoxO3</i> , induces its translocation to the cytosol, phosphorylates it and suppresses its activity through most of the the cell cycle, but most significantly during G2 and M [37].
⊢ PLoc	Plk1_H	<i>Plk1</i> binds <i>FoxO3</i> , induces its translocation to the cytosol, phosphorylates it and suppresses its activity through most of the the cell cycle, but most significantly during G2 and M [37].

Peak activation of  $PLC\gamma$  requires active an RTK receptor node bound by active Grb2, as well as high PI3K activity (including high p110 availability and the presence of PIP3).

$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	GF_High	We assume that high levels of $RTK$ activity is required for tyrosine phosphorylation of $PLC\gamma$ .
← P	RTK	The SH2 domains of $PLC\gamma$ binds to active $RTKs$ at tyrosine autophosphorylation sites, leading to tyrosine phosphorylation of $PLC\gamma$ and stimulation its enzymatic activity [38, 39].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	Grb2	$RTK$ tyrosine autophosphorylation induces $PLC\gamma$ binding to the $Grb2$ adaptor protein and likely aids the translocation of $PLC\gamma$ to the plasma membrane [40].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	PIP3	Membrane targeting of $PLC\gamma$ to growth receptor stimulation is mediated by $PIP3$ binding of $PLC\gamma$ [41, 42].
← P	p110_H	Membrane targeting of $PLC\gamma$ to growth receptor stimulation requires $PI3K$ activity and $PIP3$ generation near growth receptors [41]. Thus, peak $PLC\gamma$ activity in our model requires high $p110$ protein expression [42].
← P	PI3K_H	In addition to high $p110$ protein levels, high $PI3K$ activation is also required to fully activate $PLC\gamma$ [41, 42].

IP3

#### IP3 = PLCgamma

Met

Enz

Membrane-bound, active  $PLC\gamma$  is responsible for converting phosphatidylinositol(4,5)P2 (*PIP2*) to the second messenger inositol(1,4,5)P3 (*IP3*) responsible for triggering a sudden  $Ca^{2+}$  influx from the endoplasmic reticulum, along with *DAG* (diacylglycerol, another second messenger) [43].

		Membrane-bound, active $PLC\gamma$ is responsible for converting
		phosphatidylinositol $(4,5)$ P2 ( <i>PIP2</i> ) to the second messenger
$\leftarrow$	PLCgamma	inositol $(1,4,5)$ P3 ( <i>IP3</i> ) responsible for triggering a sudden
Cat		$Ca^{2+}$ influx from the endoplasmic reticulum, along with $DAG$
		(diacylglycerol, another second messenger) [43].

# Table S1d: GF\_PI3K module

Ca2p	Ca2p = IP3	5	
	Met	<i>IP3</i> travels fr opens <i>IP3</i> -ser ER into the c	om the cell membrane to the endoplasmic reticulum where it asitive $Ca^{2+}$ channels, releasing a sudden $Ca^{2+}$ efflux from the ytosol [44].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	IP3	$IP3$ travels from the cell membrane to the endoplasmic reticulum where it opens $IP3$ -sensitive $Ca^{2+}$ channels, releasing a sudden $Ca^{2+}$ efflux from the ER into the cytosol [44].
Nedd4L	$\mathbf{Nedd4L} = \mathbf{Ca2p} \text{ and } \mathbf{IP3}$		
	UbL	Activation of	<i>Nedd4L</i> requires both $Ca^{2+}$ and <i>IP3</i> binding [45].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Compl}$	IP3	In order to transition to its active form, the E3 ubiquitin ligase $Nedd4L$ binds $Ca^{2+}$ and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate $(IP3)$ [45].
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Ca2p	In order to transition to its active form, the E3 ubiquitin ligase $Nedd4L$ binds $Ca^{2+}$ and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate $(IP3)$ [45].

# Table S1e: GF\_mTOR module

Target Node	Node Gate	N 1 D .	
	Node Type	Node Descrip	tion
	Link Type	Input Node	Link Description
TSC2	$\mathbf{TSC2} = (\mathbf{no}$	ot $\mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{H}}$ or	$(\mathrm{not}(\mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{B}}  \mathrm{or}  \mathbf{ERK}))$
	Prot	Blocking <i>TSC</i> <i>ERK</i> . In our supported by activity is not	C2 requires ongoing mitogen stimulation through $AKT$ and/or model, $TSC2$ inhibition requires high (peak) $AKT$ activity, either $ERK$ or basal $AKT$ (assuring that complete loss of $AKT$ t impending) [46].
	<b>⊢</b> Р	ERK	ERK phosphorylates $TSC2$ directly, causing dissociation of the complex and inhibition of its activity [47]. In addition, the $ERK$ target $p90RSK$ can also inactivate $TSC2$ [48].
	н Р	AKT_B	TSC2 is phosphorylated by $AKT1$ , inhibiting it by dissociating $TSC2$ from lysosomal membranes [49], where it stimulates GTP hydrolysis of the small GTPase <i>Rheb</i> , this inactivating it [46].
	⊢ P	AKT_H	TSC2 is phosphorylated by $AKT1$ , inhibiting it by dissociating $TSC2$ from lysosomal membranes [49], where it stimulates GTP hydrolysis of the small GTPase $Rheb$ , this inactivating it [46].
PRAS40	$\mathbf{PRAS40} =$	$(\mathrm{not}\mathbf{AKT}_{-}\mathbf{H}$	) and $((\text{not} \mathbf{mTORC1}) \text{ or } (\text{not} \mathbf{AKT}_B))$
	Prot	$\begin{array}{l} PRAS40 \text{ is in} \\ (\text{meaning } AK1 \\ AKT1 \text{ and } m \\ mTORC1 \end{array}$	This is a straight the probability of the probability of the probability of the probability $AKT1$ activity and $AKT1$ activation. Both $TORC1$ phosphorylate $PRAS40$ , leading to its dissociation from [].

# Table S1e: GF\_mTOR module

	⊢ P	AKT_B	PRAS40 is phosphorylated by $AKT$ , triggering its dissociation from $mTORC1$ [51].
	⊢ P	AKT_H	PRAS40 is an inhibitory component of the $mTORC1$ complex. It is phosphorylated by $AKT$ , triggering its dissociation from $mTORC1$ and loss of $mTORC1$ inhibition [51].
	⊢ P	mTORC1	PRAS40 is a substrate of the $mTORC1$ kinase; its phosphory- lation aids its dissociation from $mTORC1$ and its sequestration by 14-3-3 proteins [52].
DAG	$\mathbf{DAG} = \mathbf{PLG}$	Cgamma	
	Met	Membrane-bo nositol(4,5)P2 with <i>IP3</i> [43].	und, active $PLC\gamma$ is responsible for converting phosphatidyli- ( $PIP2$ ) to the second messenger diacylglycerol ( $DAG$ ), along
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Cat}$	PLCgamma	Membrane-bound, active $PLC\gamma$ is responsible for converting phosphatidylinositol(4,5)P2 ( <i>PIP2</i> ) to the second messenger diacylglycerol ( <i>DAG</i> ), along with <i>IP3</i> [43].
Rheb	$\mathbf{Rheb} = (\mathbf{not}$	$\mathbf{TSC2}$ ) and $\mathbf{D}$	AG
	GTPa	PKC (and $DAthe site of Rhmediated TSC$	AG)-dependent activation of $mTORC1$ recruits $mTORC1$ to eb activity (to prenuclear lysosomes), while $AKT$ and $ERK$ - C2 inhibition guarantees that $Rheb$ remains potent [53, 54, 55].
	⊢ GAP	TSC2	TSC2, a key component of the heterotrimeric $TSC$ complex, is a GTPase activating protein (GAP) that induces ATP hydrolysis and deactivation of the small GTPase $Rheb$ [53].
	← Ind	DAG	The second messenger $DAG$ activates both classical and novel $PKCs$ . One of its targets, $PKC\eta$ , is responsible for the translocation and accumulation of $mTORC1$ to perinuclear lysosomes, where the majority of <i>Rheb</i> is anchored. Thus, $DAG$ brings <i>Rheb</i> in proximity with its target, $mTORC1$ [54].
mTORC1	mTORC1 = ((CyclinB and	= (not <b>Casp3</b> )a nd <b>Cdk1</b> ) and <b>C</b>	$\label{eq:cond} \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{nd}((((\mathbf{Rheb} \mathrm{and}(\operatorname{not}\mathbf{PRAS40})) \mathrm{and}(\operatorname{not}\mathbf{Merlin})) \mathrm{or}\mathbf{E2F1}) \mathrm{or}\\ \mathbf{GSK3})) \end{array}$
	PC	mTORC1 is activated by mitogenic signals via $Rheb$ in the absence of $PRA$ . This, however, also requires inactivation of $Merlin$ independently of $R$ induced signals. In addition, $E2F1$ can promote $mTORC1$ activity. Fin the mitotic $Cyclin B$ and its $Cdk1$ kinase can also activate $mTORC1$ , a by $GSK3$ .	
	⊢ IBind	PRAS40	PRAS40 is an inhibitory component of the $mTORC1$ complex, removed by phosphorylation by $AKT$ or $mTORC1$ itself [46].
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Rheb	The <i>Rheb</i> small GTP ase binds $mTORC1$ directly and activates the complex [56].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	GSK3	During mitosis, $mTORC1$ is activated by the G2/M-specific phosphorylation of <i>Raptor</i> , a component of $mTORC1$ , by $CyclinB / Cdk1$ complexes, aided by $GSK3$ [57].

# Table S1e: GF\_mTOR module

	⊢ ComplProc	Merlin	Merlin suppresses $mTORC1$ activity via an unknown mech- anism that appears to be independent of $PI3K/AKT$ or of TSC2 inhibition [58], and it suppression appears to be critical for integrin-mediated $mTORC1$ activation [59].		
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	E2F1	E2F1 induces $mTORC1$ activity by inducing $mTORC1$ translocation to late endosomes. This effect does not require $AKT$ and is not blocked by high levels of $TSC2$ [60].		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	CyclinB	During mitosis, $mTORC1$ is activated by the G2/M-specific phosphorylation of <i>Raptor</i> , a component of $mTORC1$ , by <i>CyclinB</i> / <i>Cdk1</i> complexes, aided by <i>GSK3</i> [57].		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Cdk1	During mitosis, $mTORC1$ is activated by the G2/M-specific phosphorylation of <i>Raptor</i> , a component of $mTORC1$ , by $CyclinB / Cdk1$ complexes, aided by $GSK3$ [57].		
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Raptor, a key component of the $mTORC1$ complex, is cleaved and inhibited by Caspase 3 [61].		
S6K	$\mathbf{S6K} = (\mathrm{not}$	Casp3) and m?	FORC1		
	К	S6K is activat	ted by $mTORC1$ in the absence of Caspase 3.		
	← P	mTORC1	$mTORC1$ phosphorylates and activates 40S ribosomal S6 kinases $(S6K{\rm s})$ [62].		
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	S6K is cleaved and inhibited by Caspase 3 [63].		
eIF4E	eIF4E = mTORC1 and (not Casp3)				
	Prot	eIF4E is activated and deactivated	vated by $mTORC1$ -mediated repression [46]. $eIF4E$ is cleaved ed by Caspase 3 [64].		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	mTORC1	mTORC1 phosphorylates $4EBP$ , triggering its dissociation from $eIF4F$ , and thus promoting translation initiation [46].		
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	eIF4E is cleaved and inhibited by Caspase 3 [64].		

# Table S1f: GF\_connect module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
GSK3	$\mathbf{GSK3} = (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{e}$	$\mathbf{K3} = (\text{not} \mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{H}}) \text{ and } (\text{not}(\mathbf{S6K} \text{ and } \mathbf{ERK}))$	
	K	GSK3 activity or by the join	y can be completely blocked by peak $AKT$ activation ( $AKT_H$ ), at action of $S6K$ and $ERK$ .
	⊢ P	ERK	$ERK$ binds and phosphorylates $GSK3\beta$ at Thr-43, which primes it for subsequent phosphorylation by the $ERK$ target $p90RSK$ at Ser-9, which inactivates $GSK3\beta$ [65].

# Table S1f: GF\_connect module

	⊢ P	AKT_H	AKT blocks $GSK3$ kinase activity via an inhibitory phospho- rylation on the amino terminus, which blocks the substrate accessibility of $GSK3$ [28].
	⊢ P	S6K	GSK3 is a direct phosphorylation target of $S6K1$ , resulting in its inhibition [22].
FoxO1	FoxO1 = (r	$\operatorname{not}\mathbf{Plk1}$ ) and (1	$\operatorname{hot} \mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{H}}$
	$\mathrm{TF}$	<i>FoxO1</i> is tra and <i>Plk1</i> act	nscriptionally active in the absence of peak $AKT1$ activation ivity.
	⊢ PLoc	AKT_H	AKT1 mediates the translocation of FoxO1 our of the nucleus through direct phosphorylation of three conserved residues. These events create a recognition site for 14-3-3 family pro- teins, which export and sequester FoxO1 in the cytosol [28].
	⊢ PLoc	Plk1	Plk1 interacts with and phosphorylates $FoxO1$ , mainly at the G2/M phase of the cell cycle. $Plk1$ -mediated phosphorylation leads to the impairment of $FoxO1$ 's transcriptional activity in an $Akt$ -independent manner. $Plk1$ -induced $FoxO1$ phosphorylation causes its nuclear exclusion [66].
p21 _mRNA	$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p21\_mRN} \\ (\mathrm{not}\mathbf{ZEB1}\_ \end{array}$	$\mathbf{A} = ((\mathbf{FoxO} \mathbf{H}))$	$1$ and $\mathbf{FoxO3})$ or $((\operatorname{not}\mathbf{Myc})$ and $(\mathbf{FoxO1}\text{ or }\mathbf{FoxO3})))$ and
	mRNA	Our model re the two if $My$ <i>FoxO1</i> bind a alone is not a repress $p21^{Ci}$	quires both FoxOs to induce $p21^{Cip1}$ if $Myc$ is active and one of $pc$ is OFF. This is based on data showing that both FoxO3 and and induce the $p21^{Cip1}$ promoter and that loss of $Myc$ repression sufficient to induce $p21^{Cip1}$ [67]. Finally, high levels of ZEB1 $p^{1}$ mRNA expression [68].
	$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxO3	$p21^{Cip1}$ is a direct transcriptional target of $FoxO3$ [67].
	$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxO1	$p21^{Cip1}$ is a direct transcriptional target of $FoxO1$ [67].
	⊢ TR	Myc	$Myc$ is a direct transcriptional repressor of the $p21^{Cip1}$ promoter (it is recruited by the DNA-binding $Miz-1$ ) [69, 70].
	⊢ TR	ZEB1_H	ZEB1 (old name $\delta$ EF1) is a direct transcriptional repressor of the p21 promoter [68].
IKKa	$\mathbf{IKKa} = \mathbf{AI}$	KT_H	
	К	$IKK\alpha$ is a su subunits, $IK$ of the transc phosphorylat	bunit of the $IKK$ protein complex composed of two catalytic $K\alpha$ and $IKK\beta$ , and the regulatory protein $NEMO$ . Activation ription factor $NF$ - $\kappa B$ is mediated by the IKK complex, which es and degrades the inhibitory $I\kappa B$ proteins [71].
	← P	AKT_H	$IKK\alpha$ is phosphorylated by $AKT$ at T23, and as subsequent $NF$ - $\kappa B$ activation is induced when high $AKT$ activity is observed, our model requires $AKT_H = ON$ for this to occur [72].

NfkB NfkB = IKKa or PAK1

# Table S1f: $GF\_connect module$

	$NF$ - $\kappa B$ is a transcription factor primarily known as a mast inflammatory signaling and the immune system. Its role in o due to its ability to aid EMT. It is activated via the des inhibitory binding partner $I\kappa B$ , which is phosphorylated by the subsequently destroted [71].		canscription factor primarily known as a master regulator of signaling and the immune system. Its role in cancer is partly ility to aid EMT. It is activated via the destruction of its ling partner $I\kappa B$ , which is phosphorylated by the $IKK$ complex destroted [71].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	IKKa	$IKK\alpha$ , part of the $IKK$ complex, phosphorylates and degrades the inhibitory $I\kappa B$ proteins [71]; an action that can be inde- pendent of the $IKK\beta$ subunit [73].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	PAK1	Active $PAK1$ binds to with $NF-\kappa B$ -inducing kinase $NIK$ , which induces degradation of $I\kappa B$ and thus activates $NF-\kappa B$ [74].	
c_Myb	$c_Myb = NfkB \text{ or } E2F1$			
	TF	c- $Myb$ is a transcription factor that can induce the epithelial micro-RI $miR$ -200 [75]. $c$ - $Myb$ is induced by $AKT$ -mediated activation of $NF$ -and/or $E2F1$ [76].		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	NfkB	$NF$ - $\kappa B$ is a direct transcriptional inducer of $c$ - $Myb$ [76].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	E2F1	E2F1 is a direct transcriptional inducer of $c$ - $Myb$ [76].	

#### Table S1g: Adhesion module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
Integrin	$\mathbf{Integrin} = \mathbf{I}$	ECM	
	Rec	Integrins are bind to extrac Upon ligand cell interior [7	a superfamily of heterodimeric cell adhesion receptors that cellular matrix ligands, cell-surface ligands, and soluble ligands. binding, integrins transduce biomechanical information to the 77].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Ligand}$	ECM	Integrin activation and signaling requires integrin-ECM at- tachment [78].
FAK	$\mathbf{FAK} = ((\mathbf{nc}$	ot $Casp3$ ) and $(not(Cdk1 and CyclinB)))$ and $Integrin$	
	K	FAK is activa 3-mediated cl	ted at integrin-ECM attachment sites in the absence of Caspase leavage and Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ activity [79, 80, 81].
	← P	Integrin	Integrin activation leads to recruitment and phosphorylation of the Focal Adhesion Kinase $(FAK)$ [79], one of its key signaling mediators.

# Table S1g: Adhesion module

⊢ Ind	CyclinB	During mitosis, cells detach most of their focal adhesions and round up. This process is $Cdk1/Cyclin B$ dependent [82], and it leads to the dissociation of focal adhesion complex compo- nents including focal adhesion kinase ( <i>FAK</i> ), <i>paxillin</i> , and <i>CAS</i> . These proteins all change their phosphorylation status by losing active tyrosine and gaining inhibitory serine/threonine phosphorylation [81].
⊢ Ind	Cdk1	During mitosis, cells detach most of their focal adhesions and round up. This process is $Cdk1/Cyclin B$ dependent [82], and it leads to the dissociation of focal adhesion complex compo- nents including focal adhesion kinase ( <i>FAK</i> ), <i>paxillin</i> , and <i>CAS</i> . These proteins all change their phosphorylation status by losing active tyrosine and gaining inhibitory serine/threonine phosphorylation [81].
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves and deactivates $FAK$ during apoptisis by separating its tyrosine kinase from its focal adhesion targeting domain. These fragments further suppress phosphorylation of intact $FAK$ [80].
$\mathbf{Src} = (\mathbf{Inter})$	egrin and $(\mathbf{RTF})$	$\mathbf{K}$ or $\mathbf{FAK}$ )) or ( $\mathbf{Cdk1}$ and $\mathbf{CyclinB}$ )
К	Src is activative $[83, 84]$ . In active $[83, 84]$	ted by $FAK$ or $RTKs$ at sites of integrin-ECM attachments ddition, $Cyclin B / Cdk1$ phosphorylate $Src$ during mitosis [85].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{PLoc}$	Integrin	FAK phosphorylation at Y397 at sites of <i>integrin-ECM</i> adhesion creates a high-affinity binding site for $Src$ , which leads to the assembly of a $FAK$ - $Src$ signaling complex [83].
← Loc	RTK	RTKs cooperate with <i>integrins</i> to recruit and activate $Src$ kinases, which in turn help potentiate $RTK$ signaling. Thus, in our model $Src$ may be activated by basal $RTK$ activity, and is, in turn, required for peak $RTK$ activation [84].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{PLoc}$	FAK	FAK phosphorylation at Y397 at sites of <i>integrin-ECM</i> adhesion creates a high-affinity binding site for $Src$ , which leads to the assembly of a $FAK$ - $Src$ signaling complex [83].
← P	CyclinB	Mitotic Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ complexes phosphorylate and activate $c$ -Src during mitosis [85].
← P	Cdk1	Mitotic Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ complexes phosphorylate and activate $c$ -Src during mitosis [85].
$\mathbf{Nectin3} =$	$CellDensity_$	Low or CellDensity_High
CAM	Nectins form on other cells adherens junc binding betw cell density, some neighbor	weak adhesions between adjacent cells by binding to <i>Nectins</i> s and promoting local membrane ruffling that is required for ction formation [86]. As downstream effects of <i>Nectin3</i> - <i>Nectin</i> een two cells do not require tight junction formation and high <i>Nectin3</i> activation in our model only requires the presence of ors.
$\leftarrow {\rm Env}$	CellDensity _High	Nectins avtivate by forming weak adhesions between adjacent cells [86].

 $\operatorname{Src}$ 

Nectin3

# Table S1g: Adhesion module

	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Env}$	CellDensity _Low	Nectins avtivate by forming weak adhesions between adjacent cells [86].		
Necl5	$\mathbf{Necl5} = \mathbf{FocalAdhesions} \ \mathrm{or} \ (\mathrm{not}(\mathbf{Nectin3} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathbf{CellDensity\_High}))$				
	Prot	<i>Necl-5</i> activity binds <i>Spry2</i> this by turnin (indicating st fibers), or in t (i.e, fully surr	<i>Necl-5</i> activity is controlled by co-localization with focal adhesions where it binds <i>Spry2</i> and aids receptor tyrosine kinase signaling [87]. We modeled this by turning the <i>Necl-5</i> node ON when the <i>Focal Adhesions</i> node is ON (indicating strong attachments that pull on the ECM and can form stress fibers), or in the absence of cell-cell adhesions at all sites of cell ECM-adhesion (i.e, fully surrounded with no free edge).		
	⊢ Env	CellDensity _High	At high cell density, adherens junctions that surround the cell suppress integrin-mediated activation and recruitment of <i>Necl-5</i> to the cell surface across the entire cell, which releases its block on $Spry2$ [87].		
	⊢ Unbind	Nectin3	<i>Necl-5</i> interacts with <i>Nectin3</i> on neighboring cells ( <i>Nectin 3</i> in our model is a proxy for this, as it is activated by cell-cell contacts), which promotes downstream reorganization of the cytoskeleton to aid adherens junction formation, which, in turn releases <i>Necl-5</i> from these adhesions [87].		
	$\leftarrow_{\rm Loc}$	FocalAdhesion	<i>Necl-5</i> is recruited to focal adhesions at the leading edge of nscells by direct interactions with integrins [88]. This localization is important for its downstream effects.		
SPRY2	$\mathbf{SPRY2} = ((\mathrm{not}\mathbf{Necl5})\mathrm{and}\mathbf{RTK})\mathrm{and}\mathbf{Src}$				
	Prot	SPRY2 (Spro especially Ra	$uty\ 2)$ is a negative regulator of growth factor-induced signaling, $s/MAPK$ [89].		
	⊢ IBind	Necl5	Necl-5 localized to integrin clusters binds to and blocks the activity of $SPRY2$ [89].		
	$\leftarrow_{\rm Loc}$	RTK	Sprouty proteins are activated by ligand-bound RTKs to modulate $/$ inhibit downstream MAPK signaling [90].		
	← P	$\operatorname{Src}$	Growth factor-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of $Spry2$ is mediated by a Src-like kinase [90].		
J _Ecadherin	$J\_Ecadherin = ({\rm not}Casp3) \ {\rm and} \ (Nectin3 \ {\rm and} \ (Ecadherin\_mRNA\_H \ {\rm or} \ Ecadherin\_mRNA))$				
	CAM	<i>E-cadherin</i> p formation. Th model require contact with 3 [91].	roteins are adhesion molecules required for adherens junction ne presence of juncitonal <i>E-cadherin</i> (J_Ecadherin = ON) in our es <i>E-cadherin</i> mRNA expression, <i>Nectin3</i> -mediated sensing of neighboring cells (binding to nectins) [87] and inactive Caspase		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TL}$	Ecadherin _mRNA	E-cadherin mRNA expression is required for maintenance of $E$ -cadherin protein.		
	$\leftarrow$ TL	Ecadherin _mRNA_H	$E\mbox{-}cadherin$ mRNA expression is required for maintenance of $E\mbox{-}cadherin$ protein.		

#### Table S1g: Adhesion module

	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	Nectin3	Cadherins are recruited to cell-cell adhesions formed by <i>Nectin3-nectin</i> interactions between neighboring cells, where they bind to cadherins on adjacent cells to form AJs [87].
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves junctional E-cadherin, dissociating it from the cell surface and blocking its ability to form adherens junctions [91].
J_bcatenin	$J_bcatenin$	$\mathbf{n} = (\text{not } \mathbf{Casp3})$	) and <b>J_Ecadherin</b>
	Prot	Junctional $E$ to adherens j scription, but $\beta$ -catenin is 1 there are $E$ -c cell is not full	-cadherin proteins binds to and recruits/sequesters $\beta$ -catenin unctions. This negatively regulates $\beta$ -catenin mediated tran- taids adherens junction formation [92]. Here we assume that localized to cell-cell junctions ( $J_bcatenin = ON$ ) as long as adherin-mediated attachments to neighboring cells (even if a by surrounded) and Caspase 3 is inactive [93].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	J _Ecadherin	Junctional <i>E-cadherins</i> recruits/sequester $\beta$ -catenin to adherens junctions [92].
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Active Caspase 3 cleaves $\beta$ -catenin into several fragments that lose their transcriptional activity and become localized to the cytoplasm [93].
J_acatenin	J_acatenin	$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{b}}$ cateni	n
	Adap	Junctional $\beta$ - $\alpha$ -catenin lin junctions [92]	catenin binds to and recruites $\alpha$ -catenin to adherens junctions. ks $\beta$ -catenin to the actin cytoskeleton to stabilize adherens.
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	J_bcatenin	Junctional $\beta$ -catenin binds to and recruites $\alpha$ -catenin to adherens junctions [92].

#### Table S1h: CIP module

Target Node	Node Gate				
	Node Type	Node Descrip	tion		
	Link Type	Input Node	Link Description		

# $$\label{eq:FocalAdhesions} \begin{split} & FocalAdhesions = ((Integrin \ {\rm and} \ FAK) \ {\rm and} \ ECM) \ {\rm and} \ (Stiff\_ECM \ {\rm or} \ ((YAP \ {\rm and} \ FAK) \ {\rm and} \ IQGAP1\_LeadingE)) \end{split}$$

MSt

Focal adhesions form at sites of Integrin-ECM attachment and clustering [94]. In order to take into account the effect of stiff ECM [95] as well as positive feedback between focal adhesion formation and horizontal cell polarization that creates an active leading edge, here we assume that force-generating Focal Adhesion formation requires ECM-Integrin attachments and FAK [96], and either strong traction force generation supported by a stiff ECM, or the existence of a leading edge with active Rac1 [97] and IQGAP1 [98, 99, 100] supported by YAP-mediated upregulation of adhesion and focal adhesionassociated proteins [101].

 $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \text{Loc} \end{array} \quad \text{ECM} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} Focal \ adhesions \ \text{form at sites of } Integrin-ECM \ \text{attachment} \\ \text{and clustering [94].} \end{array}$ 

$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	Stiff_ECM	Adhesion to stiff ECM engages the $FAK/phosphopaxillin/vinculin$ pathway, which generate a fluctuating "tugging" action on the ECM and probe ECM rigidity to aid <i>focal adhesion</i> formation and migration towards regions of stiffer ECM (durotaxis) [95].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Loc}$	Integrin	Focal adhesions form at sites of Integrin-ECM attachment and clustering [94].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Loc}$	FAK	<i>FAK</i> activation at sites of cell-ECM attachments (driven by force generation) can increase paxillin phosphorylation and strengthen cytoskeletal linkage and vinculin recruitment to such adhesions, resulting in <i>focal adhesion</i> maturation [96].
← Loc	Rac1	Active <i>Rac1</i> promotes the association of nonmuscle myosin II (MIIA) with <i>focal adhesions</i> at the leading edge during cell migration, aiding the assembly of mini- filaments in <i>focal adhesions</i> . These promote further assembly of <i>focal adhesions</i> and modulation of the traction forces cells exert on the ECM [97].
← Compl	IQGAP1 _LeadingE	In migrating cells <i>IQGAP1</i> localizes to lamellipodia at the lead- ing edge, recruited by active <i>RTKs</i> synergistically activated here by <i>integrin-RTK</i> crosstalk. Here, <i>IQGAP1</i> supports <i>Rac1</i> activation and <i>focal adhesion</i> formation; it required for migration in response to growth signals such as <i>PDGF</i> , <i>VEGF</i> , ect [98, 99, 100].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	YAP	YAP is a transcriptional inducer of <i>f</i> integrins and <i>FA</i> docking proteins, and promotes <i>focal adhesion</i> formation by increasing cell spreading and <i>RhoA GTPase</i> activity [101].
$Stress_Fib$	$\mathbf{pers} = ((\mathbf{not}  \mathbf{Ce}))$	$\mathbf{ellDensity\_High}) \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathbf{Stiff\_ECM}) \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathbf{FocalAdhesions}$
MSt	In order to m on stress fibe high cell dens	nodel the independent effects of cell density and matrix stiffness or formation, we assume that they require both the absence of ity, and the presence of focal adhesions attached to a stiff ECM.
⊢ Ind	CellDensity _High	High cell density blocks stress fiber formation by forbidding cells access to a large enough area to spread and exert force on the $ECM$ [102].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Stiff_ECM	In the absence of a sufficiently stiff <i>ECM</i> , <i>Focal Adhesions</i> are small and stress fibers are less abundant or fail to form, as cells cannot generate sufficient traction [103].
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	FocalAdhesio	Stress Fibers are anchored to the $ECM$ via strong, stable $Focal Adhesions$ [103].
$\mathbf{YAP} = (\mathbf{J}_{acatenin})$	(FocalAdhesion) and AMOT)	ons and Stress_Fibers) and (not((((ApicalBasal_Pol and ) and Merlin) and Lats1_2))

Stress \_Fibers

YAP

YAP is a mechanosensitive transcriptional regulator of proliferation and migration, and its activation is controlled by both the cell's ability to spread on an ECM (*FocalAdhesions* and *Stress\_Fibers*), and the lack of apical-basal polarity with mature adherens junctions that can sequester *YAP* in the cytoplasm by binding and inhibitory phosphorylation. Experimental evidence indicates that in cells that maintain apical-basal polarity, several junctional proteins ( $\alpha$ -catenin, AMOT, Merlin) and inhibitory kinases (*Lats1* and *Lats2* work together to sequester and block *YAP* [104, 105].

	-	
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	FocalAdhesior	YAP activation is abolished by the absence of <i>stress fibers</i> isoanchored to <i>focal adhesions</i> , even in the absence of inhibitory Hippo signaling [102, 106].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Stress _Fibers	YAP activation is abolished by the absence of stress fibers anchored to <i>focal adhesions</i> , even in the absence of inhibitory Hippo signaling [102, 106].
⊢– Ind	ApicalBasal _Pol	Full inhibition of $YAP$ by Hippo signaling linked to adherens and tight junction formation requires the establishment of <i>apical-basal polarity</i> , as cells at the edges of monolayers or in decreased cell density areas have active (nuclear) $YAP$ in spite of strong remaining attachments to neighboring cells [106, 107].
⊢ Per	J_acatenin	Junctional <i>alpha-catenin</i> binds $YAP$ and sequesters it in the cytoplasm [108] [109] [110]. This also concentrates $YAP$ close proximity to junction-localized Hippo pathway components such as $Lats1/2$ , Merlin and Amot.
⊢ Compl	АМОТ	AMOT localizes to tight junctions, where it suppresses $YAP$ activity by direct binding and recruitment of $YAP$ inhibitory kinase $LATS2$ [104]. By binding both and $YAP/TAZ$ , AMOT works as a scaffold that connects $LATS1/2$ to both its activator $MST1$ and its target $YAP/TAZ$ [111].
⊢ BLoc	Merlin	Merlin localizes to adherens junctions where it activates the Hippo pathway by binding to and recruiting LATS1/2 kinases and YAP/TAZ to adherens junctions [112]. In the absence of Merlin, Hippo pathway components fail to block YAP activity [113]. Merlin - YAP binding requires active (phosphorylated) AMOT [114].
⊢ IBind	Lats1_2	The Lats1 and Lats2 tumor suppressor kinases bind to and phosphorylate $YAP$ in vitro and in vivo [115, 105].
$\mathbf{TRIO} = \mathbf{Y}A$	AP	
CEE	TDIO := D	1 activities CTTP and an an factor in decad by VAP [107, 110]

GEF	TRIO is a Rac	$l$ -activating GTP-exchange factor induced by $Y\!AP$ [107, 116]
$\leftarrow$ TR	YAP	YAP is a transcriptional inducer of $TRIO$ [116].

#### WT1 WT1 = YAP

TRIO

 $\mathbf{TF}$ 

TF

The Wilms Tumor 1 (WT1) transcription factor is a repressor of *E-cadherin* expression [107]. Its nuclear localization is controlled by *YAP* binding [116].

$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	YAP	YAP $YAP$ binds to and controls nuclear localization of the Wi Tumor 1 ( <i>WT1</i> ) transcription factor [116].		
$\mathbf{TAZ} = \mathbf{Stre}$ $\mathbf{Merlin}$ ) and	$\mathbf{ss}_{\mathbf{Fibers}}$ and $\mathbf{Lats1_2}$	$(\mathrm{not}((((\mathbf{ApicalBasal}_{Pol} \mathrm{and} \mathbf{J}_{acatenin}) \mathrm{and} \mathbf{AMOT}) \mathrm{and}$		
TF	TAZ is a mechanosensitive transcriptional regulator of cell migration, and its activation is controlled by both the cell's ability to spread on stiff ECM $(Stress\_Fibers = ON)$ and the lack of apical-basal polarity with mature adherens junctions that can sequestered $TAZ$ in the cytoplasm by binding and inhibitory phosphorylation [106, 107]. In cells that maintain apical- basal polarity, junctional proteins ( $\alpha$ -catenin, AMOT, Merlin) and inhibitory kinases (Lats1 and Lats2) work together to sequester and block $TAZ$ [108, 109, 110, 117, 105].			
⊢ BLoc	J_acatenin	Junctional alpha-catenin binds $YAP/TAZ$ and sequesters them in the cytoplasm [108, 109, 110, 117]. This also concen- trates $YAP/TAZ$ close proximity to junction-localized Hippo pathway components such as $Lats1/2$ , Merlin and Amot.		
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Stress _Fibers	TAZ activation is abolished by the absence of <i>stress fibers</i> anchored to <i>focal adhesions</i> , even in the absence of inhibitory Hippo signaling [102, 106].		
⊢– Ind	ApicalBasal _Pol	Full inhibition of $TAZ$ by Hippo signaling linked to adherens and tight junction formation requires the establishment of apical-basal polarity, as cells at the edges of monolayers or in decreased cell density areas have active (nuclear) $TAZ$ in spite of strong remaining attachments to neighboring cells [106, 107].		
⊢ P	Lats1_2	The Lats1 and Lats2 tumor suppressor kinases bind to and phosphorylate $YAP/TAZ$ in vitro and in vivo [115, 105, 117].		
⊢ IBind	АМОТ	AMOT localizes to tight junctions, where it suppresses $YAP/TAZ$ activity by direct binding and recruitment of $YAP$ inhibitory kinase $LATS2$ [104, 117]. By binding both and $YAP/TAZ$ , AMOT works as a scaffold that connects $LATS1/2$ to both its activator $MST1$ and its target $YAP/TAZ$ [111].		
⊢ IBind	Merlin	Merlin localizes to adherens junctions where it activates the Hippo pathway by binding to and recruiting $LATS1/2$ kinases and $YAP/TAZ$ to adherens junctions [112]. In the absence of Merlin, Hippo pathway components fail to block $YAP/TAZ$ activity [113, 117].		
Ecadherin	mRNA $H =$	<b>Ecadherin</b> $mRNA$ and $(not(YAP and WT1))$		

TAZ

Ecadherin \_mRNA\_H

mRNA

Experiments show that YAP and WT1 suppress but do not abolish *E*cadherin protein expression in areas of lowered cell density [107]. To model this, we introduced a *Ecadherin\_mRNA\_H* node that is blocked by YAP/WT1 repressor complexes (requiring their joint nuclear localization). *Ecadherin\_mRNA\_H*, in turn, must be ON to allow cells to establish a ring of adherens junctions sufficient for apical-basal polarity.

	⊢ Compl	YAP	Active YAP binds WT1 and localizes it to the nucleus, where they form a complex a the <i>E-cadherin</i> promoter and reduce its transcription [107].
	⊢ Compl	WT1	Active $YAP$ binds $WT1$ and localizes it to the nucleus, where they form a complex a the <i>E-cadherin</i> promoter and reduce its transcription [107].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	Ecadherin _mRNA	High <i>E-cadherin</i> mRNA expression requires basal levels of <i>E-cadherin</i> . Our previously published epithelial model assumed this to be true [118], whereas in the current model this is only the case when <i>E-cadherin</i> expression is not fully inhibited by EMT-promoting repressors (modeled as acting on the <i>Ecadherin_mRNA</i> node).
ApicalBasal _Pol	ApicalBasa J_Ecadher (not Horizon	$l_Pol =$ in) and $J_bca$ ntal_Pol))	$(ECM \   {\rm and} \   ((((CellDensity\_High \   {\rm and} \   Nectin3) \   {\rm and} \   tenin) \   {\rm and} \   J_acatenin)) \   {\rm and} \   (Ecadherin\_mRNA\_H \   {\rm or} \  $
	MSt	In addition to that help asses $J_acatenin)$ , expression or $I$ the establishm	to a need for high cell density and cell-cell adhesion proteins emble adherens junctions ( <i>Nectin3</i> , $J\_Ecadherin$ , $J\_bcatenin$ , we assumed that either high (unimpeded) <i>E-cadherin</i> mRNA lack of a horizontally polarized cell morphology are required for ment of apical-basal polarity.
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	ECM	Establishment of <i>apical-basal polarity</i> requires an underlying surface such as $ECM$ to define a basal side.
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	CellDensity _High	Establishment of <i>apical-basal polarity</i> requires a ring of adherens and tight junctions that can only form in high cell density [119].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Nectin3	A key driver of <i>apical-basal polarization</i> , <i>Par-3</i> , is recruited to newly formed cell-cell adhesions by <i>Nectin-3</i> binding [119].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	J _Ecadherin	Formation of adherens junctions is a prerequisite for tight junction assembly, which is, in turn, required for <i>apical-basal polarity</i> [119].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	J_bcatenin	Formation of adherens junctions is a prerequisite for tight junction assembly, which is, in turn, required for <i>apical-basal polarity</i> [119].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	J_acatenin	Formation of adherens junctions is a prerequisite for tight junction assembly, which is, in turn, required for <i>apical-basal polarity</i> [119].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Ecadherin _mRNA_H	Formation of adherens junctions in high concentration around the cell is required for <i>apical-basal polarity</i> [119], and thus aided by high <i>E-cadherin</i> protein expression.
	⊢ Ind	Horizontal _Pol	Horizontal polarization and apical-basal (vertical) polarization are mutually exclusive; cells must first lose the asymmetry between their leading and trailing edge in order to establish a ring of adherens and tight junctions.

 $\label{eq:n_bcatenin} \mathbf{N\_bcatenin} = (\mathrm{not}\,\mathbf{Casp3}) \ \mathrm{and} \ (\mathrm{not}(\mathbf{ApicalBasal\_Pol} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathbf{GSK3}))$ 

	${ m TF}$	When release induces genes	d from cell-cell junctions, $\beta$ -catenin localizes to the nucleus and s that promote proliferation and EMT [120].
	⊢ Loc	ApicalBasal _Pol	Junctional <i>E-cadherins</i> recruits/sequesters $\beta$ -catenin to adherens junctions and block $\beta$ -catenin nuclear localization [92]. Here we assume that cells able to form a ring of adherens junctions and establish apical-basal polarity lack nuclear $\beta$ -catenin.
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Active Caspase 3 cleaves $\beta$ -catenin into several fragments that lose their transcriptional activity and become localized to the cytoplasm [93].
	⊢ Deg	GSK3	The cytoplasmic pool of $\beta$ -catenin not tied to junctions is highly unstable due to multiple phosphorylations promoting its proteasome-mediated degradation. This phosphorylations is maintained by the " $\beta$ -catenin Destruction Complex" com- posed of the tumor suppressor APC, the scaffolding protein Axin, and the serine/threonine kinases GSK3 $\beta$ and CK1 (ca- sein kinase 1, which primes GSK3). When GSK3 is inhibited, unphosphorylated $\beta$ -catenin accumulates, translocates to the nucleus, and promotes transcription [120].
$Mst1_2$	$Mst1_2 =$	ApicalBasal_	Pol
	К	In cells that Hippo pathw inhibition [12	establish apical-basal cell polarity, $Mst1$ and 2 activate the ay by phosphorylating $Lats1/2$ kinases to promote $YAP/TAZ$ 1, 122, 123].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	ApicalBasal _Pol	Activation of the Hippo pathway by $Mst1/2$ requires a pical-basal cell polarity [123].
Lats1_2	$Lats1_2 =$	$= {f Mst1}_2$ and ${f N}$	/Ierlin
	К	Mst1/2 activ binding to $M$	ate $Lats1/2$ kinases by phosphorylation, aided by their mutual <i>erlin</i> .
	← P	$Mst1_2$	$Mst1/2$ phosphorylate and activate Lats $1\ /\ 2$ kinases to promote $YAP/TAZ$ inhibition [121, 122].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	Merlin	Merlin binds to $Lats1/2$ and recruits it to the plasma membrane near adherens and tight junctions, where $Mst1/2$ can phosphorylate it [112].
AMOT	$\mathbf{AMOT} =$	Lats1_2 and M	Ierlin
	Adap	In our model localized $AM$ phorylation [	, $AMOT = ON$ represents phosphorylated and tight junction $OT$ , which requires <i>Merlin</i> binding and <i>Lats1/2</i> mediated phos-124, 125].
	← P	Lats1_2	Lats1/2 kinases phosphorylate the N-terminal regions of $Amot$ , disrupting its interaction with F-actin [126]. As tight junction localized $AMOT$ aids Hippo signaling whereas F-actin bound $AMOT$ hinders it [124], $AMOT = ON$ in our model represents the phosphorylated, TJ-bound protein.

	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	Merlin	Merlin binds to AMOT proteins and sequesters them to tight junctions [126], shifting its activity from cytoplasmic (where it binds $F$ -actin and blocks the Rac1 inhibitor Rish1) to junctional (where it forms a scaffold for Hippo signaling) [125].
$miR_29c$	$miR_29c$	= <b>YAP</b>	
	miR	YAP induced degradation	es the expression of microRNA $miR-29c$ to target $PTEN$ for [127].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	YAP	YAP is a direct inducer of $miR-29c$ [127].
PTEN_c	<b>PTEN_c</b> (not <b>GSK3</b>	$= (\text{not } \mathbf{miR}_2$	$(\mathbf{9c})$ and $((\mathbf{S6K} \text{ and } (\text{not}(\mathbf{ERK} \text{ and } \mathbf{GSK3})))$ or $((\text{not} \mathbf{ERK})$ and
	Ph	Cellular <i>PT</i> PIP3 conversignaling [12 included belo availability a the positive <i>PTEN</i> is blo <i>S6K</i> , and by	$PEN$ is a tumor supressor phosphatase that reverses PIP2 $\rightarrow$ rsion carried out by <i>PI3K</i> , and thus supresses <i>PI3K</i> / <i>AKT</i> 28]. The combinatorial effect of the distinct <i>PTEN</i> regulators by is not well documented. We chose to model cytoplasmic <i>PTEN</i> as ON in the absence of <i>miR-29c</i> [127]. In addition, we combined and negative effects of <i>S6K</i> , <i>ERK</i> and <i>GSK3</i> by assuming that becked by the joint action of <i>ERK</i> and <i>GSK3</i> in the presence of the either inhibitor in its absence.
	⊢⊢ Ind	miR_29c	$YAP$ induces transcription of $miR-29$ , which in turn binds to $PTEN\ mRNA$ to block its translation [127].
	⊢ TR	ERK	$ERK$ activation suppresses $PTEN\ mRNA$ and protein expression [129, 130].
	⊢ P	GSK3	GSK3 phosphorylates $PTEN$ on Thr366 which leads to destabilization of the $PTEN$ protein [131].
	← P	S6K	S6K phosphorylates <i>PTEN</i> , which leads to <i>PTEN</i> deubiquitination and export from the nucleus to the cytoplasm [132].

#### Table S1i: Migration module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Description Input Node Link Description	
Merlin	$\mathbf{Merlin} = ((\mathbf{J\_bcatenin} \text{ and } \mathbf{J\_acatenin}) \text{ and } (\text{not } \mathbf{PAK1})) \text{ and } (\text{not } \mathbf{AKT\_H})$		
	Prot	Merlin is functionally localized and able to mediate Hippo signaling when recruited by junctional $\beta$ - and $\alpha$ -catenin, and not phosphorylated by high $AKT1$ . Since the $AKT_B$ node in our model represents basal $AKT$ activity which co-occurs with Merlin-mediated contact inhibition, we choose the peak $AKT_H$ node to mark the level of $AKT$ signaling required to block Merlin.	

	⊢ P	AKT_H	Akt directly binds to and phosphorylates Merlin at Thr230 and Ser315, blocking its ability to bind its regular partners and promoting its degradation [133].
	$\leftarrow_{\rm Loc}$	J_bcatenin	Merlin binds to $\beta$ -catenin at adherens junctions, and disrup- tion of $\beta$ -catenin expression recoiples cell density sensing from Hippo signaling downstream Merlin [134].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	J_acatenin	Merlin binds to $\alpha$ -catenin to localize to adherens junctions, where it plays a role in their maturation, links AJ forma- tion and the <i>Par3</i> polarity complex, and orchestrates Hippo signaling [134, 135].
	⊢ P	PAK1	Pak1 can directly phosphorylate <i>Merlin</i> at Ser518 [136]. This phosphorylation prevents it from binding to /textitAMOT and $Lats1/2$ [137], and thus carrying out its contact inhibitory function.
IQGAP1 _LeadingE	IQGAP1_1 ((Horizonta	LeadingE = l_Pol or Rac1	= $((not CellDensity_High) and FocalAdhesions)$ and $() or Grb2)$
	Adap	In our model, the leading ed mediated and well-establishe binding [13, 1	$IQGAP1\_LeadingE = ON$ represents $IQGAP1$ localized near lege of a horizontally polarized cell, where it links focal adhesion- RTK-mediated signaling [99]. Its recruitment is sustained by ed horizontal polarity, or induced by $Rac1$ [138] or $RTK$ - $Grb2$ 39, 140].
	⊢ Ind	CellDensity _High	IQGAP1 localization to the leading edge is blocked by high cell density that leaves no free edge.
	$\leftarrow$ ComplProc	FocalAdhesior	IQGAP1 interacts with focal adhesion proteins and tyrosine kinase receptors to link focal adhesion and $RTK$ signaling. It is thus enriched at the leading edge by increased active FA formation [99].
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	Horizontal _Pol	<i>IQGAP1</i> localization to the leading edge is reinforced by horizontal polarization and the stabilization of a leading edge [99, 141].
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	Rac1	Active <i>Rac1</i> forms a complex with <i>IQGAP1</i> and <i>CLIP-170</i> and recruits both to the base of the leading edge, where their aid cytoskeletal reorganization, horizontal polarization and directed migration [138].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{BLoc}$	Grb2	Grb2 bound to active $RTK$ binds and recruits $IQGAP1$ , aiding its enrichment at the leading edge where the concentration of active $RTKs$ is generally higher [13, 139, 140].
Horizontal _Pol	Horizontal IQGAP1_1	Pol = LeadingE and	$= (((((not ApicalBasal_Pol) and ECM) and FACM)) and FACM)$
	MSt	For cells to est the lack of ap asymmetric IC [142, 143] and	tablish and maintain horizontal polarization, our model requires pical-basal polarization, the presence of an ECM, as well as QGAP1 localization [142], focal adhesions and spreading (FAK I TAZ [101]).

S24

⊢– Ind	ApicalBasal _Pol	Apical-basal and horizontal polarization are mutually exclu- sive; cells must first lose their textitapical-basal polarity before they are able to establish horizontal polarization.
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	ECM	Horizontal polarization requires a leading edge with lamellipo- dia and a trailing edge linked to stress fibers; both of which require adhesions to an ECM.
← Per	IQGAP1 _LeadingE	Localization of $IQGAP1$ to the leading edge is required for the establishment of horizontal polarization. Together with the adenomatous polyposis coli $(APC)$ protein that is also recruited to the leading edge by active $Rac1$ and $Cdc42$ , IQGAP1 links the actin cytoskeleton to microtubule dynamics to establish cell polarization [142].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	FocalAdhesion	Horizontal polarization requires a leading edge with lamellipo- sdia and a trailing edge linked to stress fibers, both of which depend on <i>Focal Adhesions</i> linked to the actin cytoskeleton.
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	FAK	FAK activation at nascent adhesions at the leading edge is required for ongoing cell spreading, which is a prerequisite of ongoing $FA$ maturation and maintenance of an active leading edge [142, 143].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	TAZ	TAZ-null cells lose their ability to spread on the ECM, indicating that $TAZ$ transcriptional activity is required for the establishment of horizontal polarization [101]. (This is in contrast to $YAP$ -null cells, which cannot even form <i>focal adhesions</i> .)
$Rac1 = (no TRIO) and J_Ecadheri$	t <b>Casp3</b> ) and ( (((not( <b>miR_2</b> <b>n</b> ))) or <b>Stiff_E</b>	((((FocalAdhesions and Necl5) and Horizontal_Pol) and 200 and miR_34)) and (not((Merlin and Nectin3) and ECM))
GTPa	Full <i>Rac1</i> acti as horizontal p leading edge le <i>Rac1</i> activity [145], as well a adherens and p	ivation in our model requires the absence of <i>caspase 3</i> , as well polarization on a stiff ECM, Focal Adhesion formation, <i>Necl-5</i> ocalization and <i>TRIO</i> expression. In addition, on soft ECM is inhibited by the presence of $miR-200$ [144] and $miR-34$ as the cooperative action of <i>Merlin</i> , <i>Nectin3</i> and <i>E-cadherin</i> at tight junctions [146, 125].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	FocalAdhesion	Rac1 activated at focal adhesions by $FAK$ is enhanced by sforce generation supported by stiff ECM, leading to increased intracellular stiffness [147].
⊢ Loc	Necl5	<i>Necl-5</i> associates with integrins at the leading edge, where it promotes the activation of <i>Rac1</i> and <i>Cdc42</i> . <i>Necl-5</i> is required for serum-and <i>PDGF</i> -induced cell motility cell motility, an effect that does not require Nectin-3 binding on neighboring

Rac1

$\leftarrow$ Per	Horizontal _Pol	Growth of the microtubule network at leading-edge lame lipodia activates <i>Rac1</i> to drive local actin polymerizatio and further lamellipodial protrusions, thus supporting the maintenance of horizontal polarization [149].
1 61	_	maintenance of horizontal polarization [149].

cells [148].

$\leftarrow \\ \text{GEF}$	TRIO	TRIO is a GEF that controls $Rac1$ activation during migration [107] as well as proliferation [150].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	Stiff_ECM	Rac1 activated at focal adhesions by $FAK$ is enhanced by force generation supported by stiff ECM, leading to increased intracellular stiffness [147].
⊢ RNAi	$miR_{200}$	miR-200b/c-3p represses $Rac1$ mRNA by targeting its 3' UTR [144].
⊢⊢ Ind	miR_34	Though $miR$ -34 does not appear to directly target $Rac1$ mRNA [151], its overexpression blocks GTP-bound (active) $Rac1$ [145].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Merlin	A protein complex that includes <i>Merlin</i> sequesters <i>Angiomotin</i> to tight junctions, releasing it from binding the <i>Rac1</i> -inhibitor <i>Rich1</i> [125].
⊢ Compl	Nectin3	During initial cell-cell contact and adherens junciton initiation, cadherins and nectins cooperate to briefly induce, but then rapidly suppress Rac1 [146].
⊢ Compl	J _Ecadherin	During initial cell-cell contact and adherens junciton initiation, cadherins and nectins cooperate to briefly induce, but then rapidly suppress Rac1 [146].
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves $Rac1$ at two sites, resulting in the inactiva- tion of its GTPase activity and $PAK1$ binding [152].
$\mathbf{PAK1} = \mathbf{F}$	lac1	
K	The <i>p21</i> -Act the Rho GTF EMT, stress p binds to <i>PAF</i>	ivated kinase 1 $PAK1$ , a serine-threenine kinase interacts with Pases $RAC1$ and $CDC42$ to drive migration, survival, cell cycle, response and inflammation [153]. It is activated by $Rac1$ , which K1 and stimulates its kinase activity [154].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Rac1	Rac1 binds to $PAK1$ and stimulates its kinase activity [154].
Fast_Mig PAK1	ration = ((Hor	$\mathbf{izontal\_Pol} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{Stress\_Fibers}) \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{FocalAdhesions}) \ \mathbf{and}$
MSt	In our model migration of fiber mainten [155, 156].	, the <i>Fast_Migration</i> node represents sustained mesenchymal a polarized cell. This requires horizontal polarization, stress ance, focal adhesion formation and the activity of <i>PAK1</i> kinase
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Horizontal _Pol	Directed mesenchymal style migration requires <i>horizontal cell</i> polarization with a well defined leading and trailing edge [155].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Stress _Fibers	Mesenchymal style migration requires force generation via stress fibers anchored by <i>focal adhesions</i> [155].
$\leftarrow$ Ind	FocalAdhesio	Mesenchymal style migration requires force generation via stress fibers anchored by <i>focal adhesions</i> [155].

PAK1

Fast \_Migration

← ComplProc	PAK1	Active $PAK1$ coordinates a series of cytoskeletal changes at the leading edge that are required for migration and invasion. These include: a) inhibition of myosin light chain kinase in order to decrease contractility if the leading lamellipodium and loss of established actin stress fibers and very strong <i>focal</i> <i>adhesions</i> at the leading edge; b) retraction of protrusions and the cell body at the sides and trailing edge with no active PAK1; c) suppressing actin filament turnover and promot- ing leading edge stabilization; d) promote membrane ruffle
		ing leading edge stabilization; d) promote membrane ruffle formation [155, 156].

#### Table S1j: EMT module

Target Node	Node Gate	Nodo Dogarin	tion
	Link Type	Input Node	Link Description
SNAI1	$SNAI1 = (($ $(ZEB1_H) a$ $(not miR_3)$	$(((\mathbf{ZEB1}_{\mathbf{H}} \operatorname{ar} \mathbf{I})))$ and $\mathbf{ZEB1})$ and $\mathbf{ZEB1})$ or $(\mathbf{I}$	and ZEB1) and (not miR_34)) and (not GSK3)) or ((NfkB and d (not(miR_34 and GSK3)))) or (((NfkB or PAK1) and PAK1 and(((not GSK3)))) or NfkB) or ((ZEB1_Hor ZEB1)) and
	$\mathbf{TF}$		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	ZEB1	ZEB1 is a direct transcriptional activator of SNA11 [157, 158].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	ZEB1_H	ZEB1 is a direct transcriptional activator of SNA11 [157, 158].
	⊢ RNAi	$miR_{34}$	SNA11 mRNA is a direct target of $miR-34$ suppression [159].
	⊢ Deg	GSK3	GSK3 both degrades and prevents the transcription of $SNAI1$ [160].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	NfkB	The transcription factor $NF$ - $\kappa B$ promotes the expression of $SNAI1$ [161], and $NF$ - $\kappa B$ inhibition can lower $SNAI1$ expression [161].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{PLoc}$	PAK1	PAK1 phosphorylation of $SNAI1$ activates and localizes $SNAI1$ the nucleus [162].
LEF1	LEF1 = ((Z	<b>EB1</b> and (not <b>r</b>	$miR_200)$ or $ZEB1_H$ or $NfkB$
	TF	Lymphoid enh scription fact promotong pro- tion <i>SNAI2</i> and potency is boo <i>200</i> .	hancer-binding factor 1, or <i>LEF1</i> is a high-mobility group tran- or and mediator of $Wnt/\beta$ -catenin signaling. In addition to obliferation, <i>LEF1</i> helps induce EMT by activating the transcrip- ind <i>ZEB1</i> [163]. It is induced by <i>NF</i> - $\kappa B$ [164], its transcriptional obsted by <i>ZEB1</i> [165], and it is targeted for degradation by <i>miR</i> -
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	ZEB1	ZEB1 can bind to and significantly boost $LEF1$ -mediated transcription [165].

	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	ZEB1_H	ZEB1 can bind to and significantly boost LEF1-mediated transcription [165].
	⊢ Ind	miR_200	miR-200a-3p is an indirect repressor of $LEF1$ , as it limiting basal $Pitx2$ and $\beta$ -catenin complexes from inducing $LEF1$ transcription [166]. Here we assume that medium $ZEB1$ avail- ability can aid $LEF1$ -mediated transcription if $miR-200$ is repressed, while high levels of $ZEB1$ can override $miR-200$ .
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	NfkB	<i>LEF1</i> is a direct transcriptional target of $NF$ - $\kappa B$ [164].
Twist	$\mathbf{Twist} = (\mathbf{no}$	ot $\mathbf{Casp3}$ ) and (3)	$\mathbf{SNAI1} \text{ and } (\mathbf{NfkB} \text{ or } ( \text{not} \mathbf{miR}\_34 ) ))$
	$\mathrm{TF}$		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	NfkB	Transcription of <i>Twist</i> is induced by $NF$ - $\kappa B$ [167].
	← Ind	SNAI1	SNAI1 is required a rapid in rease in $Twist$ protein levels, and aids its subsequent transcription in response to $TGF\beta$ [168]. In addition, $SNAI1$ potentiates $Twist$ -mediated enhancer activation [169].
	⊢ RNAi	$miR_34$	
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Twist is a direct proteolytic target of Caspase 3 [170].
SNAI2	SNAI2 = T	$\mathbf{wist} \text{ and } ((\mathbf{SN}))$	$\mathbf{A12} \text{ or } \mathbf{N\_bcatenin}) \text{ or } (\mathbf{N\_bcatenin\_H} \text{ and } \mathbf{LEF1}))$
	TF	SNAI2, also that regulates maintained in [174] as well a	known as <i>Slug</i> , is a prototypical EMT transcription factor t tissue development and tumorigenesis [171]. It is induced / mesenhymal cells by <i>Twist</i> [172], $\beta$ -catenin [173, 171], <i>LEF1</i> s positive auto-regulation [175].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	Twist	Twist is a direct transcriptional inducer of $SNAI2$ [172].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	LEF1	LEF1 is a direct transcriptional inducer of $SNAI2$ [174].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	N_bcatenin	The $Wnt/\beta$ -catenin pathway promotes SNAI2 transcription through nuclear $\beta$ -catenin [173, 171].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	N_bcatenin _H	The $Wnt/\beta$ -catenin pathway promotes SNAI2 transcription through nuclear $\beta$ -catenin [173, 171].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	SNAI2	SNAI2 is able to bind to its own promoter and induce transcription of its own mRNA [175].
		/-	

ZEB1

 $\mathbf{ZEB1} = \mathbf{SNAI2} \ \mathrm{or} \ (\mathbf{b\_catenin\_TCF4} \ \mathrm{and} \ (\mathrm{not} \ \mathbf{miR\_200}))$ 

Zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1 or ZEB1 is one of the core regulators of the EMT transcriptional switch [176]. It is induced by SNA12 [177] and nuclear  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 [178], while the epithelial microRNA miR-200 targets its mRNA for destruction [179, 180]. As ZEB1 has two distinct activation levels in hybrid E/M cells vs fully mesenchynmal ones [181, 182, 183], we modeled ZEB1 activity with two nodes; this one represents at least medium ZEB1 activity (characteristic of hybrid E/M cells and compatible with ongoing miR-200 expression), and the  $ZEB1_H$  node representing maximal ZEB1 activation seen in fully mesenchymal cells.

$\leftarrow$ TR	SNAI2	SNAI2 promotes $ZEB1$ transcription [177].
$\leftarrow$ TR	b_catenin _TCF4	Nuclear $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 are direct transcriptional inducers of the ZEB1 promoter [178].
⊢ RNAi	$miR_{200}$	$miR_200$ mRNA expression of ZEB1 by targeting its mRNA for destruction [184, 179, 180].

N bcatenin H = (((N bcatenin and (not miR 34)) and (not J acatenin)) and

(not(miR 200 and GSK3))) and (not((CyclinE or CyclinA) and GSK3))

 $_{\rm H}^{\rm N\_bcatenin}$ 

TF

TF	The $N_bcaten$ This requires of junctions (. either $miR-20$	$in_H$ node represents maximal nuclear $\beta$ -catenin accumulation. $N_bcatenin = ON$ , a lack of $miR$ -34, either complete absence $J_acatenin = OFF$ ), and the lack of joint repression GSK3 and b0 or CyclinE/A-bound Cdk2.
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	N_bcatenin	Reaching the $N\_bcatenin\_H$ = ON state requires $N\_bcatenin$ = ON first.
⊢ RNAi	$miR_34$	$\beta$ -catenin's 3' UTR is a direct miR-34 target [185].
⊢ Loc	J_acatenin	Junctional <i>E-cadherins</i> recruits/sequesters $\beta$ -catenin to adherens junctions and block $\beta$ -catenin nuclear localization [92]. We assume that cells unable to form any adherens junctions have high nuclear $\beta$ -catenin.
⊢ Deg	GSK3	When $GSK3$ is inhibited, unphosphorylated $\beta$ -catenin accumulates, translocates to the nucleus, and promotes transcription [120].
⊢ RNAi	$miR_{200}$	$\beta$ -catenin's 3' UTR is a direct miR-200a target [186].
⊢ P	CyclinE	cyclin $E/Cdk2$ phosphorylate $\beta$ -catenin on Ser33, Ser37, Thr41, and Ser45, promoting its rapid proteasomal degradation [187].
⊢ P	CyclinA	$cyclin~A/Cdk2$ phosphorylate $\beta\text{-}catenin$ , promoting its rapid degradation [187].

ZEB1 H

 $\mathbf{ZEB1}_{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{ZEB1} \text{ and } ((\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{bcatenin}}_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ and } \mathbf{LEF1}) \text{ and } (\mathbf{SNAI2} \text{ or } (\text{not } \mathbf{miR}_{\mathbf{200}})))$ 

b catenin TCF4 = (N bcatenin H and SNAI1) and SNAI2

TF	The ZEB1_H enchymal cells well as high nu the absence of	node represents maximal ZEB1 activation seen in fully mes- [181, 182, 183]. In our model this requires medium ZEB1, as iclear $\beta$ -catenin [178], LEF1 [188], and either SNAI2 [177] or miR-200 [179, 180].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	ZEB1	As the ZEB1 node represents moderate levels of this trascription factor, $ZEB1_H = ON$ requires $ZEB1 = ON$ .
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	N_bcatenin _H	Nuclear $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 are direct transcriptional inducers of the ZEB1 promoter [178]. We assume that high levels of nuclear $\beta$ -catenin are required for turning on the ZEB1_H node.
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	LEF1	LEF1 overexpression lead to a substantial increase in $ZEB1$ , indicating that elevated levels of $LEF1$ can help push $ZEB1$ into its mesenchymal-specific high expression range ( $LEF1_H$ node) [188].
$\leftarrow$ TR	SNAI2	SNAI2 promotes $ZEB1$ transcription [177].
⊢ RNAi	miR_200	miR-200 reduces mRNA expression of ZEB1 by targeting its mRNA for destruction [184, 179, 180].

b\_catenin \_TCF4

 $\mathbf{PC}$ 

miR

The  $b\_catenin\_TCF4$  node represents saturating levels of active nuclear  $\beta$ catenin/TCF4 transcriptional activity. In addition to the influences required to accumulate high nuclear  $\beta$ -catenin, this node's ON state also requires SNAI1 and SNAI2 expression, as both factors promote the formation of active  $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 transcriptional complexes [189].

$\leftarrow$ Ind	SNAI1	SNAI1/2 promote the formation of active $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 transcriptional complexes [189].
$\leftarrow$ Ind	SNAI2	SNAI1/2 promote the formation of active $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 transcriptional complexes [189].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	N_bcatenin _H	Reaching saturating levels of active nuclear $\beta$ -catenin/TCF4 complex formation requires maximal nuclear $\beta$ -catenin accumularion (N bcatenin $H = ON$ ).

#### miR 34 miR 34 = (not SNAI1) or (not(ZEB1 or ZEB1 H))

miR-34 is an microRNA expressed in epithelial cells and central to blocking the accumulation of EMT-initiating transcription factors such as SNAI1 and  $\beta$ -catenin [190]. SNAI1, together with ZEB1, feed back to repress miR-34expression in mesenhymal and hybrid E/M cells [191].

⊢ TR	SNAI1	SNAI1 is a direct inhibitor of $miR-34$ transcription [191].
⊢ TR	ZEB1	ZEB1 is a direct inhibitor of $miR-34$ transcription [191].
⊢ TR	ZEB1_H	ZEB1 is a direct inhibitor of $miR-34$ transcription [191].

# $miR_200 = p21 \text{ or } ((not((Twist and ZEB1_H) and SNAI1)) and (not(((Twist and SNAI1)))))))))))))$

miR

 $\leftarrow$ Ind

⊢ TR

⊢

Ind

⊢

Ind

← Epi

 $\leftarrow$ 

TR

Twist

The miR-200 node represents microRNA family expressed in epithelial cells and central to blocking EMT transcription factors [192]. Its levels are increaased by p21 [193], it is directly induced by c-Myb [75] and repressed by ZEB1 [194, 195]. This repression is indirectly supported by SNAI1 [196, 197] and Twist [198]. Here we assume that in addition to the absence of p21and the aid of SNAI1 and Twist, high levels of ZEB1 ( $ZEB_H = ON$ ) are required to silence the active miR-200 promoter. In contrast, medium ZEB1can maintain repression as long as miR-200 is silenced and its inducer c-Mybis off.

	<i>p21</i> knockdown downregulates several EMT-blockign miRNAs,
	including miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-200c and the miR-183-
	96-182 cluster. This inhibits EMT, migration and invasion
	[193]. While it is unclear if $p21$ is a direct repressor of the
	miR-200 cluster, it was shown to bind ZEB1 and inhibit
p21	its transcriptional effets, relieving its ability to repress the
	miR-183-96-182 cluster [193]. As this cluster, not explicitly
	accounted for in our model, further inhibits ZEB1 expression
	[193], it is possible that $p21$ increases $miR-200$ levels indi-
	rectly via this cluster, directly by blocking ZEB1-mediated
	repression, or both.
	ZEB1 is a direct transcriptional repressor of $miR-200$ expres-
ZEB1_H	sion [194, 195].
	ZEB1 is a direct transcriptional repressor of $miR_2200$ express

- $\begin{array}{c|c} \vdash & \ensuremath{\text{ZEB1}}\\ \ensuremath{\text{TR}} & \ensuremath{\text{ZEB1}} & \ensuremath{\text{is a direct transcriptional repressor of } miR-200 \text{ expression}\\ \ensuremath{\text{sion [194, 195]}}. \end{array}$ 
  - SNAI1 SNAI1 induction reduces the expression of miR-200 [196, 197].

Twist upregulation is required to maintain high levels of ZEB1 (Twist is a direct enhancer of ZEB1) [198]. Thus, we assume that ZEB1 and SNAI1 cannot fully repress the miR-200 cluster in the absence of Twist. In addition, overexpression of Twist resulted in DNA methylation of the miR-200 locus, though this effect is likely the indirect result of ZEB1-mediated repression [199].

$miR_{200}$	As the $miR-200$ promoter is subject of DNA methylaiton and epigenetic silencing during EMT [199], we assume that it is more difficult to turn off than to maintain its silenced state.
c Myb	The proto-oncogene $c$ - $Myb$ induces the expression of the $miR$ - 200 family, unless the locus is silenced by DNA methylation

[75].

mRNA	The <i>Ecadherin_mRNA</i> represents basal <i>E-cadherin</i> expression, required to make at least some adherens juncitons with neighbors. This basal expression is only blocked during full EMT by the joint action of <i>ZEB1</i> [200], <i>SNAI1</i> [201, 184, 191], <i>SNAI2</i> [201, 202, 184], and <i>Twist</i> [203, 191].		
⊢ TR	ZEB1_H	ZEB1 is a direct transcriptional inhibitor of <i>E-cadherin</i> [200], either in partnership with its co-repressor $CtBP$ [204], or by binding to the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling protein <i>BRG1</i> [205].	
⊢ TR	ZEB1	ZEB1 is a direct transcriptional inhibitor of <i>E-cadherin</i> [200], either in partnership with its co-repressor $CtBP$ [204], or by binding to the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling protein <i>BRG1</i> [205].	
⊢ TR	SNAI1	SNAI1 is a direct transcriptional inhibitor of $E$ -cadherin [201, 184, 191].	
⊢ TR	SNAI2	<i>SNAI2</i> is a direct transcriptional inhibitor of <i>E-cadherin</i> transcription [201, 202, 184].	
⊢ TR	Twist	Twist is a direct transcriptional inhibitor of $E$ -cadherin transcription [203, 191].	

# Table S1k: Restriction\_SW module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
p21	p21 = (p21)	$_{\mathbf{mRNA}}$ and (	$(not \mathbf{Casp3}))$ and $(not \mathbf{CyclinE})$
	Prot	In this model, high basal p2 loss of FoxO from Cyclin B	the $p21$ node corresponds to nuclear p21 in cells with relatively p1 activity. $p21$ activity and or localization can be lowered by mediated transcription (see $p21_{mRNA}$ node) and via feedback $E/Cdk2$ [206].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TL}$	p21 _mRNA	p21 protein activity requires the presence of $p21$ transcription.
	⊢ Deg	CyclinE	p21 and $Cyclin E/Cdk2$ form a positive (double-negative) feedback loop in which $Cyclin E/Cdk2$ activates the $SCF/Skp2$ complex responsible for the degradation of $Cyclin E/Cdk2$ - bound, phosphorylated $p21$ [207]. $p21$ , in turn, not only blocks Cyclin E/Cdk2 activity, but it also inhibits $Cyclin D1$ . Thus, p21 interferes with the mitogen signal that turns on $Cyclin Ein the first place. In quiescent cells with high basal p21 levels,this positive feedback renders cell cycle entry stochastic [206].$
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves and deactivates $p21$ [208].
pRB	$\mathbf{pRB} = ($	((not Casp3) a	and $(not CyclinD1))$ and $(not CyclinA))$ and $(p27Kip1 \text{ or }$

(not CyclinE))

#### Table S1k: Restriction SW module

pRB is active in the absence of Caspase 3, Cyclin D1, Cyclin A, and Cyclin E. In addition, pRB maintains its activity when active  $p27^{Kip1}$  counteracts the effects of Cyclin E [209, 210, 211, 212].

$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	p27Kip1	Active $p27^{Kip1}$ can counteract the inhibitory effects of active $CyclinE/Cdk2$ complexes [209].
⊢ P	CyclinD1	Cyclin $D1/Cdk4.6$ complexes bind and phosphorylate $RB$ , inhibiting its activity [213, 214, 211].
⊢ P	CyclinE	Cyclin $E/Cdk2$ complexes bind and phosphorylate $RB$ , inhibiting its activity [215, 211].
⊢ P	CyclinA	Cyclin A/Cdk1,2 complexes phosphorylate and deactivate $RB$ [210].
⊢ Lvsis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves $RB$ , generating fragments that do not associate with $E2F1$ , rendering $RB$ inactive [216].

p27Kip1

 $\mathbf{TF}$ 

 $\operatorname{Prot}$ 

⊢

Deg

Active  $p27^{Kip1}$  is cleaved by *Caspase 3* and inhibited (sequestered) by *Cyclin* D1/Cdk4, 6 [209] or *Cyclin* B/Cdk1 [217]. In addition, maintenance of  $p27^{Kip1}$  requires one or both *FoxO* factors when sequestered by *Cyclin* E/Cdk2 (one *FoxO* factor) or *Cyclin* A/Cdk2 (both *FoxO* factors), but it cannot keep pace with the simultaneous activity of *Cyclin* E/Cdk2 and *Cyclin* A/Cdk2 [218].

$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxO3	FoxO factors are direct inducers of $p27^{Kip1}$ expression [219].
$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxO1	FoxO factors are direct inducers of $p27^{Kip1}$ expression [219].
⊢ TR	Necl5	<i>Necl5</i> downregulates the transcription of $p27Kip1$ in response to growth factor stimulation [220].
⊢ IBind	CyclinD1	Active Cyclin $D/Cdk4,6$ complexes competitively bind to $p27Kip1$ and progressively inhibit its ability to keep Cyclin- $E/Cdk2$ inactive, thereby inducing cdk2 activity and cell-cycle progression [209].
⊢ Deg	CyclinE	Active Cyclin-E/Cdk2 phosphorylate $p27Kip1$ at threenine 187 (Thr187) [221], which marks it for degradation by the $SCF^{SKP2}$ complex at the onset of S-phase [222]. (Cyclin- E/Cdk2 complexes remain active in the presence of $p27Kip1$ and promote its degradation when Cyclin-A is also active.)

Cyclin A / Cdk2 complexes bind and inactivate  $p27^{Kip1}$  by sequestration, phosphorylate it, and promote its degradation [223].

 $\begin{array}{c} \vdash \\ \text{PLoc} \end{array} \text{CyclinB} & \begin{array}{c} Cyclin B/Cdk1 \text{ complexes phosphorylate } p27^{Kip1} \text{ [223], and} \\ \text{although they do not promote its degradation, phosphorylated} \\ p27^{Kip1} \text{ is exported from the nuclear compartment and looses} \\ \text{its ability to inhibit } Cdk \text{ activity [217].} \end{array}$ 

#### Table S1k: Restriction SW module

	⊢ PLoc	Cdk1	Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ complexes phosphorylate $p27^{Kip1}$ [223], and although they do not promote its degradation, phosphorylated $p27^{Kip1}$ is exported from the nuclear compartment and looses its ability to inhibit $Cdk$ activity [217].
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves p27Kip1 [224]; the cleaved fragments can no longer associate with Cdk2 / Cyclin complexes [225].
My (not	$\mathbf{rc} = ((\mathbf{ER})$	$\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{YAP}$ ) or nd (( $\mathbf{eIF4E}$ or $\mathbf{R}$	$((\mathbf{ERK} \operatorname{or} \mathbf{YAP}) \operatorname{and} (\mathbf{eIF4E} \operatorname{and} (\operatorname{not} \mathbf{GSK3})))) \operatorname{or} ((\mathbf{E2F1} \operatorname{and} \mathbf{ERK}) \operatorname{or} (\operatorname{not} \mathbf{GSK3})))$
TF		Myc activity phorylation, a account theince promoting phoe can compensate transcription be active $pRB$ [22] the absence of	is turned on by stabilization of the protein via $ERK$ phos- ided by $YAP$ -mediated transcription [226, 227]. To take into rease in translation initiated by $eIF4E$ and loss of degradation- osphorylation when $GSK3\beta$ is off [228], we assumed that they be for the lack of $ERK$ , absence of $YAP$ . Alternatively, increased by $E2F1$ can also promote $Myc$ accumulation in the absence of 29], provided that the protein is stabilized by $ERK$ , $eIF4E$ , or $GSK3\beta$ .
	← P	ERK	Ser-62 phosphorylation by $ERK$ increases its half life, leading to $Myc$ accumulation [228, 230].
	$\leftarrow$ TR	YAP	$Y\!AP$ is a transcriptional inducer of /textitc-Myc [226, 227].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	eIF4E	Increased translational initiation in the presence of activated $eIF4E$ leads to an increase in $Myc$ protein levels [231].
	⊢ P	GSK3	Thr-58 phosphorylation by $GSK\mathchar`-3$ promotes $Myc$ degradation [228, 232].
	⊢ TR	pRB	E2F1 's ability to induce $Myc$ is blocked by active (hypophosphorylated $pRB)$ [233].
	$\leftarrow$ TR	E2F1	E2F1 binds and activates the $c-Myc$ promoter [234, 235].
a	1. D1		1/(/ + 0.1) $1/(/ + OOTZO)$ $1.374 D$ $1/3.5$

CyclinD1 = (not CHK1) and (((not p21) and (((not GSK3) and YAP) and (Myc or P21)))E2F1)) or (((CyclinD1 and YAP) and (Myc or E2F1)) or (Myc and E2F1)))) or (((not pRB) and E2F1) and (((Myc and CyclinD1) or (Myc and (not GSK3))) or ((YAP and (NYC)) or ((YAP) and (YAP) or (YACyclinD1) and (not GSK3)))))

> Ongoing DNA synthesis keeps the CHK1 kinase active, which inhibits Cyclin D1. The precise regulatory logic of Cyclin D1 as a function of transcriptional control by Myc and E2F1, combined with the regulation of its protein stability / activity by  $GSK3\beta$  / basal p21 is not known. Here, we assume that in the absence of p21 (once p21 levels drop due to growth factor signals and/or Cdk2 activation), Cyclin D1 can be activated by YAP and either Myc or E2F1 – as long as  $GSK3\beta$  is OFF. In the presence of  $GSK3\beta$ , we assume that Cyclin D1 can be induced by the combined action of both Myc and E2F1 [236], but sustained in an ON state by either. In the presence of basal (normal quiescent) levels of p21, we assume that Cyclin D1 transcription requires E2F1 unencumbered by pRB, as well as any two of the following: Myc, already active Cyclin D1, sustained by YAP and not blocked by  $GSK3\beta$ .

CyclinD1

 $\mathbf{PC}$ 

Myc

# Table S1k: Restriction\_SW module

	⊢ P	CHK1	During replication, checkpoint kinases such as <i>CHK1</i> (active during normal DNA synthesis) suppress <i>Cyclin D1</i> [237], which has a very short half-life ( $\sim 24 \text{ min}$ ) [238].	
II	⊢ Bind	p21	$p21^{Cip1}$ is a Cyclin Dependent kinase inhibitor which binds to and blocks the activity of $Cdk2$ , $Cdk3$ , $Cdk4$ and $Cdk6$ kinases [239] and thus inhibits $CyclinD1/Cdk4$ , 6 [240].	
	⊢ P	GSK3	$GSK\text{-}3\beta$ phosphorylates $Cyclin~D1$ on Thr-286, promoting its ubiquitination and degradation [241].	
	$\leftarrow$ TR	YAP	YAP is a direct transcriptional inducer of Cyclin D [242].	
	← TR	Мус	Extracellular growth signals activate the MAPK pathway, leading to transcriptional activation of Cyclin D1 by Myc [243, 244]. Myc overexpression leads to rapid Cyclin D1 induction and subsequent cell cycle entry [245], while its absence halves Cyclin D1 levels [246]. In addition, Myc induces Cdk4, aiding the assembly of active Cyclin D1 / Cdk4,6 complexes [246, 247].	
	← TR	E2F1	The Cyclin D1 promoter is bound by $E2F$ factors including $E2F1$ [248], and $E2F1$ overexpression can increase Cyclin D1 (though its effects are context-dependent, as $E2F1$ overexpression can also lead to apoptosis) [248]. Dominant negative $E2F1$ overexpression results in a 2-3 fold decrease in Cyclin D expression and Cyclin D/Cdk4,6 activity [249].	
,	⊢ TR	pRB	$E2F1$ 's ability to induce $Cyclin \ D1$ is blocked by active (hypophosphorylated) $RB$ protein [250].	
	$\leftarrow$ Per	CyclinD1	In order to take into account both production and stability of Cyclin D1, we assumed that the presence of active CyclinD/Cdk2,4 complexes renders transcriptional maintenance of their levels easier.	
E2F Myo	$\mathbf{E2F1} = (\operatorname{not}((\mathbf{CAD} \operatorname{or} \mathbf{CyclinA}) \operatorname{or} \mathbf{pRB})) \operatorname{and} ((\mathbf{YAP} \operatorname{and} (\mathbf{E2F1} \operatorname{or} \mathbf{Myc})) \operatorname{or} (\mathbf{E2F1} \operatorname{and} \mathbf{Myc}))$			
$_{\mathrm{TF}}$		In the absence by $YAP$ and $I$ it destroys the	of both $CyclinA$ and $pRB$ , $E2F1$ transcription can be induced $Myc$ or maintained by active $E2F1$ . $CAD$ deactivates $E2F1$ as a cell's DNA.	
,	$\leftarrow$ TR	YAP	YAP is a direct transcriptional inducer of $E2F1$ [251].	
	⊢ TR	pRB	RB binds to $E2F/DP1$ complexes and switches their DNA binding activity from activation to repression [250, 252].	
	← TR	Myc	Myc is required for growth-factor mediated induction of $E2F1$ [253, 246]. It binds to and remodels the $E2F1$ promoter, facil- itating $E2F1$ transcription [236]. In addition, $Myc$ augments protein expression of $E2F1$ [254]. Single-cell experiments show that $Myc$ is a critical modulator of the amplitude of $E2F$ activation [255].	

E2F1

# Table S1k: Restriction\_SW module

	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	E2F1	E2F1 binds to its own promoter and up regulates transcription (as long as Cyclin D/E activity blocks $RB$ - $E2F1$ binding) [256].
	н Р	CyclinA	The phosphorylation of the $E2F1$ -binding $DP-1$ protein by $Cyclin A$ , which binds directly to $E2F-1$ (as well as $E2F-2,3$ ) downregulates $E2F1$ transcriptional activity in S phase [257, 258, 259].
	⊢ Deg	CAD	This link from Caspase-activated DNase $(CAD)$ to $E2F1$ ensures that apoptotic cells settle into an $E2F1$ -negative attractor regardless of their initial state. The rationale for this is that $E2F1$ cannot maintain its activity if DNA is fragmented.
CyclinE	$\mathbf{CyclinE} = (\mathbf{Casp3})$	$((\mathbf{E2F1}  ext{ and } \mathbf{Cd}$	$\mathbf{c6}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Pre}_{\mathbf{RC}}) \text{ and } (\mathrm{not}(((\mathbf{pRB} \text{ or } \mathbf{p27Kip1}) \text{ or } \mathbf{CHK1}) \text{ or }$
	PC	In our model, complexes. T blocked by ac absence of its	, the ON state of Cyclin E represents active Cyclin $E/Cdk2$ Chus, its full activation requires transcription via $E2F1$ not ctive $pRB$ , binding to $Cdc6$ and $pre-RC$ complexes, and the inhibitors $p27^{Kip1}$ , $CHK1$ and Caspase 3.
	⊢ TR	pRB	Cyclin E transcription by $E2F1$ requires the absence of active, un-phosphorylated $RB$ [259].
	⊢ IBind	p27Kip1	$p27Kip1$ binds to and prevents the activation of $Cyclin\ E/Cdk2$ complexes [209].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	E2F1	$E2F1$ is a potent transcriptional activator of $Cyclin \ E$ [260].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	Cdc6	Chromatin association and full activation of Cyclin $E/Cdk2$ requires $Cdc6$ [261].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Compl}$	Pre_RC	At the G1/S transition, Cyclin E is loaded onto chromatin by pre-RC complexes (Cdc6 and Cdt1 binding), where it is required for MCM2 loading, origin firing and the start of DNA synthesis [262]. In addition, activation of its partner Cdk2 by Cdc6 is contingent on this localization [261].
	⊢ P	CHK1	Chk1 activation during normal S-phase progression keeps $Cdk2$ activity in a physiological range by binding to both $Cdk2$ and $Cdc25A$ , aiding the loss of Cyclin $E/Cdk1$ activity [263].
	⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves and deactivates Cyclin E, which is then rapidly degraded [264].

# Table S11: Origin\_Licensing module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Node Description Link Type Input Node Link Description	
ORC	$\mathbf{ORC} = \mathbf{E2F1} \text{ or } ((\mathbf{Pre} \mathbf{RC} \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdt1}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdc6})$	
#### Table S11: Origin Licensing module

ORC proteins can bind at origins of replication when transcribed by E2F1 or as part of a fully assembled and licensed Pre-RC complex (including active Cdc6 and Cdt1).

$\leftarrow$ TR	E2F1	Expression of the $ORC1$ gene is regulated by $E2F1$ [265].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Cdc6	Availability of stable (unphosphorylated) $Cdc6$ in the $Pre-RC$ is necessary for the maintenance of licensed origins [266].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Cdt1	Active (unphosphorylated and not geminin-bound) $Cdt1$ bound to the $Pre$ - $RC$ is necessary for the maintenance of licensed origins [266].
← Compl	Pre_RC	Licensed but not yet fired replication complexes ( <i>Pre-RCs</i> containing <i>ORC</i> , <i>Cdc6</i> , <i>Cdt1</i> and inactive <i>MCMs</i> ) remain stable at sites of replication origin until fired by the activation of the <i>MCM</i> helicase [266].

Cdc6

 $\mathbf{PC}$ 

Prot

←

Compl

 $\leftarrow$ 

Compl

 $\mathbf{P}$ 

 $Cdc6 = ((not Casp3) and (not(f4N_DNA and CyclinA))) and (((E2F1 and ORC) and (not Plk1)) or (((Pre_RC and ORC) and Cdc6) and Cdt1))$ 

In our model the Cdc6 node represents nuclear, chromatin-bound Cdc6. Thus, the node is only active during the assembly of pre-replication complexes, or their ongoing presence during DNA replication. Cdc6 is ON in the absence of Caspase 3 or CyclinA / Cdk2 phosphorylation of Cdc6 in all origins required for the completion of DNA replication (thus, its inhibition by Cyclin A also requires 4N DNA). In addition, active Cdc6 requires either transcription by E2F1 and recruitment by origin-bound ORC proteins in the absence of mitotic Plk1 or maintenance of Pre-RCs by the presence of all of its components.

- $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ TR \\ \leftarrow \\ Compl \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Transcription of Cdc6 is directly induced by E2F1 [267].} \\ \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll}\leftarrow & \\ \text{Per} & \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Stable (unphosphorylated) } Cdc6 \text{ in the } Pre-RC \text{ is necessary} \\ \text{for the maintenance of licensed origins [266].} \end{array}$ 
  - Cdt1 Active (unphosphorylated and not geminin-bound) Cdt1bound to the Pre-RC is necessary for the maintenance of licensed origins [266].
  - $Pre\_RC$ Licensed but not yet fired replication complexes (*Pre-RCs* containing *ORC*, *Cdc6*, *Cdt1* and inactive *MCMs*) remain stable and *Cdc6*-bound until fired by the activation of the *MCM* helicase [266].

Plk1 binds, phosphorylated and strongly recruits Cdc6 to<br/>the spindle pole during metaphase, then to the central spin-<br/>dle in anaphase, leading to its exclusion from chromosomes<br/>until telophase, when the majority of Plk1 is degraded by<br/> $APC/C^{Cdh1}$  [268].

 $\underset{P}{\vdash} \qquad CyclinA \qquad \begin{array}{c} Phosphorylation of $CDC6$ by $Cyclin $A/Cdk2$ during DNA$ replication leads to its re-localization to the cytoplasm [269]. \end{array}$ 

## Table S11: Origin\_Licensing module

⊢ Ind	f4N_DNA	In our model, full deactivation of Cdc6 represents the firing of all ORCs as DNA replication is completed. Thus <i>Cyclin</i> <i>A</i> 's inhibitory action takes full effect once the cell reaches 4N DNA content [269].	
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves and deactivates $Cdc6$ [270].	
$\mathbf{Cdt1} = ((((\mathbf{Cdc25A}))))$	not $geminin$ ) and (( $Pre_RC$	and <b>ORC</b> ) and <b>Cdc6</b> ) and $(not((CyclinE and CyclinA))$ and and $(E2F1 \text{ or } Myc))$ or $(E2F1 \text{ and } (Myc \text{ or } (not pRB))))$	
Prot	Replication-origin bound $Cdt1$ requires the absence of geminin, the presence of origin-bound $ORC$ and $Cdc6$ , and the absence of sustained $Cdk2$ activ- ity responsible for the firing of all origins during DNA synthesis (modeled as simultaneous Cyclin E, Cyclin A and $Cdc25A$ activity). Bound into a licensed pre-replication complex ( <i>Pre-RC</i> ), $Cdt1$ remains stable as long a it is transcribed by $E2F1$ [271] or $Myc$ [272] (this guarantees that <i>Pre-RC</i> complexes cannot persist indefinitely in the absence of de novo transcription Alternatively, it can be turned on by $E2F1$ , aided by $Myc$ or the absence of RB, and $FoxO3$ in cells with 4N DNA.		
⊢ TR	pRB	$E2F1\mbox{-}mediated$ transcription of $Cdt1$ is blocked by hypophosphorylated (active) $pRB$ [271].	
$\leftarrow$ TR	Myc	Cdt1 is a direct transcriptional target of the $Myc$ - $Max$ complex [272], ensuring its availability for $Pre$ - $RC$ formation and maintenance.	
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	E2F1	Cdt1 is a direct transcriptional target of $E2F1$ [271], ensuring its availability for $Pre-RC$ formation and maintenance.	
⊢ P	CyclinE	Sustained $Cdk2$ activity during S-phase (modeled as simultaneous $Cyclin E$ , $Cyclin A$ and $Cdc25A$ activity) is responsible for the firing of all origins required to complete DNA synthesis; it also leads to the phosphorylation and proteasomal degradation of $Cdt1$ [266].	
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	ORC	ORC-bound origin of replication sites are the point of pre- replication complex assembly, where $Cdt1$ is recruited by ORC-bound $Cdc6$ [266].	
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Cdc6	ORC-bound $Cdc6$ recruits $Cdt1$ to $pre-RC$ complexes [266].	
$\leftarrow \\ \text{Compl}$	Pre_RC	Licensed but not yet fired replication complexes $(Pre-RC)$ remain stable until fired during DNA replication [266].	
⊢ IBind	geminin	Geminin binds to $Cdt1$ at pre-replication complexes, where it blocks $Cdt1$ binding to DNA, sequestering it away from Pre-RCs [273].	
⊢ P	Cdc25A	Sustained $Cdk2$ activity leads to phosphorylation and degradation of $Cdt1$ [266].	
⊢ P	CyclinA	Sustained $Cdk2$ activity leads to phosphorylation and degradation of $Cdt1$ [266].	

Cdt1

 $\rm Pre\_RC$ 

 $\mathbf{Pre}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{C} = ((\mathbf{ORC} \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdc6}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdt1}) \text{ and } (\mathrm{not}(\mathbf{Replication} \text{ and } \mathbf{f4N}_{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{DNA}))$ 

## Table S11: Origin\_Licensing module

PC	<i>Pre-RC</i> comp of replication OFF at the m is blocked in	lexes assemble when $ORC$ , $Cdc6$ , and $Cdt1$ are all bound to sites origin along the DNA. The node denoting their licensing turns noment of transition from ongoing <i>Replication</i> to $f4N_DNA$ (it the one time-point when both of these nodes are ON).
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	ORC	Pre-RC complexes assemble when $ORC$ , $Cdc6$ , and $Cdt1$ are all bound to sites of replication origin along the DNA [266].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	Cdc6	Pre-RC complexes assemble when $ORC$ , $Cdc6$ , and $Cdt1$ are all bound to sites of replication origin along the DNA [266].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	Cdt1	<i>Pre-RC</i> complexes assemble when <i>ORC</i> , <i>Cdc6</i> , and <i>Cdt1</i> are all bound to sites of replication origin along the DNA, leading to the recruitment of the $MCM$ helicase [266].
⊢ Unbind	Replication	Pre-RCs fire and fall apart during DNA replication [266].
⊢ Ind	f4N_DNA	In our model the <i>Pre-RC</i> node turns OFF when <i>Replication</i> is completed, marked by the time-point when both <i>Replication</i> and $f_4N\_DNA$ are ON.
geminin $geminin =$	$(\mathbf{E2F1} \text{ and } (\text{not}$	$(\mathbf{cdh1}))$ and $(\mathbf{not}(\mathbf{pAPC} \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdc20}))$
Prot	Geminin is put tion by $APC_{/}$	resent when transcribed by $E2F1$ and not targeted for degrada- $/C^{Cdh1}$ or $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ [274].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	E2F1	Geminin is a direct transcriptional target of $E2F1$ [271].
⊢ Ubiq	pAPC	Geminin is a target of $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ at the metaphase/ anaphase transition [274].
⊢ Ubiq	Cdc20	Geminin is a target of $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ at the metaphase/ anaphase transition [274].
⊢ Ubiq	Cdh1	Geminin is a target of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ ubiquitin ligase [275].

## Table S1m: Phase\_SW module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description		
CyclinA _mRNA	CyclinA_n	$\mathbf{RNA} = (\mathrm{not}\mathbf{CAD}) \mathrm{and}((\mathbf{E2F1}\mathrm{and}(\mathrm{not}\mathbf{pRB}))\mathrm{or}\mathbf{FoxM1})$			
	mRNA	In non-apoptotic cells (no $CAD$ ), Cyclin A is transcribed by $E2F1$ in the absence of active $RB$ or by $FoxM1$ .			
	⊢ TR	pRB	Active $RB$ blocks $E2F1$ 's ability to transcribe Cyclin A [276].		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	E2F1	Cyclin A is transcriptionally activated by $E2F$ factors [259].		

$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxM1	Depletion of $FoxM1$ results in reduced $Cyclin A2$ expression (it is not clear whether $FoxM1$ is a direct transcriptional inducer of $Cyclin A$ ) [277, 278, 279, 280].
⊢ Ind	CAD	This link from Caspase-activated DNase $(CAD)$ to Cyclin A mRNA ensures that apoptotic cells settle into a G0-like attractor regardless of their initial state. The rationale for this is that no mRNA synthesis can be maintained if DNA is fragmented (we only use these links from $CAD$ if needed).

Emi1

FoxM1

Emi1 = ((E2F1 or (not pRB)) or (not p21)) and (not(((Plk1 and CyclinB) and Cdk1) and Cdk1)(U Kinetochores or A Kinetochores))) Our model allows the sustained presence of *Emi1* protein when it is either actively transcribed by E2F1 [281, 282] or lacks joint inhibition by pRB [282] Prot and p21 [283]. Degradation of Emi1 is mediated by Plk1 and CyclinB/Cdk1 complexes; initiation of this degradation requires at least temporary colocalization of *Emi1* with *Plk1* at mitotic spindle poles [284]. p21 activation during DNA damage lead to a substantial  $\vdash$ p21 decrease of Emi1 levels, not observed in p21-null cells [283]. Ind Active retinoblastoma protein can block Emi1 transcription ⊢ pRB mediated by E2F1 [282]. TR $\leftarrow$ E2F1 *Emi1* is a direct transactional target of *E2F1* [281, 282]. TRPlk1 phosphorylates Emi1 at mitotic spindle poles, stimulat-⊢ Plk1 ing its  $\beta TrCP$  binding and ubiquitination [284]. Ρ Cyclin B/Cdk1 enhances the ability of Plk1 to mediate Emi1 ⊢ CyclinB destruction [284]. Ind Cyclin B/Cdk1 enhances the ability of Plk1 to mediate Emi1  $\vdash$ Cdk1 destruction [284]. Ind As *Plk1*-mediated phosphorylation of *Emi1* occurs at mitotic U spindle poles, our model requires ongoing mitosis for this Kinetochores Ind interaction [284]. Plk1 phosphorylates Emi1 at mitotic spindle poles, stimulat-А ⊢ Kinetochores ing its  $\beta TrCP$  binding and ubiquitination [284]. Ind FoxM1 = (((Myc or YAP) and CyclinE) or ((CyclinA and Cdc25A) and Cdc25B)) or ((CyclinA and Cdc25A) and Cdc25B))((Plk1 and CyclinB) and Cdk1) In our model, FoxM1 activity requires increased expression by Myc [285] or YAP [242] and activating phosphorylation by Cyclin E/Cdk2. Alternatively, TFFor M1 activity can be sustained by potent  $Cdk_2 / Cdk_1$  activity in G2 (supported by Cdc25A or Cdc25B), or a serial phosphorylation by Cyclin B/Cdk1 and Plk1 during mitosis.  $\leftarrow$ VAP ForM1 is a direct transcriptional target of YAP [242]

$\mathrm{TR}$	1711	1021111	is a direct	transcriptionar	target of	1111 [2	·=2]·
$\leftarrow$ TR	Myc	FoxM1	is a direct	transcriptional	target of	c-Myc	[285].

← P	CyclinE	Cyclin $E/Cdk2$ complexes bind and phosphorylate FoxM1, potently inducing its transcriptional activity, which starts during S-phase [286].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Cdc25A	Active $Cdc25A$ binds to and enhances the transcriptional activity of $FoxM1$ , potentually by bridging FoxM1 and active cyclin- $Cdk2$ complexes [287].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Cdc25B	Cdc25B over expression can increase $FoxM1$ -dependent transcription, likely via aiding Cdk1 activity [288].
← P	Plk1	Plk1 binds and phosphorylates $FoxM1$ , which activates $FoxM1$ -mediated transcription in early mitosis [289].
← P	CyclinA	In addition to Cyclin $E/Cdk2$ , Cyclin $A/Cdk2$ complexes can also keep $FoxM1$ transcriptionally active by phosphorylating its autoinhibitory N-terminal region [290].
← P	CyclinB	For $M1$ binds $Plk1$ , and phosphorylation of two key residues at this binding domain by $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ primes it for $Plk1$ binding [289].
← P	Cdk1	FoxM1 binds $Plk1$ , and phosphorylation of two key residues at this binding domain by Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ primes it for $Plk1$ binding [289].

Cdc25A

 $\mathbf{Ph}$ 

 $\label{eq:cdc25A} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Cdc25A} = (((\mathbf{FoxM1} \, \mathrm{and} \, \mathbf{E2F1}) \, \mathrm{and} \, (\mathrm{not} \, \mathbf{pRB})) \, \mathrm{or} \, ((\mathrm{not} \, \mathbf{Cdh1}) \, \mathrm{and} \, (\mathbf{FoxM1} \, \mathrm{or} \, (\mathbf{E2F1} \, \mathrm{and} \, (\mathrm{not} \, \mathbf{pRB}))))) \\ \mathrm{and} \, ((((\mathrm{not} (\mathbf{GSK3} \, \mathrm{or} \, \mathbf{CHK1})) \, \mathrm{or} \, \mathbf{CyclinE}) \, \mathrm{or} \, \mathbf{CyclinA}) \, \mathrm{or} \, (\mathbf{CyclinB} \, \mathrm{and} \, \mathbf{Cdk1})) \\ \mathbf{Cdk1})) \end{array}$ 

As the precise combinatorial regulation of Cdc25A throughput the cell cycle is unknown, our model assumes that accumulation of the Cdc25A protein requires transcriptional activation by both E2F1 in the absence of pRB, and FoxM1 to override destruction by  $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ . Alternatively, one of the two transcription factors can drive Cdc25A accumulation in the absence of  $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ . In addition, stabilization of Cdc25A either requires the absence of  $GSK3\beta$  and CHK1 (both of which promote its degradation), or stabilization by Cdk activity.

⊢ P	GSK3	$GSK3\beta$ phosphorylates $Cdc25A,$ promoting its proteolysis [291].
⊢ TR	pRB	Active (hypo-phosphorylated) $pRB$ blocks $E2F1$ 's ability to drive $Cdc25A$ transcription [292, 293].
$\leftarrow$ TR	E2F1	E2F1 is a direct transcriptional inducer of $Cdc25A$ [292].
← P	CyclinE	Cdc25A protein levels are stabilized during S-phase by $Cy-clinE/Cdk2$ -dependent phosphorylation [294].
$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxM1	FoxM1 is a direct transcriptional inducer of $Cdc25A$ [287].
← P	CyclinA	Cdc25A protein levels are stabilized during S and G2 by $Cdk2$ - dependent phosphorylation. $Cdk2$ first partners with $Cyclin$ E [294], then continues to stabilize $Cdc25A$ past the point of Cyclin E expression by partnering with $Cyclin A$ [295].

← P	CyclinB	During mitosis, $Cdc25A$ is stabilized by $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ phosphorylation, which protects it from the proteasome [296].
← P	Cdk1	During mitosis, $Cdc25A$ is stabilized by $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ phosphorylation, which protects it from the proteasome [296].
⊢ Deg	Cdh1	The $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ complex degrades $Cdc25A$ at mitotic exit [297, 298].
⊢ P	CHK1	CHK1 phosphorylates $Cdc25A$ , promoting its proteolysis and inhibiting its interaction with Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ [299].

CyclinA

 $\mathbf{PC}$ 

CyclinA = (CyclinA\_mRNA and (not pAPC)) and ((Cdc25A and ((not Cdh1) or Emi1)) or (CyclinA and (((not Cdh1) and (Emi1 or (not UbcH10)))) or (Emi1 and (not UbcH10)))))

Cyclin A activity requires transcription (Cyclin A mRNA) and the absence of degradation by phosphorylated (mitotic) pAPC. In addition, turning ON inactive Cyclin A requires activation of  $Cdk^2$  by Cdc25A [300] and the absence / Emi1-mediated inhibition of  $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ . Once active, Cyclin A maintains its activity in the absence of overpowering influences driving its degradation. Namely, Cyclin A relies on either Emi1 or the absence of UbcH10 for its ability to keep inactive  $APC/C^{Cdh1}$  in check. To overpower active  $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ , Cyclin A requires both Emi1 and no UbcH10. The precise combinatorial regulation of Cyclin A is not known; the above logic is consistent with Cyclin A activity pattern during cell cycle progression.

$\leftarrow$ TL	CyclinA _mRNA	Sustained availability of <i>Cyclin A</i> requires ongoing translation from <i>CyclinA</i> mRNA.
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	Emi1	<i>Emi1</i> binding to <i>Cdh1</i> is required to stabilize <i>Cyclin A</i> levels at the G1/S transition, allowing <i>Cyclin A/Cdk2</i> to block $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ [301, 302, 303].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{DP}$	Cdc25A	Cdc25A promotes active $Cyclin A/Cdk2$ complex formation by removing inhibitory phosphorylation of $Cdk2$ [300, 304].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	CyclinA	We assume that once activated, $Cyclin A/Cdk2, 1$ complexes can sustain their activity until $Cyclin A$ is degraded.
⊢ Deg	UbcH10	Cyclin A degradation by $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ requires UbcH10 [305].
⊢ Deg	pAPC	Cyclin A is degraded by the $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ in prometaphase (as soon as the APC/C components are phosphorylated by Cdk1) [306, 307], before the full activation of the complex at SAC passage [308]. In our model, this stage of mitotic $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ activation is represented by $Cdk1$ -phosphorylated $APC/C$ (pAPC).
⊢ Deg	Cdh1	Cyclin A is degraded by $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ in the presence of the UbcH10 protein [309, 305, 218].

Wee1

$$\label{eq:Weel} \begin{split} Wee1 &= (((\operatorname{not} Casp3) \operatorname{and} (Replication \operatorname{or} CHK1)) \operatorname{and} (\operatorname{not} (Cdk1 \operatorname{and} CyclinB))) \operatorname{and} \\ (CHK1 \operatorname{or} (\operatorname{not} ((Cdk1 \operatorname{and} CyclinA) \operatorname{and} Plk1))) \end{split}$$

Κ

Wee1 is a	active during	Replication,	unless i	ts activity	is blo	cked b	у Су-
clinA/Cdk	1 OR Cyclin	B/Cdk1 [310]					

⊢ P	Plk1	Plk1 phosphorylation at S53 promotes $Wee1$ degradation [311]. This event is primed by $Cdk1$ phosphorylation of $Wee1$ at S123 [311]. As the main partner of $Cdk1$ in mitosis is $Cyclin$ $B$ , we assume that assistance from $Plk1$ to block $Wee1$ is more relevant when paired with $Cyclin A/Cdk1$ complexes.	
⊢ P	CyclinA	Cyclin $A/Cdk1$ is a strong inducer of Wee1 phosphorylation and deactivation [310].	
⊢ P	CyclinB	Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ is a strong inducer of Wee1 phosphorylation and deactivation [310].	
н Р	Cdk1	The somatic Wee1 protein is an order of magnitude more sensi- tive to $Cdk1$ activity than $Cdc25C$ . Thus, both $Cyclin A/Cdk1$ and $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ strongly induce Wee1 phosphorylation and deactivation [310].	
← ComplProc	Replication	To model the sensitivity of <i>Wee1</i> activation to ongoing DNA synthesis even in the absence of damage, our model turns on <i>Wee1</i> immediately upon the start of DNA replication and maintains it until both Replication and the checkpoint kinase <i>Chk1</i> is OFF [312]. In addition, <i>Wee1</i> activity has been implicated in maintaining normal replication fork procession, linking its activity directly to ongoing replication [313].	
← P	CHK1	During DNA replication $Wee1$ is activated by the checkpoint kinase $CHK1$ [312].	
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves and deactivates Wee1 [314].	
UbcH10 =	$(\text{not}  \mathbf{Cdh1})  \text{or}$	$(\mathbf{UbcH10} \mathrm{and} ((\mathbf{Cdc20} \mathrm{ or } \mathbf{CyclinA}) \mathrm{ or } \mathbf{CyclinB}))$	
UbL	The ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2) $UbcH10$ is active in the absence of $Cdh1$ . Alternatively, active $UbcH10$ is maintained in the presence of $Cdh$ when some of its targets are present: $Cdc20$ OR $CyclinA$ OR $CyclinB$ [305]		
$\leftarrow \\ \text{PBind}$	CyclinA	The presence of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ substrates, including Cyclin A, inhibit the autoubiquitination of UbcH10 but not its function, thus preserving APC activity [305].	
$\leftarrow \\ \text{PBind}$	CyclinB	The presence of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ substrates, including <i>CyclinB</i> , inhibit the autoubiquitination of <i>UbcH10</i> but not its function, thus preserving APC activity [305].	
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	UbcH10	Active $UbcH10$ cannot be autoubiquitinated in the presence of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ substrates and thus remains active [305].	
$\leftarrow \\ \text{PBind}$	Cdc20	The presence of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ substrates, including $Cdc20$ , inhibit the autoubiquitination of $UbcH10$ but not its function, thus preserving APC activity [305].	

CyclinB

⊢

Deg

Cdh1

UbcH10

 $\mathbf{CyclinB} = (\mathbf{FoxM1} \text{ or } (\mathbf{FoxO3} \text{ and } \mathbf{CyclinB})) \text{ and } (\operatorname{not}(\mathbf{Cdh1} \text{ or } (\mathbf{pAPC} \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdc20})))$ 

UbcH10 is degraded by  $\textit{APC}/\textit{C^{Cdh1}}.$ 

	PC	Cyclin B nod not represen Cyclin B is t not undergoi	le is ON when the concentration of $Cyclin B$ proteins is high (does t the activity of $CyclinB/Cdk1$ complexes). This occurs when ranscribed by $FoxM1$ , maintained by $FoxO3$ transcription, and ng $APC$ -mediated degradation by $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ or $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ .	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	FoxO3	FoxO3 is a direct transcriptional regulator of $Cyclin B$ ; its activation in G2 helps increase/maintain $Cyclin B$ levels [315].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	FoxM1	$FoxM1$ is a direct transcriptional regulator of $Cyclin \ B1$ [280, 316].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	CyclinB	Here we assume that FoxO3 alone can only maintain, but not independently induce $Cyclin B1$ expression.	
	⊢ Deg	pAPC	Cyclin B is degraded by $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ [309].	
	⊢ Deg	Cdc20	Cyclin B is degraded by $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ [309].	
	⊢ Deg	Cdh1	Cyclin B is degraded by $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ [309].	
Cdc25B	$\mathbf{Cdc25B} =$	${f FoxM1}$ and ${f f4}$	N_DNA	
	Ph	Cdc25B activation requires transcription by $FoxM1$ , centrosomal and activation by Aurora A kinase on replicated centrosomes.		
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	FoxM1	FoxM1 is an essential inducer or $Cdc25B$ [317].	
	$\leftarrow$ Loc	f4N_DNA	Cdc25B is localized at centrosomes, where it is activated by Aurora A kinase [318]. As Aurora A itself is only recruited to duplicated, centrosomes before their separation [319], $Cdc25B$ activation requires duplicated centrosomes. As our model does not directly account for centrosome dynamics, we account for this by requiring the completion of S-phase (4N DNA).	
Plk1	$\mathbf{Plk1} = ((not \mathbf{Wee1})$	$(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{c}}) $ ot $\mathbf{Cdh1}$ and $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{c}})$ and $\mathbf{Cdc25A}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{foxM1} \mathrm{or}\mathbf{Plk1}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{H})) \mathrm{and}((\mathbf{CyclinB} \mathrm{and} \mathbf{Cdk1}) \mathrm{or}((\mathbf{CyclinA} \mathrm{and} \mathbf{H}))) \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	
	К	Plk1 activity high Plk1 lev below) [315]. CyclinB/Cdk Cdc25A) at t	requires the absence of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ , transcription by $FoxM1$ , or vels transcribed earlier by both $FoxM1$ and $FoxO3$ (see $Plk1_H$ In addition, $Plk1$ activation requires phosphorylation by either 1 during mitosis or $Cyclin A/Cdk2$ (aided by lack of Wee1 and the G2/M boundary [320].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{TR}$	FoxM1	Plk1 is a direct transcriptional target of $FoxM1$ [289].	
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Cdc25A	As we do not include a separate $Cdk^2$ node in our model, strong $Cyclin A/Cdk^2$ activity requires ongoing dephosphory- lation of $Cdk^2$ by $Cdc^{25}A$ [321].	
	⊢– Ind	Wee1	Cyclin A-mediated induction of $Plk1$ is blocked by Wee1 kinase, which specifically inhibits $Cdk2$ activity [320].	
	← P	CyclinA	Plk1 activation at the G2/M boundary, before $Cdk1/Cuclin B$ complexes are activated, requires active $Cyclin A/Cdk$ [320].	

Cdc25C

← P	CyclinB	<i>Plk1</i> is activated by <i>Cyclin B/Cdk1</i> phosphorylation [322, 323, $324$ ].
← P	Cdk1	Plk1 is activated by Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ phosphorylation [322, 323, 324].
⊢ Ubiq	Cdh1	The majority of <i>Plk1</i> is degraded in an aphase by the $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ complex [325].
← Per	Plk1_H	Our model tracks the accumulation of high-enough levels of $Plk1$ to survive $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ mediated destruction into telophase via the $Plk1_H$ node. Its ON state represents strong prior $Plk1$ activation. Thus, it sustains the $Plk1$ node in the absence of $FoxM1$ -mediated transcription until $Plk1_H$ itself is lost as $Plk1$ levels fall.
Cdc25C = Cdk1)	= (f4N_DNA	and $\mathbf{Plk1})$ and $((\mathbf{Cdc25B} \text{ and } (\mathrm{not}  \mathbf{CHK1})) \text{ or } (\mathbf{CyclinB} \text{ and }$
Ph	In our mode $\rightarrow Cdc25C$ pool of Cycl model) and nucleus with Cyclin B/Ce	el, $Cdc25C$ is active in cells with replicated DNA (see $f4N_DNA$ link). Its activation is initiated by a small, initially cytoplasmic $lin B/Cdk1$ activated by $Cdc25B$ (not directly represented in our further increased by $Cdc25B$ itself, which translocates to the n the aid of $Plk1$ . During mitosis, $Plk1$ potentiates the ability of $dk1$ to maintain $Cdc25C$ activity.
$\leftarrow$ Ind	Cdc25B	CDC25B starts the cascade leading to mitotic entry by activating a small centrosomal pool of $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ , leading to their nuclear translocation where they trigger the activation of $Cdc25C$ and eventually the larger nuclear $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ pool [326, 327, 328].
← P	Plk1	In addition, $Plk1$ induces nuclear transport of $CDC25B$ , where it contributes to the initiation of $Cdk1$ activity [329]. During mitosis, $Plk1$ helps maintain strong $Cdc25C$ activation by phosphorylating it on the same site as $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ [330], as indicated by the profound decrease of Cdc25C activity in Plk1-inhibited mitotic cells [323, 331].
← P	CyclinB	Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ complexes are potent activators of $Cdc25C$ , creating positive feedback that causes switch-like mitotic entry [332, 333].
← P	Cdk1	Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ complexes are potent activators of $Cdc25C$ , creating positive feedback that causes switch-like mitotic entry [332, 333].
н Р	CHK1	CHK1 phosphorylates $Cdc25C$ , leading to its nuclear exclusion, loss of access to its main target, $Cdk1$ [334]. In addition, CHK1 blocks the ability of $Cdc25B$ to activate $Cdc25C$ at the centrosomes by phosphorylating it and blocking its $Cdk1$ activity [335, 336].

S45

← Ind	f4N_DNA	The nature and localization of the signals responsible for the onset and maintenance of $Cdc25C$ activity require replicated DNA ( $f4N_DNA$ ) [329, 328]. Namely, $Cdc25C$ is initially activated by a small pool of $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ (below the ON-threshold of $Cdk1$ in our model) which starts our at the replicated centrosome. Moreover, the pool of mitotic $Cdc25C$ co-localized with active $Chk1/Cyclin B$ is found on condensed chromosomes, again requiring the presence of $f4N_DNA$ [334].
----------	---------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### Cdk1 = (CyclinB and Cdc25C) and ((not CHK1) or ((not Wee1) and Cdk1))

Full Cdk1 kinase activation requires its binding partner Cyclin B and the Cdc25C phosphatase, which maintains Cdk1 in an active dephosphorylated state. Cdk1 is inhibited by the checkpoint kinase CHK1, unless it is already full active and Wee1 kinase is inhibited.

⊢ P	Wee1	Wee1 is a nuclear protein that ensures the completion of DNA replication prior to mitosis by blocking nuclear $Cdk1$ activation [337].
$\leftarrow \\ \text{DP}$	Cdc25C	Cdk1 is subject to inhibitory phosphorylation by Wee1 or $Myt1$ , and its dephosphorylation is carried out by activated $Cdc25C$ [332, 338, 333].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	CyclinB	Full kinase activation of $Cdk1$ in our model requires it to complex with $Cyclin B$ [338].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	Cdk1	We assume that the presence of fully activated, nuclear $Cdk1$ is able to overcome the effect of active $Wee1$ , given that $Wee1$ is very sensitive to $Cdk1$ -mediated inhibitory phosphorylation [310].
⊢ P	CHK1	In the absence of $CHK1$ kinase, a small cytosolic (centrosomal) pool of $Cyclin \ B/Cdk1$ can be activated by $Cdc25B$ , the nuclear translocation of which can trigger a positive feedback loop that activates the full $Cdk1$ pool (assuming nuclear Wee1 is also inactive). Thus, $CHK1$ can maintain the OFF state of inactive $Cdk1$ [320].

pAPC

#### pAPC = (((CyclinB and Cdk1) and Plk1) or ((CyclinB and Cdk1) and pAPC)) or(pAPC and Cdc20)

In line with evidence that Plk1 can aid full activation of APC/C, but Cdk1appears to be the more potent inducer, our model requires both Cyclin B/Cdk1 and Plk1 to activate APC/C from an OFF state, but only Cdk1activity to maintain it. In addition, ongoing phosphorylation of the functional  $APC/C^{Cdc20}$  complex is no longer required.

← P	Plk1	In addition to $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ phosphorylation, full activation of the $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ complex also requires the kinase activity of $Plk1$ [339].
← P	CyclinB	$CyclinB/Cdk1$ activation triggers mitotic entry and promotes $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ activity via APC/C subunit phosphorylation [340, 341].

Κ

 $\mathbf{PC}$ 

Cdk1

← P	Cdk1	$CyclinB/Cdk1$ activation triggers mitotic entry and promotes $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ activity via APC/C subunit phosphorylation [340, 341].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	pAPC	Activated $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ initiates the Metaphase / Anaphase transition by degrading $Cyclin B$ and securin [274]. Once active, $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ no longer requires sustained $CyclinB/Cdk1$ or $Plk1$ phosphorylation.
$\leftarrow$ Compl	Cdc20	Once active, $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ no longer requires sustained Cy- clinB/Cdk1 or Plk1 phosphorylation.

Cdc20

 $\operatorname{Prot}$ 

$$\label{eq:cdc20} \begin{split} \mathbf{Cdc20} &= ((\mathbf{pAPC} \mathrm{and}(\mathrm{not}\,\mathbf{Emi1})) \mathrm{and}(\mathrm{not}\,\mathbf{Cdh1})) \mathrm{and}((\mathrm{not}\,\mathbf{Mad2}) \mathrm{or}((\mathrm{not}\,\mathbf{CyclinA}) \mathrm{and}(\mathrm{not}\,\mathbf{CyclinB},\mathrm{and}\,\mathbf{Cdk1})))) \end{split}$$

In our model,  $APC/C^{Cdc20}$  complex formation is represented by the joint activity of Cdc20 and phosphorylated APC/C (pAPC). Cdc20 is thus ON in the presence of pAPC when both Emi1 and Cdh1 are absent ( $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ is represented by the Cdh1 node, see below). In addition, Cdc20 activity requires either the absence of Mad2 at unattached kinetochores, or the absence of Cdc20 phosphorylation by Cyclin B/Cdk1 or by Cyclin A/Cdk2complexes to potentiate the interaction between Mad2 and Cdc20, and pAPCis ON (present and phosphorylated) [342].

⊢ IBind	Emi1	Emi1 binds $Cdc20$ and inhibits the ubiquit in ligase activity of $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ [302].
⊢ P	CyclinA	Cyclin A/Cdk2 complexes phosphorylate Cdc20 and inactivate the $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ complex during S and G2 [343].
⊢ P	CyclinB	Cyclin B partners with Cdk1 to keep Cdc20 phosphorylated, increasing its interaction with Mad2 rather than $APC/C$ [344].
⊢ P	Cdk1	$Cdk1$ -phosphorylated $Cdc20$ interacts with $Mad2$ rather than $APC/C$ , resulting in a block on $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ activation until completion of spindle assembly [342].
$\leftarrow$ Compl	pAPC	Cdc20 becomes active in early mitosis by binding to $APC/C$ , an event that requires $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ -mediated phosphorylation of several core $APC/C$ subunits [298, 345].
⊢ Deg	Cdh1	$APC/C^{Cdh1}$ complexes degrade $Cdc20$ , leading to a complete switch from $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ to $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ during mitotic exit [298, 346].
⊢ IBind	Mad2	Eukaryotic cells do not separate their replicated genome until they pass the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC). Namely, all their chromosomes need to be are aligned with respect to the metaphase plane and the two copies of each chromosome need to be attached to opposite poles of the mitotic spindle [341]. This physical alignment is monitored via $Mad2$ : kinetochores that remain unattached to microtubules catalyze the sequestration of $Cdc20$ and thus inhibit $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ [347, 348].

Cdh1

Cdh1 = (not(CyclinB and Cdk1)) and (not(CyclinA and (Emi1 or Cdc25A)))

PC	$APC/C^{Cdh1}$ a phorylation by of $Cdh1$ by $E$ $Emi1$ .	activity requires the absence of Cyclin Dependent kinase phos- y Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ , or Cyclin $A/Cdk2$ aided by further inhibition mi1, or ongoing $Cdk2$ activation by $Cdc25A$ in the absence of
⊢ IBind	Emi1	<i>Emi1</i> blocks $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ binding to its substrates [303], as well as its ability to add ubiquitin chains to them [349].
⊢ Ind	Cdc25A	As we do not include a separate $Cdk2$ node in our model, strong $Cyclin A/Cdk2$ activity capable of overriding $Cdh1$ activity even in the presence of $Emi1$ requires ongoing dephos- phorylation of $Cdk2$ by $Cdc25A$ [332].
⊢ P	CyclinA	Active Cyclin $A/Cdk1,2$ complexes phosphorylate $Cdh1$ during S, G2 and early mitosis, impairing its interaction with $APC/C$ until late stages of mitosis when $Cdk1/2$ activity falls [298, 309].
⊢ P	CyclinB	Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ phosphorylates $Cdh1$ during mitosis, impairing its interaction with $APC/C$ [298, 309].
⊢ P	Cdk1	Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ phosphorylates $Cdh1$ during mitosis, impairing its interaction with $APC/C$ [298, 309].

## Table S1n: Cell\_Cycle\_Process module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
Replication	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Replication} \\ (((\mathbf{Replication})) \\ \end{array}$	$\mathbf{n} = ((\text{not CAD}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Pre}_{\mathbf{RC}}) \text{ and } (((\mathbf{E2F1} \text{ and } \mathbf{CyclinE}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Cdc25A}) \text{ or } \mathbf{n}$ ion and $\mathbf{CyclinA}$ and $\mathbf{Cdc25A}$ and $(\mathbf{E2F1} \text{ or } (\text{not } \mathbf{f4N}_{\mathbf{DNA}}))))$	
	Proc	The <i>Replicat</i> non-apoptotic of DNA synth help execute by <i>Cyclin E/c</i> and <i>Cdc25A</i> , synthesis (4N	ion node represents ongoing DNA synthesis. This requires a c cell, licensed pre-replication complexes ( $Pre\_RC$ ). The start mesis requires $E2F1$ -meditated transcription of the genes that it, as well as the firing of the first round of replication origins $Cdk2$ . Once ongoing, replication is sustained by $Cyclin A/Cdk2$ aided by $E2F1$ and terminated by completion of a full round of $r\_DNA$ ).
	$\leftarrow$ ComplProc	E2F1	In addition to $E2F1$ target genes directly included in our model, $E2F1$ transcribes an array of critical S-phase genes responsible for carrying out DNA synthesis (e.g, $POLA1$ , $POLA2$ , $MCM3$ , $MCM5$ , $MCM6$ , $PCNA$ , $TOP2A$ , $RFC2$ , $TK1$ ) [350, 351].
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	CyclinE	DNA replication is initiated by fully active $Cyclin E/Cdk2$ [352].
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	Pre_RC	Ongoing DNA replication requires licensed replication origins, which fire throughout DNA synthesis [353].

## Table S1n: Cell\_Cycle\_Process module

	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	Cdc25A	Active $Cdc25A$ is required for onset as well as progression through S-phase [354, 355].			
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	CyclinA	Cyclin $A/Cdk1$ complexes regulate the origin firing program in mammalian cells and are required for the completion of DNA replication [355, 304].			
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	Replication	Once ongoing, DNA synthesis continues in the presence of active $Cyclin A/Cdk2$ , only ending when DNA content is doubled.			
	⊢ ComplProc	f4N_DNA	Complete duplication of a cell's DNA, represented in our model by $f_4N_DNA = ON$ , marks the end of active <i>Replication</i> .			
	⊢ ComplProc	CAD	Caspase-activated DNase $(\mathit{CAD})$ destroys DNA, preventing ongoing replication.			
ATR	$\mathbf{ATR} = \mathbf{Re}_{\mathbf{I}}$	plication				
	К	ATR accumu [356].	alates at replication forks during unperturbed DNA synthesis			
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Loc}$	Replication	ATR accumulates at replication forks during unperturbed DNA synthesis [356].			
CHK1	CHK1 = ATR					
	К	ATR kinase premature m Cdc25 protei	activates <i>CHK1</i> at replication forks, which not only blocks itosis but also regulates the rate of origin firing by keeping n levels from increasing above their physiological range [356].			
	← P	ATR	ATR kinase activates $CHK1$ at replication forks (by phosphorylation of serines 317 and 345), which not only blocks premature mitosis but also regulates the rate of origin firing by keeping $Cdc25$ protein levels from increasing above their physiological range [356].			
f4N_DNA	f4N_DNA f4N_DNA	$= (\text{not } \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A})$	<b>AD</b> ) and (( <b>Replication</b> and (( <b>Pre_RC</b> and <b>CyclinA</b> ) or <b>VA</b> and (not <b>Cytokinesis</b> )))			
	MSt	4N DNA cont the firing of t and maintain cytokinesis.	ent in our model is reached via the completion of <i>Replication</i> (via he last round of replication origins by <i>Cyclin A/Cdk</i> complexes) ed in non-apoptotic cells the absence of a contractile ring driving			
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{ComplProc}$	Pre_RC	<i>Replication</i> can only complete DNA synthesis and produce double DNA content if the availability of licensed replication origins is not blocked [353].			
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	CyclinA	Cyclin $A/Cdk1$ complexes regulate the origin firing program in mammalian cells and are required for the completion of DNA replication [352, 304].			
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	Replication	DNA content is doubled by the process of <i>Replication</i> .			
	⊢ Per	f4N_DNA	Once achieved, a cell's 4N DNA content is sustained up to the point of cytokinesis.			

Table S	51n:	Cell_	Cycle_	Process	module

	⊢ ComplProc	Cytokinesis	The process of cytokinesis separates the replicated sister chro- matids and resets the DNA content of each daughter cell to a diploid 2N.
	⊢ Deg	CAD	Caspase-activated DNase $(CAD)$ destroys DNA, preventing maintenance of a double DNA content.
U _Kinetochores	U_Kinetoc ((CyclinB ar	$\mathbf{hores} = ((\mathbf{f4N}) \\ \mathrm{nd} \ \mathbf{Cdk1}) \\ \mathrm{or} \ \mathbf{U}_{\underline{}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{DNA}}$ and (not $\mathbf{Cdh1}$ )) and (not $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Kinetochores}}$ )) and <b>Kinetochores</b> )
	MSt	The $U_Kinete$ envelope is dis until all kinet of unattached chromatics, th B/Cdk1 and r $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ ).	bechares node in our model is on from the moment the nuclear solved in prometaphase and the mitotic spindle starts to form, ochores are properly attached. In addition to the presence kinetochores, $U_Kinetochores = ON$ requires attached sister absence of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ activity. It is turned on my <i>Cyclin</i> emains on until the spindle is complete (or it is destroyed by
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	CyclinB	The start of mitotic spindle assembly is initiated by active Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ [357].
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	Cdk1	The start of mitotic spindle assembly is initiated by active Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ [357].
	⊢ ComplProc	Cdh1	Premature activation of $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ destroys the incomplete spindle by triggering premature, aberrant anaphase. This oc- curs due to premature degradation of $APC/C$ targets including <i>Securin</i> (responsible for keeping sister chromatids attached [358]), <i>Cyclin B</i> , <i>Cdc20</i> , and Aurora kinase A ( <i>AURKA</i> ) [359].
	$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	f4N_DNA	Metaphase requires replicated sister chromatids $(f4N_DNA)$ , held together by their kinetochores, face in opposing directions and can be attached to opposite poles of the mitotic spindle.
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	U _Kinetochores	Once metaphase starts, the mitotic spindle remains incomplete as long as some of the kinetochores remain unattached.
	⊢ ComplProc	A _Kinetochores	In our model, the transition from unattached to all attached kinetochores ( $U_Kinetochores \rightarrow A_Kinetochores$ ) marks the completion of the mitotic spindle and Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC) passage.
Mad2	$Mad2 = U_{-}$	Kinetochores	and (not $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Kinetochores}}$ )
	Prot	Our model rep Mad2 is active and it is respon- APC at bay un timing of anap	presents the SAC via the $Mad2$ kinetochore-binding protein. e as long as the cell has at least one unattached kinetochore nsible for keeping $Cdc20$ sequestered from $APC/C$ . By keeping ntil the spindle is complete, $Mad2$ is required for the proper phase [348].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Compl}$	U _Kinetochores	The $Mad2$ SAC protein is active and potent in the presence of even a single unattached kinetochore [348].
	⊢ ComplProc	A _Kinetochores	Mad2 is inhibited by SAC passage, marked by the completion of the spindle and proper attachment of all kinetochore [348].

#### Table S1n: Cell\_Cycle\_Process module

# $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} A & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Kinetochores} = ((\mathbf{f4N}_{\mathbf{DNA}} \mbox{ and } (\mathrm{not} \mbox{ Cdh1})) \mbox{ and } (\mathrm{not} (\mathbf{pAPC} \mbox{ and } \mbox{ Cdc20}))) \mbox{ and } (\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Kinetochores} \mbox{ or} ((((\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Kinetochores} \mbox{ and } \mbox{ Src}) \mbox{ and } \mbox{ Plk1}) \mbox{ and } \mbox{ Cdc20}))) \mbox{ and } (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf$

MSt	The completed spindle, represented by the $A\_Kinetochores$ node, requires replicated and attached sister chromatids ( $f_4N\_DNA$ ) and the absence of $APC/C$ activity. It turns on when the process of spindle assembly ( $U\_Kinetochores$ ) is completed by active $Src$ , active $Plk1$ localized to unattached kinetochores in the presence of ongoing $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ activity, and it remains on until anaphase ( $APC/C$ activation).		
$\leftarrow$ ComplProc	Src	Src promotes correct spindle orientation [360]. Moreover, absence of $c$ -Src leads to severely reduced astral microtubules [361]. Finally, Src-mediated phosphorylation of the Eg5 motor domain is required for the formation of a bipolar spindle and correct chromosome segregation [362].	
$\leftarrow$ ComplProc	Plk1	<i>Plk1</i> activity at unattached kinetochores is required for pro- moting their attachment [363]. In its absence, kinetochores remain unattached and cells eventually undergo mitotic catas- trophe and apoptosis [364].	
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	CyclinB	Ongoing $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ at unattached kinetochores is necessary to keep $Plk1$ active and allow the completion of mitosis [365].	
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	Cdk1	Ongoing Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ at unattached kinetochores is necessary to keep $Plk1$ active and allow the completion of mitosis [365].	
⊢ Deg	pAPC	During normal mitosis, the completed spindle is pulled apart in response to $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ -mediated degradation of Securin, which normally blocks Separate from severing the Cohesin rings keeping sister chromatids attached [358].	
⊢ Deg	Cdc20	During normal mitosis, the completed spindle is pulled apart in response to $APC/C^{Cdc20}$ -mediated degradation of Securin, which normally blocks Separate from severing the Cohesin rings keeping sister chromatids attached [358].	
⊢ Deg	Cdh1	$APC/C^{Cdh1}$ destroys the spindle by triggering an aphase via the degradation of $APC/C$ targets, including Securin [359].	
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	f4N_DNA	Completion of the mitotic spindle requires replicated and attached sister chromatids (f4N_DNA).	
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	U _Kinetochores	The mitotic spindle is assembled gradually, as the number of unattached kinetochores gradually decreased by the formation of microtubule attachments.	
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	A _Kinetochores	Once assembled, separation of the mitotic spindle requires $APC/C$ activity to promote the destruction of sister chromatid cohesion [358].	

Plk1\_H

 $Plk1_H = (Plk1 \text{ and } FoxM1) \text{ and } ((Plk1_H \text{ or } FoxO3) \text{ or } FoxO1)$ 

#### Table S1n: Cell Cycle Process module

The ON state of  $Plk1_H$  encodes the short-lived memory of a sufficiently large active Plk1 pool to temporarily survive Plk1 destruction by  $APC/C^{Cdh1}$  [325], recruit Ect2 to the central spindle, and thus aid the completion of cytokinesis [366]. Thus,  $Plk1_H$  requires ongoing Plk1 activation and transcription by FoxM1, and either induction by FoxO3 or FoxO1, or prior accumulation.

← TR	FoxO3	$Plk1$ is a direct transcriptional target of $FoxO3$ , but $Plk1$ appears to be sufficiently induced in the absence of $FoxO$ preteens to aid its G2/M and mitotic functions. In contrast, accumulation if a large enough $Plk1$ pool to briefly outlast $APC/C^{Cdh1}$ activation (modeled by the $Plk1_H$ node), requires $FoxO$ activity in G2 [315].
$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxO1	In addition to $FoxO3$ , $FoxO1$ also binds the $Plk1$ promoter, potentially aiding its accumulation during G2 [367].
$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxM1	Plk1 is a direct transcriptional target of $FoxM1$ ; loss of $FoxM1$ severely reduces $Plk1$ protein levels [279, 289].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	Plk1	Active mitotic $Plk1$ is a prerequisite for the accumulation of the larger active $Plk1$ pool denoted by $Plk1_H$ .
← Per	Plk1_H	Once accumulated, we assume that the $Plk1_H$ pool of active $Plk1$ remains stable in the absence of FoxO-mediated transcription. This is supported by negative feedback regulation of FoxO proteins by $Plk1$ [37], indicating that ongoing high FoxO activity is likely not required for the maintenance of $Plk1_H$ .

Ect2

GEF

Κ

## $Ect2 = (((f4N_DNA \text{ and } Plk1_H) \text{ and } Cdh1) \text{ and } (not U_Kinetochores)) and (not A Kinetochores)$

*Ect2* activation at the spindle midzone represents the step of cytokinesis in our model. Thus, *Ect2* requires  $f_4N_DNA$ , high *Plk1* activity, as well as *Cdh1* for the assembly of a normal spindle midzone. Finally, *Ect2* cannot be recruited to the mid zone before anaphase is completed.

$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Cdh1	$APC/C^{Cdh1}$ -mediated destruction of Aurora kinase is required for the assembly of a robust spindle midzone at an aphase and for the normal timing of cytokinesis [368].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	f4N_DNA	Formation of a spindle midzone, where $Ect2$ accumulates in preparation of cytokinesis requires recently separated sister chromatids (4N DNA content).
⊢ ComplProc	U _Kinetochores	Formation of a spindle midzone requires the separation of sister chromatids; thus it cannot occur before anaphase.
⊢ ComplProc	A _Kinetochores	Formation of a spindle midzone requires the separation of sister chromatids; thus it cannot occur before anaphase.
$\leftarrow$ Ind	Plk1_H	$Plk1$ activity in telophase $(Plk1_H)$ is required for the recruitment of $Ect2$ to the central spindle [325, 369].

#### Cytokinesis Cytokinesis = (Ect2 and FAK) and Src

## Table S1n: Cell\_Cycle\_Process module

Proc	In contrast to our previous model in [370] where $Ect2$ recruitment to the central spindle marked the start of cytokinesis, in [118] we introduced a separate <i>Cytokinesis</i> node to mark cytokinesis and the subsequent resetting of daughter cell DNA content to 2N by a separate node. In addition to $Ect2$ recruitment, completion of cytokinesis also requires ECM attachments able to activate $FAK$ and $Src$ kinases.	
← Loc	Ect2	At the start of cytokinesis, the <i>Ect2 RhoGEF</i> is recruited to the central spindle [366]. <i>Ect2</i> aids the accumulation of GTP-bound <i>RhoA</i> [371, 366] and the formation of the contractile ring.
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	FAK	Integrin-activated $FAK$ and $Src$ control cytokinetic abscission by decelerating $PLK1$ degradation at aiding $CEP55$ in recruiting abscission process proteins to the midbody [83, 372].
$\leftarrow \\ ComplProc$	$\operatorname{Src}$	Integrin-activated $FAK$ and $Src$ control cytokinetic abscission by decelerating $PLK1$ degradation at aiding $CEP55$ in recruiting abscission process proteins to the midbody [83, 372].

#### Table S10: TRAIL module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
Trail	$\mathbf{Trail} = \mathbf{Tra}$	il	
	Env	The <i>Trail</i> no outside the ce	de represents environmental availability of the <i>Trail</i> protein ell.
	$\leftarrow \\ Env$	Trail	The $\mathit{Trail}$ input node remains on/off if set ON/OFF in the absence of $\mathit{in\ silico}$ perturbation.

## Table S1p: Apoptotic\_SW module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
$DR4_5$	$\mathbf{DR4}_{5} = 7$	Frail	
	Rec	The $DR4$ and activated by $\epsilon$	d $DR5$ death receptors, represented by the $DR4_5$ node, are extracellular <i>Trail</i> [373].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Ligand}$	Trail	DR4 and $DR5$ death receptors are activated by extracellular Trail [373].
Casp8	Casp8 = D2	R4_5 or Casp	3

	PTase	<i>Pro-Caspase</i> represented; an	8 may be cleaved independently by $DISC$ (not directly adaptor protein for $DR4_5$ ) or Caspase 3.
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	$DR4_5$	Trail-bound (active) $DR4$ and $DR5$ receptors trigger the assembly of the pro-apoptotic death-inducing signaling complex ( $DISC$ ), which binds a cluster of pro-Caspase 8 proteins and initiates their cleavage into active Caspase 8 [374].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Casp3	Caspase 3 indirectly activates Caspase 8 by cleaving Caspase 6 [375], which, in turn, cleaves Caspase 8 [376].
Casp2	Casp2 = Ca	$\mathbf{sp3}$ or $((\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{Ki}})$	$\mathbf{netochores} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{Mad2}) \ \mathbf{and} \ (\mathbf{not}(\mathbf{CyclinB} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{Cdk1})))$
	PTase	Pro-caspase 2 marked by the absence of act Caspase 2.	is cleaved and activated by Caspase 3, or by failed cytokinesis presence of unattached kinetochores, an active SAC, and the ive Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ complexes to phosphorylate and inhibit
	<b>⊢</b> Р	CyclinB	$Cyclin\ B1/Cdk1$ phosphorylate $caspase\-2$ at Ser 340, preventing its activation [377].
	⊢ P	Cdk1	$Cyclin\ B1/Cdk1$ phosphorylate $caspase-2$ at Ser 340, preventing its activation [377].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Mad2	A functional spindle assembly checkpoint is required for mi- totic cell death upon prolonged mitotic arrest [378] or spindle damage [379].
	← Ind	U _Kinetochores	Although the precise molecular mechanism by which Caspase 2 is activated during prolonged or stalled mitosis is unclear, its activation platform, the <i>PIDDosome</i> , has been localized to unattached kinetochores [380]. Even though a checkpoint protein keeps the <i>PIDDosome</i> unresponsive to DNA damage signals, the loss of protective Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ phosphorylation only leads to Caspase 2 activation in the presence of a partially assembled mitotic spindle, and requires active SAC.
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Lysis}$	Casp3	Caspase 2 is a target of Caspase 3, as its inhibition severely limits Caspase 2 cleavage during apoptosis [381, 382].
MCL_1	$\mathbf{MCL}_{1} = ((1 + \mathbf{MCL}_{1}))$	$((\text{not} \mathbf{Casp3}) \text{ and })$ and $(\text{not}((\mathbf{Cc}))$	$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{ind}\left(\operatorname{not}\mathbf{Casp2}\right)\right) \operatorname{and}\left(\left(\operatorname{not}\mathbf{GSK3}\right)\operatorname{or}\left(\mathbf{AKT}_{B} \operatorname{and}\left(\mathbf{ERK} \operatorname{or} \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{lk1} \operatorname{and}\mathbf{CyclinB}\right) \operatorname{and}\mathbf{U}_{Kinetochores})\right) \end{array}$
	Prot	Caspase 3 or 2 be ON. Avoidi presence of ba stabilization, of arrest (U_Kim rylation, which degradation-ta	P-mediated destruction of $MCL-1$ must be absent for $MCL-1$ to ng degradation via textitGSK3 requires the $GSK3$ -weakenjng sal $AKT$ activity $(AKT_B)$ [383] and either $ERK$ -mediated or the absence of its repressor $E2F1$ . Finally, during mitotic etochores), $MCL-1$ is deactivated by $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ phospho- n shields it from the $PPA2$ -mediated dephosphorylation of its argeting sites [384].

 $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ P \end{array} \quad ERK \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} ERK \\ Pin1, \text{ which stabilizes it } [385, 386]. \end{array}$ 

$\leftarrow$ Ind	AKT_B	In order to account for the loss of $MCL-1$ in the complete absence of growth factors versus its presence in low growth factor environments, we required basal $AKT$ to modulate the strength of $GSK3$ inhibition [383].
⊢ P	GSK3	MCL-1 is phosphorylated by $GSK3$ , leading to ubiquitinylation and degradation of Phosphorylation [383].
⊢ TR	E2F1	$E2F1$ is a direct transcriptional repressor of $MCL\mathchar`-1$ [387].
⊢ P	CyclinB	In cells arrested in mitosis, phosphorylation by Cyclin $B/Cdk1$ on T92 initiates $MCL-1$ degradation [388].
⊢ P	Cdk1	Phosphorylation by $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ in cells arrested in mitosis initiates $MCL-1$ degradation [388].
⊢ Ind	U _Kinetochores	During prolonged mitotic arrest ( $U_kinetochores$ ), $MCL-1$ levels drop steadily due to phosphorylation by $JNK$ , $p38$ and/or $CKII$ and its subsequent degradation by the E3 ubiquitin ligase $SCF$ ( $FBW7$ ) [384].
⊢ Lysis	Casp2	Caspase 2 activation destabilizes the MCL-1 protein [389].
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves and deactivated $MCL-1$ [390].

 $\operatorname{Prot}$ 

Bcl- $x_L$  activity requires the absence of Caspase 3. In addition, BAD can block Bcl- $x_L$ , as it preferentially binds to it rather than BCL2 (meaning in the absence of the latter Bcl- $x_L$  is more likely to be sequestered by basal levels of BAD) [391]. Lastly, mitotic Bcl- $x_L$  can be inhibited by Cdk1 activity if either BCL2, MCL-1, or Plk1 are OFF. In the absence of Plk1, loss of either BCL2 or MCL-1 can result in Bcl- $x_L$  inhibition (even without Cdk1 phosphorylation), as we assume its targets are no longer competitively bound by its family members.

$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Plk1	In addition to other effects of prolonged mitotic arrest on $BCL-2$ proteins, $Plk1$ inhibition synergistically enhances the inhibitory phosphorylation of $BCL-2$ and $BCL-xL$ , as well as downregulation of $MCL-1$ [392].
⊢ P	CyclinB	During normal mitosis, $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ only transiently phosphorylates part of the $BCL$ - $xL$ pool. Prolonged mitosis, however, results in high levels of $BCL$ - $xL$ (and $Bcl$ - $2$ ) phosphorylation, priming the system for Caspase 2-mediated apoptosis [393, 394].
⊢ P	Cdk1	During normal mitosis, $Cyclin B/Cdk1$ only transiently phosphorylates part of the $BCL$ - $xL$ pool. Prolonged mitosis, however, results in high levels of $BCL$ - $xL$ (and $Bcl$ - $2$ ) phosphorylation, priming the system for Caspase 2-mediated apoptosis [393, 394].

⊢ Ind	U _Kinetochores	Prolonged mitosis is required for the accumulation of <i>BCL-xL</i> phosphorylation, weakening its interaction with <i>Bax</i> [395].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	MCL_1	MCL-1 competes with $BCL-xL$ for $BAK$ binding; the presence of $MCL-1$ can keep part of the $BCL-xL$ pool active [396].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	BCL2	BCL2 competes with $BCL$ - $xL$ for $BAD$ binding. As $BCL$ - $xL$ is a stronger binding partner of $BAD$ [391], here we assume that loss of $BCL2$ or $BAD$ can both result in low $BCL$ - $xL$ activity.
⊢ IBind	BAD	Bad can bind $BCL$ - $xL$ and displace it from $BAX$ , thus deactivating it [391].
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	BCL2 is cleaved and deactivated by Caspase 3 [397].
$BCL2 = (n (MCL_1and))$	ot(((Casp3 or BCLXL))or(F	$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{BAD} \text{ or } \mathbf{BIM} \text{ or } \mathbf{BIK} \text{ )) \text{ and } (((not \mathbf{U}_Kinetochores) \text{ or } \mathbf{Plk1} \text{ and} ((\mathbf{BCLXLorMCL}_1) \text{ or } (not(\mathbf{Cdk1} \text{ and} \mathbf{CyclinB}))))) \end{array}$
Prot	While the pre- from literature <i>BIM</i> or <i>BIK</i> . The members to according to a blocked by <i>CdH</i> loss of either <i>H</i> <i>Cdk1</i> phosphore bound by its for	cise combinatorial logic governing $BCL2$ activity is not clear e, we modeled $BCL2$ as ON in the absence of Caspase 3, $BAD$ , This choice makes $BCL2$ the most sensitive of the three family ctivation of its three inhibitors. In addition, mitotic $BCL2$ is k1 if both $BCL$ - $xL$ and $MCL$ - $1$ are OFF. In the absence of $Plk1$ , BCL2 or $MCL$ - $1$ can result in $BCL$ - $2$ inhibition (even without rylation), as we assume its targets are no longer competitively amily members.
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Plk1	In addition to other effects of prolonged mitotic arrest on $BCL2$ proteins, $Plk1$ inhibition synergistically enhances the inhibitory phosphorylation of $BCL2$ and $BCL-xL$ , as well as downregulation of $MCL-1$ [392].
<b>н</b> Р	CyclinB	$Cyclin\ B/Cdk1$ phosphorylates $BCL2$ (and $BCL\text{-}xL)$ during mitosis [393, 394, 397].
⊢ P	Cdk1	Prolonged mitosis results in high levels of <i>BCL-xL</i> and <i>BCL2</i> phosphorylation, priming the system for <i>Caspase 2</i> -mediated apoptosis [393, 394, 397].
⊢ Ind	U _Kinetochores	Prolonged mitosis is required for the accumulation of <i>BCL2</i> phosphorylation [393, 394, 397].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	MCL_1	MCL-1 competes with $BCL-xL$ for binding most of their apoptotic partners, including $BIK$ , $BIM$ , $BID$ , $BAX$ and $BAK$ .
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	BCLXL	<i>BCL2</i> competes with <i>BCL-xL</i> for binding most of their apoptotic partners, including <i>BIK</i> , <i>BIM</i> , <i>BID</i> , <i>BAX</i> and <i>BAK</i> .
⊢ IBind	BAD	BCL2 competes with $BCL$ - $xL$ for $BAD$ binding. $BAD$ displaces $BCL2$ from its inhibitory binding of $Bax/Bak$ . Although $BCL$ - $xL$ is a stronger binding partner, we assume that $BAD$ alone cannot fully block $BCL$ - $xL$ in the presence of $BCL2$ [391].
⊢ IBind	BIK	BIK binds $BCL2$ and they mutually inhibit each other's activity $[398].$

BCL2

⊢ IBind	BIM	BIM binds $BCL2$ and they mutually inhibit each other's ability to activate further targets [399].
⊢ Lysis	Casp3	BCL2 is cleaved and deactivated by Caspase 3 [397].
$\mathbf{BAD} = (\mathbf{C})$	asp3 or $(not((_B and ERK))$	$(\mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ or } \mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{B}}) \text{ or } \mathbf{ERK}) \text{ or } \mathbf{S6K}))) \text{ or } (\mathbf{Casp8} \text{ and } \mathbf{S6K})) \text{ and } (\operatorname{not}(\mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ and } (\mathbf{AKT}_{\mathbf{B}} \text{ or } \mathbf{ERK}))))))$
Prot	BAD in our m absence of survice cleaved and active We modeled t of ERK, S6K of ERK and be time-step).	nodel is ON when cleaved by Caspase 3, or in the complete vival signals ( $AKT$ , $ERK$ or $S6K$ ). Alternatively, $BAD$ can be tivated by Caspase 8 in the absence of strong survival signaling. his inhibitory survival signal as either the combined activity and (at least) basal $AKT$ , or high $AKT$ in the joint presence asal $AKT$ (indicating that $AKT_H$ will not drop by the next
⊢ P	ERK	$ERK$ phosphorylates $BAD$ at Ser-112, inducing its sequestration away from the mitochondrial membrane where its BCL-2 family targets are located (e.g., $BCL\text{-}2,\ BCL\text{-}xL)[400].$
⊢ P	AKT_B	Akt phosphorylates $BAD$ at Ser-136, inducing its sequestration away from the mitochondrial membrane where its BCL-2 family targets are located (e.g., $BCL2$ , $BCL-xL$ ) [401].
⊢ P	AKT_H	Akt phosphorylates $BAD$ at Ser-136, inducing its sequestration away from the mitochondrial membrane where its BCL-2 family targets are located (e.g., $BCL2$ , $BCL-xL$ ) [401].
⊢ P	S6K	S6K1 phosphorylates $BAD$ at Ser-155, directly blocking its binding to $BCL-xL$ [402].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp8	Caspase 8 is also able to cleave $BAD$ , generating a more potently apoptotic fragment [403]. In addition, $TRAIL$ -mediated apoptosis results in $BAD$ cleavage by a Caspase upstream of MOMP, creating a potent apoptotic inducer before full Caspase 3 activation [404].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp3	Caspase 3 cleaves $BAD$ , generating a more potently apoptotic fragment [403].
$\mathbf{BIK} = \mathrm{not}(($	MCL_1 or BC	$\mathbf{CLXL}$ ) or $\mathbf{BCL2}$ )
Prot	BIK is free to any of the three	activate its target, $BAX$ , only when it is not sequestered by ee $BCL-2$ family proteins [396].
⊢ IBind	MCL_1	MCL-1 binds $BIK$ ; they mutually inhibit each other [405].
⊢ IBind	BCLXL	BCL-xL binds $BIK$ ; they mutually inhibit each other [406].
⊢ IBind	BCL2	BCL2 binds $BIK$ ; they mutually inhibit each other [398].
BIM = Fox	$\mathbf{O3}$ and $(\mathbf{GSK3}$	and $(not(((\mathbf{ERK or MCL}_1) \text{ or } \mathbf{BCLXL}) \text{ or } \mathbf{BCL2})))$

BAD

BIK

BIM

Prot	<i>BIM</i> 's pro by <i>GSK3</i> , family pro	b-apoptotic activity requires expression driven by $FoxO3$ and aided as well as the absence of $ERK$ or any of the three inhibitory $BCL2$ teins.
⊢	ERK	The $MEK/ERK$ pathway represses $BIM$ protein levels, likely

Ind		via transcriptional repression [407].
$\leftarrow$ TR	FoxO3	FoxO3 is a transcriptional activator of $BIM$ [408].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	GSK3	$GSK3$ kinase is likely required for the $AP1\mbox{-}dependent$ expression of $BIM$ [409].
⊢ IBind	$MCL_1$	MCL-1 binds $BIM$ and inhibits its apoptotic activity [410].
⊢ IBind	BCLXL	BCL- $xL$ binds $BIM$ and inhibits its apoptotic activity [399].
⊢ IBind	BCL2	BLC2 binds $BIM$ and inhibits its apoptotic activity [399].

#### BID

Prot

 $\operatorname{Prot}$ 

#### BID = Casp8 or (Casp2 and (not((BCL2 or BCLXL) or MCL 1)))

BID is truncated in response to Caspase 8 activation. In addition, Caspase 2 can also promote BID activation once all three pro-apoptotic BCL2 family proteins are blocked.

$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp8	In response to <i>TRAIL</i> (or <i>FAS</i> ligand), the initiator <i>Caspase</i> 8 cleaves <i>BID</i> to its active truncated form [411, 412, 413].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp2	Caspase 2 cleaves $BID$ to its active truncated form [414].
⊢ IBind	$MCL_1$	All three anti-apoptotic BCL2 proteins ( <i>BCL2</i> , <i>BCL-xL</i> and <i>MCL-1</i> ) sequesters <i>BID</i> into stable complexes, preventing them from activating <i>BAX</i> or <i>BAK</i> [415].
⊢ IBind	BCLXL	All three anti-apoptotic BCL2 proteins ( <i>BCL2</i> , <i>BCL-xL</i> and <i>MCL-1</i> ) sequesters <i>BID</i> into stable complexes, preventing them from activating <i>BAX</i> or <i>BAK</i> [415].
⊢ IBind	BCL2	All three anti-apoptotic BCL2 proteins ( $BCL2$ , $BCL-xL$ and $MCL-1$ ) sequesters $BID$ into stable complexes, preventing them from activating $BAX$ or $BAK$ [415].

#### BAK

# $$\label{eq:BAK} \begin{split} \mathbf{BAK} &= (\mathbf{BID} \mathrm{and}((\mathbf{BIM} \mathrm{or} \mathbf{BIK}) \mathrm{or}(\mathrm{not}((\mathbf{BCL2} \mathrm{and} \mathbf{BCLXL}) \mathrm{and} \mathbf{MCL}\_1)))) \mathrm{or}((\mathbf{BIM} \mathrm{or} \mathbf{BIK}) \mathrm{and} (\mathrm{not}(\mathbf{BCLXL} \mathrm{ or} \mathbf{MCL}\_1))) \end{split}$$

Given that BAK is preferentially activated by BID compared to BIM [416] and that it is less responsive to sequestration by BCL2 than the other two anti-apoptotic BCL2 family proteins [417, 418], BAK in our model turns on when stimulated by BID if one or more BCL2 family proteins are absent, or if BIM or BIK are also present. In contrast, BIM or BIK only activate BAK if BCL-xL and MCL-1 are absent (BCL-2 alone cannot block them).

 $\underset{\text{IBind}}{\vdash} \quad \text{MCL}_{-1} \qquad \qquad \underset{\text{mitochondrial membrane}}{MCL-1} \quad \text{MCL-1 binds } BAK \text{ and prevent its oligomerization in the mitochondrial membrane [417, 418].}$ 

⊢ IBind	BCLXL	BCL-xL binds $BAK$ and prevent its oligomerization in the mitochondrial membrane [419, 417, 418].
⊢ IBind	BCL2	BCL2 can also bind $BAK$ to prevent its oligomerization, but it does so less potently than the other two BCL-2 family members [417, 418, 420].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	BIK	BIK can aid the activation of both $BAK$ and $BAX$ by trigger- ing $BAK$ oligomerization on the ER membrane and promoting a $Ca^{2+}$ efflux required for the fragmentation of hyper fused mitochondrial tubules, aiding $BAK$ and $BAX$ activation [421].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	BIM	BAK is preferentially activated by $BID$ compared to $BIM$ , but $BIM$ can also promote BAK oligomerization [416].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	BID	Activated (truncated) $BID$ binds to mitochondrial $BAK$ , resulting in its activation and oligomerization in the mitochondrial membrane, followed by <i>cytochrome c</i> release [422].

BAX

 $\operatorname{Prot}$ 

$$\label{eq:BAX} \begin{split} BAX &= (BIM \mathrm{and}((BID \mathrm{or} BIK) \mathrm{or}(\mathrm{not}((BCL2 \mathrm{and} BCLXL) \mathrm{and} MCL\_1)))) \mathrm{or}((BID \mathrm{or} BIK) \mathrm{and} (\mathrm{not}(BCL2 \mathrm{or} BCLXL))) \end{split}$$

In contrast to BAK, BAX is preferentially activated by BIM compared to BID [416] and it is less responsive to sequestration by MCL-1 than the other two anti-apoptotic BCL2 family proteins [417, 418]. BAX in our model turns on when stimulated by BIM if one or more BCL2 family proteins are absent, or if BID or BIK are also present. In contrast, BID or BIK only activate BAK if BCL2 and BCL-xL are both absent (MCL-1 alone cannot block them).

⊢ IBind	MCL_1	MCL-1 can also bind $BAK$ to prevent its oligomerization, but it does so less potently than the other two BCL-2 family members [417, 418, 423].
⊢ IBind	BCLXL	BCL- $xL$ binds $BAX$ and prevent its oligomerization in the mitochondrial membrane [417, 418].
⊢ IBind	BCL2	BCL2 binds $BAX$ and prevent its oligomerization in the mitochondrial membrane [417, 418].
← Compl	BIK	BIK can aid the activation of both $BAK$ and $BAX$ by trigger- ing $BAK$ oligomerization on the ER membrane and promoting a $Ca^{2+}$ efflux required for the fragmentation of hyper fused mitochondrial tubules, aiding $BAK$ and $BAX$ activation [421].
$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	BIM	Activated $BIM$ binds to mitochondrial $BAX$ , resulting in its allosteric activation and oligomerization in the mitochondrial membrane, leading to <i>cytochrome c</i> release [416].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Compl$	BID	BAX is preferentially activated by $BIM$ compared to $BID$ , but $BID$ can also promote $BAK$ oligomerization [416].

#### $Cyto\_C \qquad Cyto\_C = BAX \text{ or } BAK$

Prot

Cytochrome C release from mitochondria requires the oligomerization of either BAK or BAX [424].

	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Loc}$	BAK	BAK oligomerization at the mitochondrial membrane triggers MOMP, which results in the release of <i>cytochrome</i> $C$ from mitochondria [424].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	BAX	BAX oligomerization at the mitochondrial membrane triggers MOMP, which results in the release of <i>cytochrome</i> C from mitochondria [425, 426].
SMAC	$\mathbf{SMAC} = \mathbf{B}$	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$ or $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{K}$	
	Prot	SMAC/Diablo BAK or BAX	release from mitochondria requires the oligomerization of either [424, 427].
	$\leftarrow \\ \text{Loc}$	BAK	BAK oligomerization at the mitochondrial membrane triggers MOMP, which results in the release of $SMAC/Diablo$ from mitochondria [424, 427].
	$\leftarrow_{\rm Loc}$	BAX	BAX oligomerization at the mitochondrial membrane triggers MOMP, which results in the release of $SMAC/Diablo$ from mitochondria [424, 427].
IAPs	IAPs = (not	SMAC) or AI	KT_H
	Prot	Inhibitor of A inhibition, or f SMAC require	poptosis Proteins $(IAPs)$ are active in the absence of $SMAC$ following $AKT_H$ mediated upregulation (this protection from es peak or oncogenic $AKT$ activity).
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	AKT_H	cIAP-2 and $XIAP$ are both transcriptionally up-regulated in response to strong $PI3K/AKT1$ activation [428].
	⊢ IBind	SMAC	SMAC/Diablo binds tightly to $IAP$ proteins and blocks their ability to inhibit Caspase 3 [429].
Casp9	Casp9 = Ca	$\mathbf{sp3}$ or $(($ not $\mathbf{IA}$	$\mathbf{Ps}$ ) and $\mathbf{Cyto}_{\mathbf{C}}$ )
	PTase	Procaspase 9 apoptosome (v of IAP protein	is cleaved into active Caspase 9 by Caspase 3, or by the which relies on cytochrome C for its assembly) in the absence as.
	$\leftarrow \\ Compl$	Cyto_C	Cytochrome c binds to $APAF-1$ proteins, promoting their assembly into the apoptosome, a platform for procaspase 9 binding and cleavage into its active form [430].
	⊢ IBind	IAPs	XIAP, cIAP1 and cIAP2 inhibit the cytochrome c-induced activation of procaspase-9 [431].
	$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp3	<i>Procaspase</i> $9$ is a direct cleavage target of <i>Caspase</i> $3$ [382].
Casp3	Casp3 = ((Casp3 or Casp3 or	Casp9 and Ca Casp8) or Casp	${\bf sp8}) \ {\rm or} \ ({\bf Casp3} \ {\rm and} \ ({\bf Casp9} \ {\rm or} \ {\bf Casp8}))) \ {\rm or} \ (({\rm not} \ {\bf IAPs}) \ {\rm and} \ {\bf 3}))$
	PTase	Activation of initiator caspa of two of the th of <i>IAP</i> s, which to its active s cleave and act	Caspase 3 requires proteolytic cleavage of procaspase-3 by ses such as Caspase 9 or Caspase 8. In our model, cooperation hree caspases (Casp9, Casp8, Casp3) is required in the presence h inhibit the proteolytic activity of Caspase 3 by bind tightly ite. In the absence of IAPs, either of the three caspases can ivate Caspase 3.

$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp8	Caspase 8 can cleave Caspase 3 [432], but full Caspase 3 activation also requires MOMP (potentially due to a need for IAP inhibition) [433].
⊢ IBind	IAPs	<i>IAP</i> s bind tightly to the active site of <i>Caspase 3</i> , keeping its activity in check [431, 434].
$\leftarrow \\ \rm Lysis$	Casp9	Active Caspase 9 cleaves procaspase 3 [435].
$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Per}$	Casp3	Once activated, <i>Caspase 3</i> helps sustain its own activation by cleaving <i>procaspase 8</i> and <i>6</i> . <i>Caspase 6</i> , in turn, generates additional active <i>caspase 8</i> and <i>9</i> . Together they all sustains a continuing active pool of Caspase 3.

## Table S1q: DNA\_Fragmentation module

Target Node	Node Gate Node Type Link Type	Node Descrip Input Node	tion Link Description
CAD	CAD = Cas	5p3 and Casp9	
	DNase	Caspase-activ via the cleave $Capsase \ 3$ an our model th irreversible ap $\beta$ and $\beta$ are o	ated DNase $(CAD)$ is activated when its inhibition is released age of $ICAD$ (inhibitor of caspase-activated DNase). While d 7 (a direct target of <i>Caspase 9</i> ) can inhibit $ICAD$ [436], in ey are both required, as $CAD = ON$ is represents terminal, optotic commitment, which is fully locked in when both <i>Caspase</i> on.
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Casp9	In addition of <i>Caspase 3</i> , <i>CAD</i> inhibition can also be relieved by <i>ICAD</i> cleavage by <i>Caspase 7</i> , which is a direct target of <i>Caspase 9</i> [436].
	$\leftarrow \\ \mathrm{Ind}$	Casp3	Caspase 3 relives $CAD$ inhibition by cleaving its inhibitor $ICAD$ [436].

#### Table S2a: Key to Node Type Symbols

Symbol	Node Type	Description
Env	Environment	Nodes or modules that represent the extracellular environment of a single cell or cell collective. These are self-sustaining nodes or node groups that maintain their initial states and receive no feedback from the rest of the network (they act as inputs).
Proc	Process	Nodes or modules that stand in for complex cellular processes not modeled in detail (e.g., DNA replication or the process of aligning chromosomes at the metaphase plane during mitosis).

## Table S2a: Key to Node Type Symbols

MSt	Macro_Structure	Nodes or modules that represent the state of large, complex cellular structures such as DNA content, cytoskeletal features, junctions or mitochondria.
Met	Metabolite	Regulatory node representing a metabolite (not protein, gene product or complex structure).
mRNA	MRNA	mRNA.
miR	MicroRNA	microRNA.
PC	Protein_Complex	Protein complex represented by a single node or via a key member of the complex.
Rec	Receptor	Cell surface receptor protein or complex.
Adap	${\rm Adaptor\_Protein}$	Protein that helps scaffold a signaling complex or other large assembly of proteins.
Secr	Secreted_Protein	Protein secreted into the extracellular environment, such that the state of the node tagged with this type represents the availability fo this protein outside the cell.
TF	$TF\_Protein$	Transcription factor.
К	Kinase	Kinase (enzyme that catalyzes the phosphorylation of its tar- get).
Ph	Phosphatase	Phosphatase (enzyme that catalyzes the removal of phosphory- lation from its target).
UbL	Ubiquitin_Ligase	Ubiquitin ligase (protein that recruits an ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme that has been loaded with ubiquitin to a target protein and assists or directly catalyzes the transfer of ubiquitin from the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme to the target).
PTase	Protease	Protease (enzyme that catalyzes the breakdown of proteins into smaller fragments).
DNase	DNase	Protease ligase.
CAM	CAM	Cell adhesion proteins located on the cell surface.
CDK	CDK	Cyclin-dependent kinase.
CDKI	CDKI	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor.
GEF	GEF	Guanine nucleotide exchange factor.
GAP	GAP	GTPase-activating protein (also called GTPase-accelerating protein).
GTPa	GTPase	GTPase enzymes that hydrolyze ATP to ADP.
Enz	Enzyme	Enzyme that does not fit the more specific enzyme categories listed above.
Prot	Protein	Regulatory protein that does not fit any of the more specific classifications listed above.
LncRNA	LncRNA	Long intervening noncoding RNA
SLig	Cell_Surgace_Ligand	Membrane-bound signaling molecule that serves as a ligand to receptors on neighboring cells.

Symbol	Link Type	Description
Env	Enforced_Env	This link type represents self-loops on Environment nodes, which guarantee that these nodes maintain their initial state throughout a time-course simulation unless they are explicitly altered by the simulation's settings.
Ind	Indirect	Regulatory influence that does not involve direct binding, processing, or enzyme activity.
ComplProc	Complex_Process	Regulatory influence that is not modeled in detail, but involves more than one molecule or a macrostructure. For example, the physical need for kinetochores on replicated sister chromatids for the assembly of certain protein complexes can be repre- sented as a link from the node representing kinetochores to the regulatory proteins, with a Complex_Process link type.
Per	Persistence	This link type represents self-loops that alter the ability of a node to stay in a particular state depending on its own current state. For example, if transcription of a protein is easier to maintain than to induce de novo, this may be encoded by a logic gate that includes the node itself and creates a self-loop. The link type of this loop is "Persistence".
TR	Transcription	Action of a transcription factor to alter the expression of the target node (mRNA or protein). Link type should be used for induction as well as repression (the link effect contains this information).
TL	Translation	Regulatory influence that controls the translation of mRNA into protein; should be used for induction as well as repression of translation.
Ligand	Ligand_Binding	Binding of extracellular ligand to its receptor.
Compl	Complex_Formation	Binding even that leads to a regulatory protein complex.
IBind	Inhibitory_Binding	Binding even that represses the target node's level or activity.
Loc	Localization	Regulatory influence that alters the localization of a molecule.
BLoc	Binding_Localization	Binding even that alters the localization of a molecule.
PBind	Protective_Binding	Binding even that increases / protects the target node's activity.
Unbind	Unbinding	A regulatory influence that causes the target node to be released from a protein complex and change its activity (increase or decrease) as a result.
Р	Phosphorylation	Phosphorylation.
DP	Dephosphorylation	Dehosphorylation.
PLoc	Phosphorylation_Localization	Phosphorylation resulting in altered protein localization.
Ubiq	Ubiquitination	Ubiquitination, usually leading to protein degradation.
Deg	Degradation	Regulatory influence leading to the degradation of the target molecule (more general than Ubiquitination; the latter link type should be used when appropriate).
GEF	GEF_Activity	Action of a Guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) leading to GTP loading onto (and usually the activation of) a GTPase.

## Table S2b: Key to Link Type Symbols

#### Table S2b: Key to Link Type Symbols

GAP	GAP_Activity	Actions of a GTP ase-activating protein (GAP) leading to the hydrolysis of GTP by (and usually de-activation of) a GTP ase.
Lysis	Proteolysis	Protein cleavage.
Cat	Catalysis	Increasing the rate of metabolite production by an enzyme.
Epi	Epigenetic	Process that alters gene expression via modifying chromatin condensation or altering DNA methylation.
Secr	Secretion	Secretion or shedding of a protein or other regulatory molecule to the extracellular environment.
RNAi	RNAi	This process represents inhibitory binding of cytoplasmic mR-NAs by RISC-bound microRNAs that block translation and/or enhance mRNA degradation.

#### Table S2c: Key to Link Effect Symbols

Symbol	Link Effect	Description
<u> </u>	Activation	Link in which the input node aids the expression, activity, persistence or localization of the target such that the target is easier to turn/keep in an ON state. It can be used for multi-level nodes as long as these levels represent increasing intervals of activity.
Г	Repression	Link in which the input node hinders the expression, activity, persistence or localization of the target such that the target is easier to turn/keep in an OFF state. It can be used for multi-level nodes as long as these levels represent increasing intervals of activity.

#### References

- [1] Zhenyi Ma, Zhe Liu, David P. Myers, and Lance S. Terada. Mechanotransduction and anoikis: Death and the homeless cell. *Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex.)*, 7(16):2462–2465, August 2008.
- [2] Mark A Lemmon and Joseph Schlessinger. Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. Cell, 141(7):1117– 1134, June 2010.
- [3] Samrein B. M. Ahmed and Sally A. Prigent. Insights into the Shc Family of Adaptor Proteins. *Journal of Molecular Signaling*, 12:2, May 2017.
- [4] D. D. Schlaepfer, K. C. Jones, and T. Hunter. Multiple Grb2-mediated integrin-stimulated signaling pathways to ERK2/mitogen-activated protein kinase: Summation of both c-Src- and focal adhesion kinase-initiated tyrosine phosphorylation events. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 18(5):2571–2585, May 1998.
- [5] A Uzman. Molecular Cell Biology (4th edition) Harvey Lodish, Arnold Berk, S. Lawrence Zipursky, Paul Matsudaira, David Baltimore and James Darnell; Freeman & Co., New York, NY, 2000, 1084 pp., list price 102.25, ISBN 0-7167-3136-3. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 29(3):126–128, 2001.

- [6] Ying E. Zhang. Non-Smad pathways in TGF-beta signaling. Cell Research, 19(1):128–139, January 2009.
- [7] A P Belsches, M D Haskell, and Sarah J. Parsons. Role of c-Src tyrosine kinase in EGF-induced mitogenesis. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 2(4):d501–518, 1997.
- [8] Oliver Rocks, Anna Peyker, Martin Kahms, Peter J. Verveer, Carolin Koerner, Maria Lumbierres, Jürgen Kuhlmann, Herbert Waldmann, Alfred Wittinghofer, and Philippe I. H. Bastiaens. An Acylation Cycle Regulates Localization and Activity of Palmitoylated Ras Isoforms. *Science*, 307(5716):1746–1752, March 2005.
- [9] Rosana D. Meyer, David B. Sacks, and Nader Rahimi. IQGAP1-dependent signaling pathway regulates endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis. *PloS One*, 3(12):e3848, 2008.
- [10] Suyong Choi and Richard A. Anderson. And Akt-ion! IQGAP1 in control of signaling pathways. The EMBO journal, 36(8):967–969, April 2017.
- [11] Helen Morrison, Tobias Sperka, Jan Manent, Marco Giovannini, Helmut Ponta, and Peter Herrlich. Merlin/neurofibromatosis type 2 suppresses growth by inhibiting the activation of Ras and Rac. Cancer Research, 67(2):520–527, January 2007.
- [12] Soung Hoo Jeon, Ju-Yong Yoon, Young-Nyun Park, Woo-Jeong Jeong, Sewoon Kim, Eek-Hoon Jho, Young-Joon Surh, and Kang-Yell Choi. Axin inhibits extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway by Ras degradation via beta-catenin. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282(19):14482–14492, May 2007.
- [13] Dean E. McNulty, Zhigang Li, Colin D. White, David B. Sacks, and Roland S. Annan. MAPK Scaffold IQGAP1 Binds the EGF Receptor and Modulates Its Activation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 286(17):15010–15021, April 2011.
- [14] Mi-Sun Yun, Sung-Eun Kim, Soung Hoo Jeon, Jung-Soo Lee, and Kang-Yell Choi. Both ERK and Wnt/beta-catenin pathways are involved in Wnt3a-induced proliferation. *Journal of Cell Science*, 118(Pt 2):313–322, January 2005.
- [15] F Chang, L S Steelman, J T Lee, J G Shelton, P M Navolanic, W L Blalock, R A Franklin, and J A McCubrey. Signal transduction mediated by the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway from cytokine receptors to transcription factors: Potential targeting for therapeutic intervention. *Leukemia*, 17(7):1263–1293, July 2003.
- [16] Permeen Yusoff, Dieu-Hung Lao, Siew Hwa Ong, Esther Sook Miin Wong, Jormay Lim, Ting Ling Lo, Hwei Fen Leong, Chee Wai Fong, and Graeme R. Guy. Sprouty2 inhibits the Ras/MAP kinase pathway by inhibiting the activation of Raf. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277(5):3195–3201, February 2002.
- [17] Suzanne C. Brady, Mathew L. Coleman, June Munro, Stephan M. Feller, Nicolas A. Morrice, and Michael F. Olson. Sprouty2 association with B-Raf is regulated by phosphorylation and kinase conformation. *Cancer Research*, 69(17):6773–6781, September 2009.
- [18] C Widmann, S Gibson, and G L Johnson. Caspase-dependent cleavage of signaling proteins during apoptosis. A turn-off mechanism for anti-apoptotic signals. J Biol Chem, 273(12):7141–7147, March 1998.
- [19] Yohannes A Mebratu, Burton F Dickey, Chris Evans, and Yohannes Tesfaigzi. The BH3-only protein Bik/Blk/Nbk inhibits nuclear translocation of activated ERK1/2 to mediate IFNgamma-induced cell death. J Cell Biol, 183(3):429–439, November 2008.
- [20] Guoyong Yin, Qinlei Zheng, Chen Yan, and Bradford C. Berk. GIT1 is a scaffold for ERK1/2 activation in focal adhesions. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 280(30):27705–27712, July 2005.

- [21] Pengda Liu, Wenjian Gan, Y Rebecca Chin, Kohei Ogura, Jianping Guo, Jinfang Zhang, Bin Wang, John Blenis, Lewis C Cantley, Alex Toker, Bing Su, and Wenyi Wei. PtdIns(3,4,5)P3-Dependent Activation of the mTORC2 Kinase Complex. *Cancer discovery*, 5(11):1194–1209, November 2015.
- [22] Hui H Zhang, Alex I Lipovsky, Christian C Dibble, Mustafa Sahin, and Brendan D Manning. S6K1 regulates GSK3 under conditions of mTOR-dependent feedback inhibition of Akt. *Mol Cell*, 24(2):185– 197, October 2006.
- [23] Christian C Dibble, John M Asara, and Brendan D Manning. Characterization of Rictor phosphorylation sites reveals direct regulation of mTOR complex 2 by S6K1. *Molecular and cellular biology*, 29(21):5657– 5670, November 2009.
- [24] P Rodriguez-Viciana, P H Warne, R Dhand, B Vanhaesebroeck, I Gout, M J Fry, M D Waterfield, and J Downward. Phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase as a direct target of Ras. *Nature*, 370(6490):527–532, August 1994.
- [25] Surbhi Gupta, Antoine R Ramjaun, Paula Haiko, Yihua Wang, Patricia H Warne, Barbara Nicke, Emma Nye, Gordon Stamp, Kari Alitalo, and Julian Downward. Binding of Ras to phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110alpha is required for Ras-driven tumorigenesis in mice. *Cell*, 129(5):957–968, June 2007.
- [26] A Khwaja, P Rodriguez-Viciana, S Wennström, P H Warne, and J Downward. Matrix adhesion and Ras transformation both activate a phosphoinositide 3-OH kinase and protein kinase B/Akt cellular survival pathway. *The EMBO Journal*, 16(10):2783–2793, May 1997.
- [27] Yiling Lu, Qinghua Yu, Jue Hui Liu, Jinyi Zhang, Hongwei Wang, Dimpy Koul, John S. McMurray, Xianjun Fang, W.K.Alfred Yung, Kathy A. Siminovitch, and Gordon B. Mills. Src Family Proteintyrosine Kinases Alter the Function of PTEN to Regulate Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase/AKT Cascades. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278(41):40057–40066, October 2003.
- [28] Brendan D Manning and Alex Toker. AKT/PKB Signaling: Navigating the Network. Cell, 169(3):381–405, April 2017.
- [29] Zhiqiang Lin, Pingzhu Zhou, Alexander von Gise, Fei Gu, Qing Ma, Jinghai Chen, Haidong Guo, Pim R. R. van Gorp, Da-Zhi Wang, and William T. Pu. Pi3kcb links Hippo-YAP and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways to promote cardiomyocyte proliferation and survival. *Circulation Research*, 116(1):35–45, January 2015.
- [30] Tina L Yuan, Gerburg Wulf, Laura Burga, and Lewis C Cantley. Cell-to-Cell Variability in PI3K Protein Level Regulates PI3K-AKT Pathway Activity in Cell Populations. *Current biology : CB*, 21(3):173–183, February 2011.
- [31] Rosaline C-Y Hui, Ana R Gomes, Demetra Constantinidou, Joana R Costa, Christina T Karadedou, Silvia Fernández de Mattos, Matthias P Wymann, Jan J Brosens, Almut Schulze, and Eric W-F Lam. The forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a increases phosphoinositide-3 kinase/Akt activity in drug-resistant leukemic cells through induction of PIK3CA expression. *Molecular and cellular biology*, 28(19):5886–5898, October 2008.
- [32] Zixi Wang, Tingting Dang, Tingting Liu, She Chen, Lin Li, Song Huang, and Min Fang. NEDD4L Protein Catalyzes Ubiquitination of PIK3CA Protein and Regulates PI3K-AKT Signaling. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 291(33):17467–17477, August 2016.
- [33] Nader Chalhoub and Suzanne J. Baker. PTEN and the PI3-Kinase Pathway in Cancer. Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease, 4(1):127–150, February 2009.
- [34] Maiko Higuchi, Keisuke Onishi, Chikako Kikuchi, and Yukiko Gotoh. Scaffolding function of PAK in the PDK1–Akt pathway. *Nature Cell Biology*, 10(11):1356–1364, November 2008.
- [35] Kai Mao, Satoru Kobayashi, Zahara M. Jaffer, Yuan Huang, Paul Volden, Jonathan Chernoff, and Qiangrong Liang. Regulation of Akt/PKB activity by P21-activated kinase in cardiomyocytes. *Journal* of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology, 44(2):429–434, February 2008.

- [36] Jer-Yen Yang, Cong S Zong, Weiya Xia, Hirohito Yamaguchi, Qingqing Ding, Xiaoming Xie, Jing-Yu Lang, Chien-Chen Lai, Chun-Ju Chang, Wei-Chien Huang, Hsin Huang, Hsu-Ping Kuo, Dung-Fang Lee, Long-Yuan Li, Huang-Chun Lien, Xiaoyun Cheng, King-Jen Chang, Chwan-Deng Hsiao, Fuu-Jen Tsai, Chang-Hai Tsai, Aysegul A Sahin, William J Muller, Gordon B Mills, Dihua Yu, Gabriel N Hortobagyi, and Mien-Chie Hung. ERK promotes tumorigenesis by inhibiting FOXO3a via MDM2-mediated degradation. Nat Cell Biol, 10(2):138–148, February 2008.
- [37] Octavian Bucur, Andreea Lucia Stancu, Maria Sinziana Muraru, Armelle Melet, Stefana Maria Petrescu, and Roya Khosravi-Far. PLK1 is a binding partner and a negative regulator of FOXO3 tumor suppressor. *Discoveries (Craiova, Romania)*, 2(2):e16, April 2014.
- [38] S Cockcroft and G M Thomas. Inositol-lipid-specific phospholipase C isoenzymes and their differential regulation by receptors. *The Biochemical journal*, 288 (Pt 1)(Pt 1):1–14, November 1992.
- [39] H K Kim, J W Kim, A Zilberstein, B Margolis, J G Kim, J Schlessinger, and S G Rhee. PDGF stimulation of inositol phospholipid hydrolysis requires PLC-gamma 1 phosphorylation on tyrosine residues 783 and 1254. *Cell*, 65(3):435–441, May 1991.
- [40] W Zhang, R P Trible, M Zhu, S K Liu, C J McGlade, and L E Samelson. Association of Grb2, Gads, and phospholipase C-gamma 1 with phosphorylated LAT tyrosine residues. Effect of LAT tyrosine mutations on T cell angigen receptor-mediated signaling. J Biol Chem, 275(30):23355–23361, July 2000.
- [41] M Falasca, S K Logan, V P Lehto, G Baccante, M A Lemmon, and J Schlessinger. Activation of phospholipase C gamma by PI 3-kinase-induced PH domain-mediated membrane targeting. *EMBO J*, 17(2):414–422, January 1998.
- [42] L E Rameh, S G Rhee, K Spokes, A Kazlauskas, L C Cantley, and L G Cantley. Phosphoinositide 3kinase regulates phospholipase Cgamma-mediated calcium signaling. J Biol Chem, 273(37):23750–23757, September 1998.
- [43] Aurelie Gresset, John Sondek, and T Kendall Harden. The phospholipase C isozymes and their regulation. Sub-cellular biochemistry, 58(Chapter 3):61–94, 2012.
- [44] R H Michell, C J Kirk, L M Jones, C P Downes, and J A Creba. The stimulation of inositol lipid metabolism that accompanies calcium mobilization in stimulated cells: Defined characteristics and unanswered questions. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological* sciences, 296(1080):123–138, December 1981.
- [45] Albert Escobedo, Tiago Gomes, Eric Aragón, Pau Martín-Malpartida, Lidia Ruiz, and Maria J Macias. Structural basis of the activation and degradation mechanisms of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4L. Structure (London, England : 1993), 22(10):1446–1457, October 2014.
- [46] Robert A. Saxton and David M. Sabatini. mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. Cell, 169(2):361–371, April 2017.
- [47] Li Ma, Zhenbang Chen, Hediye Erdjument-Bromage, Paul Tempst, and Pier Paolo Pandolfi. Phosphorylation and functional inactivation of TSC2 by Erk implications for tuberous sclerosis and cancer pathogenesis. *Cell*, 121(2):179–193, April 2005.
- [48] Philippe P. Roux, Bryan A. Ballif, Rana Anjum, Steven P. Gygi, and John Blenis. Tumor-promoting phorbol esters and activated Ras inactivate the tuberous sclerosis tumor suppressor complex via p90 ribosomal S6 kinase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(37):13489–13494, September 2004.
- [49] Ken Inoki, Yong Li, Tianquan Zhu, Jun Wu, and Kun-Liang Guan. TSC2 is phosphorylated and inhibited by Akt and suppresses mTOR signalling. *Nature Cell Biology*, 4(9):648–657, September 2002.
- [50] Claudia Wiza, Emmani B. M. Nascimento, and D. Margriet Ouwens. Role of PRAS40 in Akt and mTOR signaling in health and disease. *American Journal of Physiology. Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 302(12):E1453–1460, June 2012.

- [51] Emilie Vander Haar, Seong-Il Lee, Sricharan Bandhakavi, Timothy J. Griffin, and Do-Hyung Kim. Insulin signalling to mTOR mediated by the Akt/PKB substrate PRAS40. Nature Cell Biology, 9(3):316–323, March 2007.
- [52] Bruno D. Fonseca, Ewan M. Smith, Vivian H.-Y. Lee, Carol MacKintosh, and Christopher G. Proud. PRAS40 is a target for mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 and is required for signaling downstream of this complex. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282(34):24514–24524, August 2007.
- [53] Ken Inoki, Yong Li, Tian Xu, and Kun-Liang Guan. Rheb GTPase is a direct target of TSC2 GAP activity and regulates mTOR signaling. *Genes & Development*, 17(15):1829–1834, August 2003.
- [54] Mengling Liu, Christopher J. Clarke, Mohamed F. Salama, Yeon Ja Choi, Lina M. Obeid, and Yusuf A. Hannun. Co-ordinated activation of classical and novel PKC isoforms is required for PMA-induced mTORC1 activation. *PloS One*, 12(9):e0184818, 2017.
- [55] Constantinos Demetriades, Monika Plescher, and Aurelio A. Teleman. Lysosomal recruitment of TSC2 is a universal response to cellular stress. *Nature Communications*, 7:10662, February 2016.
- [56] Xiaomeng Long, Yenshou Lin, Sara Ortiz-Vega, Kazuyoshi Yonezawa, and Joseph Avruch. Rheb binds and regulates the mTOR kinase. *Current biology: CB*, 15(8):702–713, April 2005.
- [57] Francisco Ramírez-Valle, Michelle L. Badura, Steve Braunstein, Manisha Narasimhan, and Robert J. Schneider. Mitotic raptor promotes mTORC1 activity, G(2)/M cell cycle progression, and internal ribosome entry site-mediated mRNA translation. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 30(13):3151–3164, July 2010.
- [58] Marianne F. James, Sangyeul Han, Carolyn Polizzano, Scott R. Plotkin, Brendan D. Manning, Anat O. Stemmer-Rachamimov, James F. Gusella, and Vijaya Ramesh. NF2/merlin is a novel negative regulator of mTOR complex 1, and activation of mTORC1 is associated with meningioma and schwannoma growth. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 29(15):4250–4261, August 2009.
- [59] Miguel A. López-Lago, Tomoyo Okada, Miguel M. Murillo, Nick Socci, and Filippo G. Giancotti. Loss of the Tumor Suppressor Gene NF2, Encoding Merlin, Constitutively Activates Integrin-Dependent mTORC1 Signaling. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 29(15):4235–4249, August 2009.
- [60] Sebastian Real, Nathalie Meo-Evoli, Lilia Espada, and Albert Tauler. E2F1 regulates cellular growth by mTORC1 signaling. *PloS One*, 6(1):e16163, January 2011.
- [61] R. Martin, C. Desponds, R. O. Eren, M. Quadroni, M. Thome, and N. Fasel. Caspase-mediated cleavage of raptor participates in the inactivation of mTORC1 during cell death. *Cell Death Discovery*, 2:16024, 2016.
- [62] Xiaoju Max Ma and John Blenis. Molecular mechanisms of mTOR-mediated translational control. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology, 10(5):307–318, May 2009.
- [63] Rohini Dhar, Shalini D. Persaud, Joe R. Mireles, and Alakananda Basu. Proteolytic cleavage of p70 ribosomal S6 kinase by caspase-3 during DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *Biochemistry*, 48(7):1474–1480, February 2009.
- [64] M. Bushell, L. McKendrick, R. U. Jänicke, M. J. Clemens, and S. J. Morley. Caspase-3 is necessary and sufficient for cleavage of protein synthesis eukaryotic initiation factor 4G during apoptosis. *FEBS letters*, 451(3):332–336, May 1999.
- [65] Qingqing Ding, Weiya Xia, Jaw-Ching Liu, Jer-Yen Yang, Dung-Fang Lee, Jiahong Xia, Geoffrey Bartholomeusz, Yan Li, Yong Pan, Zheng Li, Ralf C. Bargou, Jun Qin, Chien-Chen Lai, Fuu-Jen Tsai, Chang-Hai Tsai, and Mien-Chie Hung. Erk associates with and primes GSK-3beta for its inactivation resulting in upregulation of beta-catenin. *Molecular Cell*, 19(2):159–170, July 2005.
- [66] Chengfu Yuan, Lei Wang, Liang Zhou, and Zheng Fu. The function of FOXO1 in the late phases of the cell cycle is suppressed by PLK1-mediated phosphorylation. *Cell Cycle*, 13(5):807–819, 2014.

- [67] Joan Seoane, Hong-Van Le, Lijian Shen, Stewart A. Anderson, and Joan Massagué. Integration of Smad and forkhead pathways in the control of neuroepithelial and glioblastoma cell proliferation. *Cell*, 117(2):211–223, April 2004.
- [68] Fen Hu, Chuan Wang, Jun Du, Wei Sun, Jidong Yan, Dong Mi, Jie Zhang, Yuhuan Qiao, Tianhui Zhu, and Shuang Yang. DeltaEF1 promotes breast cancer cell proliferation through down-regulating p21 expression. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*, 1802(2):301–312, February 2010.
- [69] P. Staller, K. Peukert, A. Kiermaier, J. Seoane, J. Lukas, H. Karsunky, T. Möröy, J. Bartek, J. Massagué, F. Hänel, and M. Eilers. Repression of p15INK4b expression by Myc through association with Miz-1. *Nature Cell Biology*, 3(4):392–399, April 2001.
- [70] Joan Seoane, Hong-Van Le, and Joan Massagué. Myc suppression of the p21(Cip1) Cdk inhibitor influences the outcome of the p53 response to DNA damage. *Nature*, 419(6908):729–734, October 2002.
- [71] Simon Mitchell, Jesse Vargas, and Alexander Hoffmann. Signaling via the NFκB system. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews. Systems Biology and Medicine, 8(3):227–241, May 2016.
- [72] Dong Bai, Lynn Ueno, and Peter K. Vogt. Akt-mediated regulation of NFκB and the essentialness of NFκB for the oncogenicity of PI3K and Akt. International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer, 125(12):2863–2870, December 2009.
- [73] A. Israel. The IKK Complex, a Central Regulator of NF- B Activation. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2(3):a000158–a000158, March 2010.
- [74] A. Foryst-Ludwig and M. Naumann. P21-activated kinase 1 activates the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kappa B)-inducing kinase-Ikappa B kinases NF-kappa B pathway and proinflammatory cytokines in Helicobacter pylori infection. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275(50):39779–39785, December 2000.
- [75] Marco Pieraccioli, Francesca Imbastari, Alexey Antonov, Gerry Melino, and Giuseppe Raschellà. Activation of miR200 by c-Myb depends on ZEB1 expression and miR200 promoter methylation. *Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex.)*, 12(14):2309–2320, July 2013.
- [76] A. Lauder, A. Castellanos, and K. Weston. C-Myb transcription is activated by protein kinase B (PKB) following interleukin 2 stimulation of Tcells and is required for PKB-mediated protection from apoptosis. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 21(17):5797–5805, September 2001.
- [77] Yoshikazu Takada, Xiaojing Ye, and Scott Simon. The integrins. Genome Biology, 8(5):215, 2007.
- [78] Martin Alexander Schwartz. Integrins and extracellular matrix in mechanotransduction. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2(12):a005066, December 2010.
- [79] Satyajit K. Mitra, Daniel A. Hanson, and David D. Schlaepfer. Focal adhesion kinase: In command and control of cell motility. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 6(1):56–68, January 2005.
- [80] François G. Gervais, Nancy A. Thornberry, Salvatore C. Ruffolo, Donald W. Nicholson, and Sophie Roy. Caspases Cleave Focal Adhesion Kinase during Apoptosis to Generate a FRNK-like Polypeptide. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 273(27):17102–17108, July 1998.
- [81] Y. Yamakita, G. Totsukawa, S. Yamashiro, D. Fry, X. Zhang, S. K. Hanks, and F. Matsumura. Dissociation of FAK/p130(CAS)/c-Src complex during mitosis: Role of mitosis-specific serine phosphorylation of FAK. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 144(2):315–324, January 1999.
- [82] Matthew C. Jones, Janet A. Askari, Jonathan D. Humphries, and Martin J. Humphries. Cell adhesion is regulated by CDK1 during the cell cycle. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 217(9):3203–3218, September 2018.
- [83] Satyajit K. Mitra and David D. Schlaepfer. Integrin-regulated FAK-Src signaling in normal and cancer cells. Current Opinion in Cell Biology, 18(5):516–523, October 2006.

- [84] Paul A. Bromann, Hasan Korkaya, and Sara A. Courtneidge. The interplay between Src family kinases and receptor tyrosine kinases. Oncogene, 23(48):7957–7968, October 2004.
- [85] D. R. Stover, J. Liebetanz, and N. B. Lydon. Cdc2-mediated modulation of pp60c-src activity. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 269(43):26885–26889, October 1994.
- [86] Yoshimi Takai, Kenji Irie, Kazuya Shimizu, Toshiaki Sakisaka, and Wataru Ikeda. Nectins and nectinlike molecules: Roles in cell adhesion, migration, and polarization. *Cancer Science*, 94(8):655–667, August 2003.
- [87] Hisakazu Ogita, Yoshiyuki Rikitake, Jun Miyoshi, and Yoshimi Takai. Cell adhesion molecules nectins and associating proteins: Implications for physiology and pathology. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, *Series B*, 86(6):621–629, 2010.
- [88] Yukiko Minami, Wataru Ikeda, Mihoko Kajita, Tsutomu Fujito, Hisayuki Amano, Yoshiyuki Tamaru, Kaori Kuramitsu, Yasuhisa Sakamoto, Morito Monden, and Yoshimi Takai. Necl-5/Poliovirus Receptor Interacts in cis with Integrin αVβ3 and Regulates Its Clustering and Focal Complex Formation. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 282(25):18481–18496, June 2007.
- [89] Mihoko Kajita, Wataru Ikeda, Yoshiyuki Tamaru, and Yoshimi Takai. Regulation of platelet-derived growth factor-induced Ras signaling by poliovirus receptor Necl-5 and negative growth regulator Sprouty2. Genes to Cells: Devoted to Molecular & Cellular Mechanisms, 12(3):345–357, March 2007.
- [90] Jacqueline M. Mason, Debra J. Morrison, M. Albert Basson, and Jonathan D. Licht. Sprouty proteins: Multifaceted negative-feedback regulators of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *Trends in Cell Biology*, 16(1):45–54, January 2006.
- [91] Ulrike Steinhusen, Jörg Weiske, Volker Badock, Rudolf Tauber, Kurt Bommert, and Otmar Huber. Cleavage and Shedding of E-cadherin after Induction of Apoptosis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(7):4972–4980, February 2001.
- [92] Xinrui Tian, Zhuola Liu, Bo Niu, Jianlin Zhang, Thian Kui Tan, So Ra Lee, Ye Zhao, David C. H. Harris, and Guoping Zheng. E-Cadherin/ β -Catenin Complex and the Epithelial Barrier. Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, 2011:1–6, 2011.
- [93] Ulrike Steinhusen, Volker Badock, Andreas Bauer, Jürgen Behrens, Brigitte Wittman-Liebold, Bernd Dörken, and Kurt Bommert. Apoptosis-induced Cleavage of β-Catenin by Caspase-3 Results in Proteolytic Fragments with Reduced Transactivation Potential. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 275(21):16345–16353, May 2000.
- [94] Benjamin Geiger, Joachim P. Spatz, and Alexander D. Bershadsky. Environmental sensing through focal adhesions. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 10(1):21–33, January 2009.
- [95] Sergey V. Plotnikov, Ana M. Pasapera, Benedikt Sabass, and Clare M. Waterman. Force Fluctuations within Focal Adhesions Mediate ECM-Rigidity Sensing to Guide Directed Cell Migration. *Cell*, 151(7):1513–1527, December 2012.
- [96] Elizabeth G Kleinschmidt and David D Schlaepfer. Focal adhesion kinase signaling in unexpected places. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 45:24–30, April 2017.
- [97] Ana M. Pasapera, Sergey V. Plotnikov, Robert S. Fischer, Lindsay B. Case, Thomas T. Egelhoff, and Clare M. Waterman. Rac1-dependent phosphorylation and focal adhesion recruitment of myosin IIA regulates migration and mechanosensing. *Current biology: CB*, 25(2):175–186, January 2015.
- [98] Inna Kozlova, Aino Ruusala, Oleksandr Voytyuk, Spyros S. Skandalis, and Paraskevi Heldin. IQGAP1 regulates hyaluronan-mediated fibroblast motility and proliferation. *Cellular Signalling*, 24(9):1856–1862, September 2012.

- [99] Takashi Kohno, Norifumi Urao, Takashi Ashino, Varadarajan Sudhahar, Hyoe Inomata, Minako Yamaoka-Tojo, Ronald D. McKinney, Tohru Fukai, and Masuko Ushio-Fukai. IQGAP1 links PDGF receptor-β signal to focal adhesions involved in vascular smooth muscle cell migration: Role in neointimal formation after vascular injury. American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology, 305(6):C591–C600, September 2013.
- [100] Sahar Foroutannejad, Nathan Rohner, Michael Reimer, Guim Kwon, and Joseph M. Schober. A novel role for IQGAP1 protein in cell motility through cell retraction. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 448(1):39–44, May 2014.
- [101] Giorgia Nardone, Jorge Oliver-De La Cruz, Jan Vrbsky, Cecilia Martini, Jan Pribyl, Petr Skládal, Martin Pešl, Guido Caluori, Stefania Pagliari, Fabiana Martino, Zuzana Maceckova, Marian Hajduch, Andres Sanz-Garcia, Nicola Maria Pugno, Gorazd Bernard Stokin, and Giancarlo Forte. YAP regulates cell mechanics by controlling focal adhesion assembly. *Nature Communications*, 8(1):15321, August 2017.
- [102] Mariaceleste Aragona, Tito Panciera, Andrea Manfrin, Stefano Giulitti, Federica Michielin, Nicola Elvassore, Sirio Dupont, and Stefano Piccolo. A mechanical checkpoint controls multicellular growth through YAP/TAZ regulation by actin-processing factors. *Cell*, 154(5):1047–1059, August 2013.
- [103] Stacey Lee and Sanjay Kumar. Actomyosin stress fiber mechanosensing in 2D and 3D. F1000Research, 5:2261, September 2016.
- [104] Ruchan Karaman and Georg Halder. Cell Junctions in Hippo Signaling. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 10(5):a028753, May 2018.
- [105] Lily Hoa, Yavuz Kulaberoglu, Ramazan Gundogdu, Dorthe Cook, Merdiye Mavis, Marta Gomez, Valenti Gomez, and Alexander Hergovich. The characterisation of LATS2 kinase regulation in Hippo-YAP signalling. *Cellular Signalling*, 28(5):488–497, May 2016.
- [106] Sirio Dupont, Leonardo Morsut, Mariaceleste Aragona, Elena Enzo, Stefano Giulitti, Michelangelo Cordenonsi, Francesca Zanconato, Jimmy Le Digabel, Mattia Forcato, Silvio Bicciato, Nicola Elvassore, and Stefano Piccolo. Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. *Nature*, 474(7350):179–183, June 2011.
- [107] JinSeok Park, Deok-Ho Kim, Sagar R. Shah, Hong-Nam Kim, null Kshitiz, Peter Kim, Alfredo Quiñones-Hinojosa, and Andre Levchenko. Switch-like enhancement of epithelial-mesenchymal transition by YAP through feedback regulation of WT1 and Rho-family GTPases. *Nature Communications*, 10(1):2797, June 2019.
- [108] Mark R. Silvis, Bridget T. Kreger, Wen-Hui Lien, Olga Klezovitch, G. Marianna Rudakova, Fernando D. Camargo, Dan M. Lantz, John T. Seykora, and Valeri Vasioukhin. α-catenin is a tumor suppressor that controls cell accumulation by regulating the localization and activity of the transcriptional coactivator Yap1. Science Signaling, 4(174):ra33, May 2011.
- [109] Karin Schlegelmilch, Morvarid Mohseni, Oktay Kirak, Jan Pruszak, J. Renato Rodriguez, Dawang Zhou, Bridget T. Kreger, Valera Vasioukhin, Joseph Avruch, Thijn R. Brummelkamp, and Fernando D. Camargo. Yap1 acts downstream of  $\alpha$ -catenin to control epidermal proliferation. *Cell*, 144(5):782–795, March 2011.
- [110] Ritu Sarpal, Victoria Yan, Lidia Kazakova, Luka Sheppard, Jessica C. Yu, Rodrigo Fernandez-Gonzalez, and Ulrich Tepass. Role of α-Catenin and its mechanosensing properties in regulating Hippo/YAPdependent tissue growth. *PLoS genetics*, 15(11):e1008454, November 2019.
- [111] Sebastian Mana-Capelli and Dannel McCollum. Angiomotins stimulate LATS kinase autophosphorylation and act as scaffolds that promote Hippo signaling. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 293(47):18230– 18241, November 2018.

- [112] Feng Yin, Jianzhong Yu, Yonggang Zheng, Qian Chen, Nailing Zhang, and Duojia Pan. Spatial Organization of Hippo Signaling at the Plasma Membrane Mediated by the Tumor Suppressor Merlin/NF2. *Cell*, 154(6):1342–1355, September 2013.
- [113] Nailing Zhang, Haibo Bai, Karen K. David, Jixin Dong, Yonggang Zheng, Jing Cai, Marco Giovannini, Pentao Liu, Robert A. Anders, and Duojia Pan. The Merlin/NF2 tumor suppressor functions through the YAP oncoprotein to regulate tissue homeostasis in mammals. *Developmental Cell*, 19(1):27–38, July 2010.
- [114] Susana Moleirinho, Sany Hoxha, Vinay Mandati, Graziella Curtale, Scott Troutman, Ursula Ehmer, and Joseph L Kissil. Regulation of localization and function of the transcriptional co-activator YAP by angiomotin. *eLife*, 6:e23966, May 2017.
- [115] Yawei Hao, Alex Chun, Kevin Cheung, Babak Rashidi, and Xiaolong Yang. Tumor suppressor LATS1 is a negative regulator of oncogene YAP. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283(9):5496–5509, February 2008.
- [116] Sagar R. Shah, Nathaniel D. Tippens, JinSeok Park, Ahmed Mohyeldin, Shuyan Wang, Guillermo Vela, Juan C. Martinez-Gutierrez, Seth S. Margolis, Susanne Schmidt, Shuli Xia, Andre Levchenko, and Alfredo Quiñones-Hinojosa. YAP controls cell migration and invasion through a Rho-GTPase switch. Preprint, Cancer Biology, April 2019.
- [117] Ishani Dasgupta and Dannel McCollum. Control of cellular responses to mechanical cues through YAP/TAZ regulation. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 294(46):17693–17706, November 2019.
- [118] Eric Guberman, Hikmet Sherief, and Erzsébet Ravasz Regan. Boolean model of anchorage dependence and contact inhibition points to coordinated inhibition but semi-independent induction of proliferation and migration. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 18:2145–2165, 2020.
- [119] L. M. McCaffrey and I. G. Macara. Signaling Pathways in Cell Polarity. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 4(6):a009654–a009654, June 2012.
- [120] Mariann Bienz. β-Catenin: A Pivot between Cell Adhesion and Wnt Signalling. Current Biology, 15(2):R64–R67, January 2005.
- [121] Eunice H Y Chan, Marjaana Nousiainen, Ravindra B Chalamalasetty, Anja Schäfer, Erich A Nigg, and Herman H W Silljé. The Ste20-like kinase Mst2 activates the human large tumor suppressor kinase Lats1. Oncogene, 24(12):2076–2086, March 2005.
- [122] Maria Praskova, Fan Xia, and Joseph Avruch. MOBKL1A/MOBKL1B Phosphorylation by MST1 and MST2 Inhibits Cell Proliferation. *Current Biology*, 18(5):311–321, March 2008.
- [123] Julian Kwan, Anna Sczaniecka, Emad Heidary Arash, Liem Nguyen, Chia-Chun Chen, Srdjana Ratkovic, Olga Klezovitch, Liliana Attisano, Helen McNeill, Andrew Emili, and Valeri Vasioukhin. DLG5 connects cell polarity and Hippo signaling protein networks by linking PAR-1 with MST1/2. *Genes & Development*, 30(24):2696–2709, December 2016.
- [124] Yoshikazu Hirate and Hiroshi Sasaki. The role of angiomotin phosphorylation in the Hippo pathway during preimplantation mouse development. *Tissue Barriers*, 2(1):e28127, January 2014.
- [125] Chunling Yi, Scott Troutman, Daniela Fera, Anat Stemmer-Rachamimov, Jacqueline L. Avila, Neepa Christian, Nathalie Luna Persson, Akihiko Shimono, David W. Speicher, Ronen Marmorstein, Lars Holmgren, and Joseph L. Kissil. A tight junction-associated Merlin-angiomotin complex mediates Merlin's regulation of mitogenic signaling and tumor suppressive functions. *Cancer Cell*, 19(4):527–540, April 2011.
- [126] Xiaoming Dai, Peilu She, Fangtao Chi, Ying Feng, Huan Liu, Daqing Jin, Yiqiang Zhao, Xiaocan Guo, Dandan Jiang, Kun-Liang Guan, Tao P. Zhong, and Bin Zhao. Phosphorylation of Angiomotin by Lats1/2 Kinases Inhibits F-actin Binding, Cell Migration, and Angiogenesis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288(47):34041–34051, November 2013.
- [127] Karen Tumaneng, Karin Schlegelmilch, Ryan C. Russell, Dean Yimlamai, Harihar Basnet, Navin Mahadevan, Julien Fitamant, Nabeel Bardeesy, Fernando D. Camargo, and Kun-Liang Guan. YAP mediates crosstalk between the Hippo and PI(3)K–TOR pathways by suppressing PTEN via miR-29. *Nature Cell Biology*, 14(12):1322–1329, December 2012.
- [128] Chien-Yu Chen, Jingyu Chen, Lina He, and Bangyan L. Stiles. PTEN: Tumor Suppressor and Metabolic Regulator. Frontiers in Endocrinology, 9:338, July 2018.
- [129] J. Y.C. Chow, K. T. Quach, B. L. Cabrera, J. A. Cabral, S. E. Beck, and J. M. Carethers. RAS/ERK modulates TGF -regulated PTEN expression in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells. *Carcinogenesis*, 28(11):2321–2327, September 2007.
- [130] Stayce E. Beck and John M. Carethers. BMP suppresses PTEN expression via RAS/ERK signaling. Cancer Biology & Therapy, 6(8):1319–1323, August 2007.
- [131] Helene Maccario, Nevin M. Perera, Lindsay Davidson, C. Peter Downes, and Nick R. Leslie. PTEN is destabilized by phosphorylation on Thr366. *Biochemical Journal*, 405(3):439–444, August 2007.
- [132] Yong Wu, Hillary Zhou, Ke Wu, Sangkyu Lee, Ruijin Li, and Xuan Liu. PTEN Phosphorylation and Nuclear Export Mediate Free Fatty Acid-Induced Oxidative Stress. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling, 20(9):1382–1395, March 2014.
- [133] Xiaoling Tang, Sung-Wuk Jang, Xuerong Wang, Zhixue Liu, Scott M. Bahr, Shi-Yong Sun, Daniel Brat, David H. Gutmann, and Keqiang Ye. Akt phosphorylation regulates the tumour-suppressor merlin through ubiquitination and degradation. *Nature Cell Biology*, 9(10):1199–1207, October 2007.
- [134] Nam-Gyun Kim, Eunjin Koh, Xiao Chen, and Barry M. Gumbiner. E-cadherin mediates contact inhibition of proliferation through Hippo signaling-pathway components. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(29):11930–11935, July 2011.
- [135] Andrew B. Gladden, Alan M. Hebert, Eveline E. Schneeberger, and Andrea I. McClatchey. The NF2 Tumor Suppressor, Merlin, Regulates Epidermal Development through the Establishment of a Junctional Polarity Complex. *Developmental Cell*, 19(5):727–739, November 2010.
- [136] Guang-Hui Xiao, Alexander Beeser, Jonathan Chernoff, and Joseph R. Testa. P21-activated kinase links Rac/Cdc42 signaling to merlin. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277(2):883–886, January 2002.
- [137] Youjun Li, Hao Zhou, Fengzhi Li, Siew Wee Chan, Zhijie Lin, Zhiyi Wei, Zhou Yang, Fusheng Guo, Chun Jye Lim, Wancai Xing, Yuequan Shen, Wanjin Hong, Jiafu Long, and Mingjie Zhang. Angiomotin binding-induced activation of Merlin/NF2 in the Hippo pathway. *Cell Research*, 25(7):801–817, July 2015.
- [138] Masaki Fukata, Masato Nakagawa, and Kozo Kaibuchi. Roles of Rho-family GTPases in cell polarisation and directional migration. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 15(5):590–597, October 2003.
- [139] Lorena B. Benseñor, Ho-Man Kan, Ningning Wang, Horst Wallrabe, Lance A. Davidson, Ying Cai, Dorothy A. Schafer, and George S. Bloom. IQGAP1 regulates cell motility by linking growth factor signaling to actin assembly. *Journal of Cell Science*, 120(4):658–669, February 2007.
- [140] Blagoy Blagoev, Irina Kratchmarova, Shao-En Ong, Mogens Nielsen, Leonard J. Foster, and Matthias Mann. A proteomics strategy to elucidate functional protein-protein interactions applied to EGF signaling. *Nature Biotechnology*, 21(3):315–318, March 2003.
- [141] Takashi Watanabe, Shujie Wang, Jun Noritake, Kazumasa Sato, Masaki Fukata, Mikito Takefuji, Masato Nakagawa, Nanae Izumi, Tetsu Akiyama, and Kozo Kaibuchi. Interaction with IQGAP1 Links APC to Rac1, Cdc42, and Actin Filaments during Cell Polarization and Migration. *Developmental Cell*, 7(6):871–883, December 2004.

- [142] Takashi Watanabe, Jun Noritake, and Kozo Kaibuchi. Roles of IQGAP1 in cell polarization and migration. Novartis Foundation Symposium, 269:92–101; discussion 101–105, 223–230, 2005.
- [143] Davide Franco, Mirko Klingauf, Martin Bednarzik, Marco Cecchini, Vartan Kurtcuoglu, Jens Gobrecht, Dimos Poulikakos, and Aldo Ferrari. Control of initial endothelial spreading by topographic activation of focal adhesion kinase. *Soft Matter*, 7(16):7313, 2011.
- [144] Huiyi Tang, Xueer Wang, Min Zhang, Yuan Yan, Simin Huang, Jiahao Ji, Jinfu Xu, Yijia Zhang, Yongjie Cai, Bobo Yang, Wenqi Lan, Mianbo Huang, and Lin Zhang. MicroRNA-200b/c-3p regulate epithelial plasticity and inhibit cutaneous wound healing by modulating TGF-β-mediated RAC1 signaling. Cell Death & Disease, 11(10):931, October 2020.
- [145] Young-Ho Ahn, Don L. Gibbons, Deepavali Chakravarti, Chad J. Creighton, Zain H. Rizvi, Henry P. Adams, Alexander Pertsemlidis, Philip A. Gregory, Josephine A. Wright, Gregory J. Goodall, Elsa R. Flores, and Jonathan M. Kurie. ZEB1 drives prometastatic actin cytoskeletal remodeling by down-regulating miR-34a expression. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 122(9):3170–3183, September 2012.
- [146] Khameeka N. Kitt and W. James Nelson. Rapid suppression of activated Rac1 by cadherins and nectins during de novo cell-cell adhesion. *PloS One*, 6(3):e17841, March 2011.
- [147] Yong Ho Bae, Keeley L. Mui, Bernadette Y. Hsu, Shu-Lin Liu, Alexandra Cretu, Ziba Razinia, Tina Xu, Ellen Puré, and Richard K. Assoian. A FAK-Cas-Rac-Lamellipodin Signaling Module Transduces Extracellular Matrix Stiffness into Mechanosensitive Cell Cycling. *Science Signaling*, 7(330), June 2014.
- [148] Wataru Ikeda, Shigeki Kakunaga, Kyoji Takekuni, Tatsushi Shingai, Keiko Satoh, Koji Morimoto, Masakazu Takeuchi, Toshio Imai, and Yoshimi Takai. Nectin-like Molecule-5/Tage4 Enhances Cell Migration in an Integrin-dependent, Nectin-3-independent Manner. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279(17):18015–18025, April 2004.
- [149] C. M. Waterman-Storer, R. A. Worthylake, B. P. Liu, K. Burridge, and E. D. Salmon. Microtubule growth activates Rac1 to promote lamellipodial protrusion in fibroblasts. *Nature Cell Biology*, 1(1):45–50, May 1999.
- [150] Aude Cannet, Susanne Schmidt, Bénédicte Delaval, and Anne Debant. Identification of a mitotic Rac-GEF, Trio, that counteracts MgcRacGAP function during cytokinesis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 25(25):4063–4071, December 2014.
- [151] Meiwan Cao, Yayoi Shikama, Michiko Anzai, and Junko Kimura. Impaired Neutrophil Migration Resulting from Mir-34a and Mir-155 Overexpressed in Neutrophils from Myelodysplastic Syndrome Patients. *Blood*, 126(23):999–999, December 2015.
- [152] Baolin Zhang, Yaqin Zhang, and Emily Shacter. Caspase 3-Mediated Inactivation of Rac GTPases Promotes Drug-Induced Apoptosis in Human Lymphoma Cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 23(16):5716–5725, August 2003.
- [153] Dahong Yao, Chenyang Li, Muhammad Shahid Riaz Rajoka, Zhendan He, Jian Huang, Jinhui Wang, and Jin Zhang. P21-Activated Kinase 1: Emerging biological functions and potential therapeutic targets in Cancer. *Theranostics*, 10(21):9741–9766, 2020.
- [154] Ulla G. Knaus, Yan Wang, Abina M. Reilly, Dawn Warnock, and Janis H. Jackson. Structural Requirements for PAK Activation by Rac GTPases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 273(34):21512– 21518, August 1998.
- [155] Chiara De Pascalis and Sandrine Etienne-Manneville. Single and collective cell migration: The mechanics of adhesions. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 28(14):1833–1846, July 2017.
- [156] Gary M. Bokoch. Biology of the p21-Activated Kinases. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 72(1):743–781, June 2003.

- [157] Wen-Sheng Wu, Ren-In You, Chuan-Chu Cheng, Ming-Che Lee, Teng-Yi Lin, and Chi-Tan Hu. Snail collaborates with EGR-1 and SP-1 to directly activate transcription of MMP 9 and ZEB1. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1):17753, December 2017.
- [158] Nam Hee Kim, Sang Hyun Song, Yun Hee Choi, Kyu Ho Hwang, Jun Seop Yun, Hyeeun Song, So Young Cha, Sue Bean Cho, Inhan Lee, Hyun Sil Kim, and Jong In Yook. Competing Endogenous RNA of Snail and Zeb1 UTR in Therapeutic Resistance of Colorectal Cancer. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(17):9589, September 2021.
- [159] Helge Siemens, Rene Jackstadt, Sabine Hünten, Antje Menssen, Ursula Götz, and Heiko Hermeking. miR-34 and SNAIL form a double-negative feedback loop to regulate epithelial-mesenchymal transitions. *Cell Cycle*, 10(24):4256–4271, December 2011.
- [160] Haoxuan Zheng, Wenjing Li, Yadong Wang, Zhizhong Liu, Yidong Cai, Tingting Xie, Meng Shi, Zhiqing Wang, and Bo Jiang. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta regulates Snail and β-catenin expression during Fas-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in gastrointestinal cancer. European Journal of Cancer (Oxford, England: 1990), 49(12):2734–2746, August 2013.
- [161] Chengyin Min, Sean F. Eddy, David H. Sherr, and Gail E. Sonenshein. NF-κB and epithelial to mesenchymal transition of cancer. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 104(3):733–744, 2008.
- [162] Zhibo Yang, Suresh Rayala, Diep Nguyen, Ratna K. Vadlamudi, Shiuan Chen, and Rakesh Kumar. Pak1 phosphorylation of snail, a master regulator of epithelial-to-mesenchyme transition, modulates snail's subcellular localization and functions. *Cancer Research*, 65(8):3179–3184, April 2005.
- [163] Larion Santiago, Garrett Daniels, Dongwen Wang, Fang-Ming Deng, and Peng Lee. Wnt signaling pathway protein LEF1 in cancer, as a biomarker for prognosis and a target for treatment. American Journal of Cancer Research, 7(6):1389–1406, 2017.
- [164] Kangsun Yun, Yoo Duk Choi, Jong Hee Nam, Zeeyoung Park, and Sin-Hyeog Im. NF-κB regulates Lef1 gene expression in chondrocytes. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 357(3):589– 595, June 2007.
- [165] Pedro Rosmaninho, Susanne Mükusch, Valerio Piscopo, Vera Teixeira, Alexandre ASF Raposo, Rolf Warta, Romina Bennewitz, Yeman Tang, Christel Herold-Mende, Stefano Stifani, Stefan Momma, and Diogo S Castro. Zeb1 potentiates genome-wide gene transcription with Lef1 to promote glioblastoma cell invasion. *The EMBO Journal*, 37(15), August 2018.
- [166] Thad Sharp, Jianbo Wang, Xiao Li, Huojun Cao, Shan Gao, Myriam Moreno, and Brad A. Amendt. A pituitary homeobox 2 (Pitx2):microRNA-200a-3p:β-catenin pathway converts mesenchymal cells to amelogenin-expressing dental epithelial cells. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 289(39):27327–27341, September 2014.
- [167] Can G. Pham, Concetta Bubici, Francesca Zazzeroni, James R. Knabb, Salvatore Papa, Christian Kuntzen, and Guido Franzoso. Upregulation of Twist-1 by NF-κB Blocks Cytotoxicity Induced by Chemotherapeutic Drugs. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 27(11):3920–3935, June 2007.
- [168] Natàlia Dave, Sandra Guaita-Esteruelas, Susana Gutarra, Àlex Frias, Manuel Beltran, Sandra Peiró, and Antonio García de Herreros. Functional cooperation between Snail1 and twist in the regulation of ZEB1 expression during epithelial to mesenchymal transition. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 286(14):12024–12032, April 2011.
- [169] Martina Rembold, Lucia Ciglar, J. Omar Yáñez-Cuna, Robert P. Zinzen, Charles Girardot, Ankit Jain, Michael A. Welte, Alexander Stark, Maria Leptin, and Eileen E. M. Furlong. A conserved role for Snail as a potentiator of active transcription. *Genes & Development*, 28(2):167–181, January 2014.
- [170] S. Demontis, C. Rigo, S. Piccinin, M. Mizzau, M. Sonego, M. Fabris, C. Brancolini, and R. Maestro. Twist is substrate for caspase cleavage and proteasome-mediated degradation. *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 13(2):335–345, February 2006.

- [171] Wenhui Zhou, Kayla M. Gross, and Charlotte Kuperwasser. Molecular regulation of Snai2 in development and disease. *Journal of Cell Science*, 132(23):jcs235127, December 2019.
- [172] Esmeralda Casas, Jihoon Kim, Andrés Bendesky, Lucila Ohno-Machado, Cecily J. Wolfe, and Jing Yang. Snail2 is an Essential Mediator of Twist1-Induced Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition and Metastasis. *Cancer Research*, 71(1):245–254, January 2011.
- [173] Makoto Saegusa, Miki Hashimura, Takeshi Kuwata, and Isao Okayasu. Requirement of the Akt/betacatenin pathway for uterine carcinosarcoma genesis, modulating E-cadherin expression through the transactivation of slug. *The American Journal of Pathology*, 174(6):2107–2115, June 2009.
- [174] Elisabetta Lambertini, Tiziana Franceschetti, Elena Torreggiani, Letizia Penolazzi, Antonio Pastore, Stefano Pelucchi, Roberto Gambari, and Roberta Piva. SLUG: A new target of lymphoid enhancer factor-1 in human osteoblasts. *BMC Molecular Biology*, 11(1):13, December 2010.
- [175] Brijesh Kumar, Mallikarjunachari V. N. Uppuladinne, Vinod Jani, Uddhavesh Sonavane, Rajendra R. Joshi, and Sharmila A. Bapat. Auto-regulation of Slug mediates its activity during epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*, 1849(9):1209–1218, September 2015.
- [176] Stanislav Drápela, Jan Bouchal, Mohit Kumar Jolly, Zoran Culig, and Karel Souček. ZEB1: A Critical Regulator of Cell Plasticity, DNA Damage Response, and Therapy Resistance. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences, 7:36, March 2020.
- [177] Christian Wels, Shripad Joshi, Petra Koefinger, Helmut Bergler, and Helmut Schaider. Transcriptional Activation of ZEB1 by Slug Leads to Cooperative Regulation of the EMT like Phenotype in Melanoma. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*, 131(9):1877–1885, September 2011.
- [178] Ester Sánchez-Tilló, Oriol de Barrios, Laura Siles, Miriam Cuatrecasas, Antoni Castells, and Antonio Postigo. β-catenin/TCF4 complex induces the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-activator ZEB1 to regulate tumor invasiveness. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108(48):19204–19209, November 2011.
- [179] X. Li, S. Roslan, C. N. Johnstone, J. A. Wright, C. P. Bracken, M. Anderson, A. G. Bert, L. A. Selth, R. L. Anderson, G. J. Goodall, P. A. Gregory, and Y. Khew-Goodall. MiR-200 can repress breast cancer metastasis through ZEB1-independent but moesin-dependent pathways. *Oncogene*, 33(31):4077–4088, July 2014.
- [180] Alexandra C. Title, Sue-Jean Hong, Nuno D. Pires, Lynn Hasenöhrl, Svenja Godbersen, Nadine Stokar-Regenscheit, David P. Bartel, and Markus Stoffel. Genetic dissection of the miR-200-Zeb1 axis reveals its importance in tumor differentiation and invasion. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):4671, November 2018.
- [181] Mohit Kumar Jolly, Marcelo Boareto, Bin Huang, Dongya Jia, Mingyang Lu, Eshel Ben-Jacob, José N. Onuchic, and Herbert Levine. Implications of the Hybrid Epithelial/Mesenchymal Phenotype in Metastasis. Frontiers in Oncology, 5:155, 2015.
- [182] Mingyang Lu, Mohit Kumar Jolly, Herbert Levine, José N. Onuchic, and Eshel Ben-Jacob. MicroRNAbased regulation of epithelial-hybrid-mesenchymal fate determination. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(45):18144–18149, November 2013.
- [183] Jingyu Zhang, Xiao-Jun Tian, Hang Zhang, Yue Teng, Ruoyan Li, Fan Bai, Subbiah Elankumaran, and Jianhua Xing. TGF-β-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition proceeds through stepwise activation of multiple feedback loops. *Science Signaling*, 7(345):ra91, September 2014.
- [184] Sun-Mi Park, Arti B. Gaur, Ernst Lengyel, and Marcus E. Peter. The miR-200 family determines the epithelial phenotype of cancer cells by targeting the E-cadherin repressors ZEB1 and ZEB2. Genes & Development, 22(7):894–907, April 2008.

- [185] Nam Hee Kim, Hyun Sil Kim, Nam-Gyun Kim, Inhan Lee, Hyung-Seok Choi, Xiao-Yan Li, Shi Eun Kang, So Young Cha, Joo Kyung Ryu, Jung Min Na, Changbum Park, Kunhong Kim, Sanghyuk Lee, Barry M. Gumbiner, Jong In Yook, and Stephen J. Weiss. P53 and microRNA-34 are suppressors of canonical Wnt signaling. *Science Signaling*, 4(197):ra71, November 2011.
- [186] Chunsheng Kang. MicroRNA-200a suppresses the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway by interacting with β-catenin. International Journal of Oncology, December 2011.
- [187] Chun Shik Park, Sung Il Kim, Mi Su Lee, Cho-Ya Youn, Dae Joong Kim, Eek-Hoon Jho, and Woo Keun Song. Modulation of beta-catenin phosphorylation/degradation by cyclin-dependent kinase 2. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279(19):19592–19599, May 2004.
- [188] Wakako Kobayashi and Masayuki Ozawa. The transcription factor LEF-1 induces an epithelialmesenchymal transition in MDCK cells independent of β-catenin. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 442(1-2):133–138, December 2013.
- [189] Damian Medici, Elizabeth D. Hay, and Bjorn R. Olsen. Snail and Slug promote epithelial-mesenchymal transition through beta-catenin-T-cell factor-4-dependent expression of transforming growth factor-beta3. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 19(11):4875–4887, November 2008.
- [190] Lu Zhang, Yi Liao, and Liling Tang. MicroRNA-34 family: A potential tumor suppressor and therapeutic candidate in cancer. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research, 38(1):53, December 2019.
- [191] Dongsong Nie, Jiewen Fu, Hanchun Chen, Jingliang Cheng, and Junjiang Fu. Roles of MicroRNA-34a in Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition, Competing Endogenous RNA Sponging and Its Therapeutic Potential. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(4):861, February 2019.
- [192] Perry S. Mongroo and Anil K. Rustgi. The role of the miR-200 family in epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cancer Biology & Therapy*, 10(3):219–222, August 2010.
- [193] Xiao Ling Li, Toshifumi Hara, Youngeun Choi, Murugan Subramanian, Princy Francis, Sven Bilke, Robert L. Walker, Marbin Pineda, Yuelin Zhu, Yuan Yang, Ji Luo, Lalage M. Wakefield, Thomas Brabletz, Ben Ho Park, Sudha Sharma, Dipanjan Chowdhury, Paul S. Meltzer, and Ashish Lal. A p21-ZEB1 complex inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition through the microRNA 183-96-182 cluster. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 34(3):533-550, February 2014.
- [194] Ulrike Burk, Jörg Schubert, Ulrich Wellner, Otto Schmalhofer, Elizabeth Vincan, Simone Spaderna, and Thomas Brabletz. A reciprocal repression between ZEB1 and members of the miR-200 family promotes EMT and invasion in cancer cells. *EMBO reports*, 9(6):582–589, June 2008.
- [195] Takeshi Haraguchi, Masayuki Kondo, Ryo Uchikawa, Kazuyoshi Kobayashi, Hiroaki Hiramatsu, Kyousuke Kobayashi, Ung Weng Chit, Takanobu Shimizu, and Hideo Iba. Dynamics and plasticity of the epithelial to mesenchymal transition induced by miR-200 family inhibition. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):21117, February 2016.
- [196] Jennifer G Gill, Ellen M Langer, R Coleman Lindsley, Mi Cai, Theresa L Murphy, Michael Kyba, and Kenneth M Murphy. Snail and the microRNA-200 Family Act in Opposition to Regulate Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition and Germ Layer Fate Restriction in Differentiating ESCs. Stem Cells (Dayton, Ohio), 29(5):764–776, May 2011.
- [197] Michèle Moes, Antony Le Béchec, Isaac Crespo, Christina Laurini, Aliaksandr Halavatyi, Guillaume Vetter, Antonio Del Sol, and Evelyne Friederich. A novel network integrating a miRNA-203/SNAI1 feedback loop which regulates epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *PloS One*, 7(4):e35440, 2012.
- [198] Natàlia Dave, Sandra Guaita-Esteruelas, Susana Gutarra, Àlex Frias, Manuel Beltran, Sandra Peiró, and Antonio García de Herreros. Functional cooperation between Snail1 and twist in the regulation of ZEB1 expression during epithelial to mesenchymal transition. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 286(14):12024–12032, April 2011.

- [199] Rui Neves, Christina Scheel, Sandra Weinhold, Ellen Honisch, Katharina M. Iwaniuk, Hans-Ingo Trompeter, Dieter Niederacher, Peter Wernet, Simeon Santourlidis, and Markus Uhrberg. Role of DNA methylation in miR-200c/141 cluster silencing in invasive breast cancer cells. BMC Research Notes, 3(1):219, August 2010.
- [200] Takuya Shirakihara, Masao Saitoh, and Kohei Miyazono. Differential regulation of epithelial and mesenchymal markers by deltaEF1 proteins in epithelial mesenchymal transition induced by TGF-beta. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 18(9):3533–3544, September 2007.
- [201] Victoria Bolós, Hector Peinado, Mirna A. Pérez-Moreno, Mario F. Fraga, Manel Esteller, and Amparo Cano. The transcription factor Slug represses E-cadherin expression and induces epithelial to mesenchymal transitions: A comparison with Snail and E47 repressors. *Journal of Cell Science*, 116(Pt 3):499–511, February 2003.
- [202] Hector Peinado, Francisco Portillo, and Amparo Cano. Transcriptional regulation of cadherins during development and carcinogenesis. The International Journal of Developmental Biology, 48(5-6):365–375, 2004.
- [203] Farhad Vesuna, Paul van Diest, Ji Hshiung Chen, and Venu Raman. Twist is a transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin gene expression in breast cancer. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 367(2):235–241, March 2008.
- [204] M. L. Grooteclaes and S. M. Frisch. Evidence for a function of CtBP in epithelial gene regulation and anoikis. Oncogene, 19(33):3823–3828, August 2000.
- [205] E. Sánchez-Tilló, A. Lázaro, R. Torrent, M. Cuatrecasas, E. C. Vaquero, A. Castells, P. Engel, and A. Postigo. ZEB1 represses E-cadherin and induces an EMT by recruiting the SWI/SNF chromatinremodeling protein BRG1. Oncogene, 29(24):3490–3500, June 2010.
- [206] K. Wesley Overton, Sabrina L. Spencer, William L. Noderer, Tobias Meyer, and Clifford L. Wang. Basal p21 controls population heterogeneity in cycling and quiescent cell cycle states. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(41):E4386–4393, October 2014.
- [207] Zhimin Lu and Tony Hunter. Ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of the p21(Cip1), p27(Kip1) and p57(Kip2) CDK inhibitors. Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex.), 9(12):2342–2352, June 2010.
- [208] J. L. Gervais, P. Seth, and H. Zhang. Cleavage of CDK inhibitor p21(Cip1/Waf1) by caspases is an early event during DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 273(30):19207–19212, July 1998.
- [209] Olivier Coqueret. New roles for p21 and p27 cell-cycle inhibitors: A function for each cell compartment? Trends in cell biology, 13(2):65–70, 2003.
- [210] J William Harbour, Robin X Luo, Angeline Dei Santi, Antonio A Postigo, and Douglas C Dean. Cdk phosphorylation triggers sequential intramolecular interactions that progressively block Rb functions as cells move through G1. *Cell*, 98(6):859–869, 1999.
- [211] Bela Novak and John J Tyson. A model for restriction point control of the mammalian cell cycle. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 230(4):563–579, October 2004.
- [212] Yoichi Taya. RB kinases and RB-binding proteins: New points of view. Trends in biochemical sciences, 22(1):14–17, 1997.
- [213] JY Kato, Hitoshi Matsushime, Scott W Hiebert, Mark E Ewen, and Charles J Sherr. Direct binding of cyclin D to the retinoblastoma gene product (pRb) and pRb phosphorylation by the cyclin D-dependent kinase CDK4. Genes and Development, 7:331–331, 1993.
- [214] Mark E Ewen, Hayla K Sluss, Charles J Sherr, Hitoshi Matsushime, Jun-ya Kato, and David M Livingston. Functional interactions of the retinoblastoma protein with mammalian D-type cyclins. Cell, 73(3):487–497, 1993.

- [215] Philip W Hinds, Sibylle Mittnacht, Vjekoslav Dulic, Andrew Arnold, Steven I Reed, and Robert A Weinberg. Regulation of retinoblastoma protein functions by ectopic expression of human cyclins. *Cell*, 70(6):993–1006, 1992.
- [216] C. L. Fattman, S. M. Delach, Q. P. Dou, and D. E. Johnson. Sequential two-step cleavage of the retinoblastoma protein by caspase-3/-7 during etoposide-induced apoptosis. *Oncogene*, 20(23):2918–2926, May 2001.
- [217] Noriko Ishida, Taichi Hara, Takumi Kamura, Minoru Yoshida, Keiko Nakayama, and Keiichi I. Nakayama. Phosphorylation of p27Kip1 on serine 10 is required for its binding to CRM1 and nuclear export. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277(17):14355–14358, April 2002.
- [218] A. Faure, A. Naldi, C. Chaouiya, and D. Thieffry. Dynamical analysis of a generic Boolean model for the control of the mammalian cell cycle. *Bioinformatics*, 22(14):e124–e131, July 2006.
- [219] R. H. Medema, G. J. Kops, J. L. Bos, and B. M. Burgering. AFX-like Forkhead transcription factors mediate cell-cycle regulation by Ras and PKB through p27kip1. *Nature*, 404(6779):782–787, April 2000.
- [220] Shigeki Kakunaga, Wataru Ikeda, Tatsushi Shingai, Tsutomu Fujito, Akio Yamada, Yukiko Minami, Toshio Imai, and Yoshimi Takai. Enhancement of Serum- and Platelet-derived Growth Factor-induced Cell Proliferation by Necl-5/Tage4/Poliovirus Receptor/CD155 through the Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK Signaling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279(35):36419–36425, August 2004.
- [221] D Müller, C Bouchard, B Rudolph, P Steiner, I Stuckmann, R Saffrich, W Ansorge, W Huttner, and M Eilers. Cdk2-dependent phosphorylation of p27 facilitates its Myc-induced release from cyclin E/cdk2 complexes. Oncogene, 15(21):2561–2576, November 1997.
- [222] Robert J Sheaff, Mark Groudine, Matthew Gordon, James M Roberts, and Bruce E Clurman. Cyclin E-CDK2 is a regulator of p27Kip1. Genes & development, 11(11):1464–1478, 1997.
- [223] Alessia Montagnoli, Francesca Fiore, Esther Eytan, Andrea C Carrano, Giulio F Draetta, Avram Hershko, and Michele Pagano. Ubiquitination of p27 is regulated by Cdk-dependent phosphorylation and trimeric complex formation. *Genes & development*, 13(9):1181–1189, 1999.
- [224] B. Eymin, O. Sordet, N. Droin, B. Munsch, M. Haugg, M. Van de Craen, P. Vandenabeele, and E. Solary. Caspase-induced proteolysis of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27Kip1 mediates its anti-apoptotic activity. *Oncogene*, 18(34):4839–4847, August 1999.
- [225] B. Levkau, H. Koyama, E. W. Raines, B. E. Clurman, B. Herren, K. Orth, J. M. Roberts, and R. Ross. Cleavage of p21Cip1/Waf1 and p27Kip1 mediates apoptosis in endothelial cells through activation of Cdk2: Role of a caspase cascade. *Molecular Cell*, 1(4):553–563, March 1998.
- [226] Xiyan Chen, Weiting Gu, Qi Wang, Xucheng Fu, Ying Wang, Xin Xu, and Yong Wen. C-MYC and BCL-2 mediate YAP-regulated tumorigenesis in OSCC. Oncotarget, 9(1):668–679, January 2018.
- [227] Hui Li, Zhenglan Huang, Miao Gao, Ningshu Huang, Zhenhong Luo, Huawei Shen, Xin Wang, Teng Wang, Jing Hu, and Wenli Feng. Inhibition of YAP suppresses CML cell proliferation and enhances efficacy of imatinib in vitro and in vivo. Journal of experimental & clinical cancer research: CR, 35(1):134, September 2016.
- [228] R. Sears, F. Nuckolls, E. Haura, Y. Taya, K. Tamai, and J. R. Nevins. Multiple Ras-dependent phosphorylation pathways regulate Myc protein stability. *Genes & Development*, 14(19):2501–2514, October 2000.
- [229] MF Roussel, JN Davis, JL Cleveland, J Ghysdael, and SW Hiebert. Dual control of myc expression through a single DNA binding site targeted by ets family proteins and E2F-1. Oncogene, 9(2):405–415, 1994.

- [230] B. Lutterbach and S. R. Hann. Hierarchical phosphorylation at N-terminal transformation-sensitive sites in c-Myc protein is regulated by mitogens and in mitosis. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 14(8):5510–5522, August 1994.
- [231] Chen-Ju Lin, Abba Malina, and Jerry Pelletier. C-Myc and eIF4F constitute a feedforward loop that regulates cell growth: Implications for anticancer therapy. *Cancer Research*, 69(19):7491–7494, October 2009.
- [232] Markus Welcker, Amir Orian, Jianping Jin, Jonathan E. Grim, Jonathan A. Grim, J. Wade Harper, Robert N. Eisenman, and Bruce E. Clurman. The Fbw7 tumor suppressor regulates glycogen synthase kinase 3 phosphorylation-dependent c-Myc protein degradation. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(24):9085–9090, June 2004.
- [233] E. Batsché, M. Lipp, and C. Cremisi. Transcriptional repression and activation in the same cell type of the human c-MYC promoter by the retinoblastoma gene protein: Antagonisation of both effects by SV40 T antigen. Oncogene, 9(8):2235–2243, August 1994.
- [234] F Oswald, H Lovec, T Möröy, and M Lipp. E2F-dependent regulation of human MYC: Trans-activation by cyclins D1 and A overrides tumour suppressor protein functions. *Oncogene*, 9(7):2029–2036, July 1994.
- [235] K Thalmeier, H Synovzik, R Mertz, EL Winnacker, and M Lipp. Nuclear factor E2F mediates basic transcription and trans-activation by E1a of the human MYC promoter. *Genes & development*, 3(4):527–536, 1989.
- [236] JY Leung, GL Ehmann, PH Giangrande, and JR Nevins. A role for Myc in facilitating transcription activation by E2F1. Oncogene, 27(30):4172–4179, 2008.
- [237] Dennis W Stacey. Three Observations That Have Changed Our Understanding of Cyclin D1 and p27 in Cell Cycle Control. Genes & cancer, 1(12):1189–1199, December 2010.
- [238] J A Diehl, F Zindy, and C J Sherr. Inhibition of cyclin D1 phosphorylation on threenine-286 prevents its rapid degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Genes & Development*, 11(8):957–972, April 1997.
- [239] J Wade Harper, Stephen J Elledge, Khandan Keyomarsi, Brian Dynlacht, Li-Huei Tsai, Pumin Zhang, Steven Dobrowolski, Connell Bai, Lisa Connell-Crowley, and Eric Swindell. Inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinases by p21. *Molecular biology of the cell*, 6(4):387–400, 1995.
- [240] Yue Xiong, Gregory J Hannon, Hui Zhang, David Casso, Ryuji Kobayashi, and David Beach. P21 is a universal inhibitor of cyclin kinases. *nature*, 366(6456):701–704, 1993.
- [241] J. A. Diehl, M. Cheng, M. F. Roussel, and C. J. Sherr. Glycogen synthase kinase-3beta regulates cyclin D1 proteolysis and subcellular localization. Genes & Development, 12(22):3499–3511, November 1998.
- [242] T. Mizuno, H. Murakami, M. Fujii, F. Ishiguro, I. Tanaka, Y. Kondo, S. Akatsuka, S. Toyokuni, K. Yokoi, H. Osada, and Y. Sekido. YAP induces malignant mesothelioma cell proliferation by upregulating transcription of cell cycle-promoting genes. *Oncogene*, 31(49):5117–5122, December 2012.
- [243] Masahiro Hitomi and Dennis W Stacey. Cyclin D1 production in cycling cells depends on ras in a cell-cycle-specific manner. *Current biology*, 9(19):1075–S2, 1999.
- [244] Huseyin Aktas, Hong Cai, and Geoffrey M Cooper. Ras links growth factor signaling to the cell cycle machinery via regulation of cyclin D1 and the Cdk inhibitor p27KIP1. Molecular and cellular biology, 17(7):3850–3857, 1997.
- [245] Jasmine I Daksis, Richard Y Lu, Linda M Facchini, Wilson W Marhin, and LJ Penn. Myc induces cyclin D1 expression in the absence of de novo protein synthesis and links mitogen-stimulated signal transduction to the cell cycle. Oncogene, 9(12):3635–3645, 1994.

- [246] MK Mateyak, AJ Obaya, and JM Sedivy. C-Myc regulates cyclin D-Cdk4 and-Cdk6 activity but affects cell cycle progression at multiple independent points. *Molecular and cellular biology*, 19(7):4672–4683, 1999.
- [247] Itaru Matsumura, Hirokazu Tanaka, and Yuzuru Kanakura. E2F1 and c-Myc in cell growth and death. Cell Cycle, 2(4):332–335, 2003.
- [248] Zhi-yi Guo, Xiao-hui Hao, Fei-Fei Tan, Xin Pei, Li-Mei Shang, Xue-lian Jiang, and Fang Yang. The elements of human cyclin D1 promoter and regulation involved. *Clinical epigenetics*, 2(2):63–76, 2011.
- [249] J Fan and J R Bertino. Functional roles of E2F in cell cycle regulation. Oncogene, 14(10):1191–1200, March 1997.
- [250] Srikumar P Chellappan, Scott Hiebert, Maria Mudryj, Jonathan M Horowitz, and Joseph R Nevins. The E2F transcription factor is a cellular target for the RB protein. *Cell*, 65(6):1053–1061, 1991.
- [251] Wantae Kim, Yong Suk Cho, Xiaohui Wang, Ogyi Park, Xueyan Ma, Hanjun Kim, Wenjian Gan, Eek-Hoon Jho, Boksik Cha, Yun-Ji Jeung, Lei Zhang, Bin Gao, Wenyi Wei, Jin Jiang, Kyung-Sook Chung, and Yingzi Yang. Hippo signaling is intrinsically regulated during cell cycle progression by APC/CCdh1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(19):9423–9432, May 2019.
- [252] S J Weintraub, C A Prater, and D C Dean. Retinoblastoma protein switches the E2F site from positive to negative element. *Nature*, 358(6383):259–261, July 1992.
- [253] Gustavo Leone, James DeGregori, Rosalie Sears, Laszlo Jakoi, and Joseph R Nevins. Myc and Ras collaborate in inducing accumulation of active cyclin E/Cdk2 and E2F. *Nature*, 387(6631):422–426, 1997.
- [254] Hirokazu Tanaka, Itaru Matsumura, Sachiko Ezoe, Yusuke Satoh, Toshiyuki Sakamaki, Chris Albanese, Takashi Machii, Richard G. Pestell, and Yuzuru Kanakura. E2F1 and c-Myc potentiate apoptosis through inhibition of NF-kappaB activity that facilitates MnSOD-mediated ROS elimination. *Molecular Cell*, 9(5):1017–1029, May 2002.
- [255] Peng Dong, Manoj V Maddali, Jaydeep K Srimani, François Thélot, Joseph R Nevins, Bernard Mathey-Prevot, and Lingchong You. Division of labour between Myc and G1 cyclins in cell cycle commitment and pace control. *Nature Communications*, 5:4750, 2014.
- [256] David G Johnson, Kiyoshi Ohtani, and Joseph R Nevins. Autoregulatory control of E2F1 expression in response to positive and negative regulators of cell cycle progression. Genes & Development, 8(13):1514–1525, 1994.
- [257] W Krek, M E Ewen, S Shirodkar, Z Arany, W G Kaelin, and D M Livingston. Negative regulation of the growth-promoting transcription factor E2F-1 by a stably bound cyclin A-dependent protein kinase. *Cell*, 78(1):161–172, July 1994.
- [258] M Xu, K A Sheppard, C Y Peng, A S Yee, and H Piwnica-Worms. Cyclin A/CDK2 binds directly to E2F-1 and inhibits the DNA-binding activity of E2F-1/DP-1 by phosphorylation. *Molecular and cellular biology*, 14(12):8420–8431, December 1994.
- [259] Kristian Helin. Regulation of cell proliferation by the E2F transcription factors. Current opinion in genetics & development, 8(1):28–35, 1998.
- [260] Kiyoshi Ohtani, James Degregori, and JOSEPH R Nevins. Regulation of the cyclin E gene by transcription factor E2F1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 92(26):12146–12150, 1995.
- [261] Cara L Lunn, John C Chrivia, and Joseph J Baldassare. Activation of Cdk2/Cyclin E complexes is dependent on the origin of replication licensing factor Cdc6 in mammalian cells. *Cell Cycle*, 9(22):4533– 4541, November 2010.

- [262] Yan Geng, Young-Mi Lee, Markus Welcker, Jherek Swanger, Agnieszka Zagozdzon, Joel D. Winer, James M. Roberts, Philipp Kaldis, Bruce E. Clurman, and Piotr Sicinski. Kinase-independent function of cyclin E. *Molecular Cell*, 25(1):127–139, January 2007.
- [263] Claus Storgaard Sørensen, Randi G Syljuåsen, Jacob Falck, Tine Schroeder, Lars Rönnstrand, Kum Kum Khanna, Bin-Bing Zhou, Jiri Bartek, and Jiri Lukas. Chk1 regulates the S phase checkpoint by coupling the physiological turnover and ionizing radiation-induced accelerated proteolysis of Cdc25A. Cancer cell, 3(3):247–258, March 2003.
- [264] Suparna Mazumder, Bendi Gong, Quan Chen, Judith A. Drazba, Jeffrey C. Buchsbaum, and Alexandru Almasan. Proteolytic cleavage of cyclin E leads to inactivation of associated kinase activity and amplification of apoptosis in hematopoietic cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 22(7):2398–2409, April 2002.
- [265] K. Ohtani, J. DeGregori, G. Leone, D. R. Herendeen, T. J. Kelly, and J. R. Nevins. Expression of the HsOrc1 gene, a human ORC1 homolog, is regulated by cell proliferation via the E2F transcription factor. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 16(12):6977–6984, December 1996.
- [266] Melvin L. DePamphilis, J. Julian Blow, Soma Ghosh, Tapas Saha, Kohji Noguchi, and Alex Vassilev. Regulating the licensing of DNA replication origins in metazoa. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 18(3):231–239, June 2006.
- [267] Z. Yan, J. DeGregori, R. Shohet, G. Leone, B. Stillman, J. R. Nevins, and R. S. Williams. Cdc6 is regulated by E2F and is essential for DNA replication in mammalian cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95(7):3603–3608, March 1998.
- [268] Hyungshin Yim and Raymond L. Erikson. Cell division cycle 6, a mitotic substrate of polo-like kinase 1, regulates chromosomal segregation mediated by cyclin-dependent kinase 1 and separase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(46):19742–19747, November 2010.
- [269] B. O. Petersen, J. Lukas, C. S. Sørensen, J. Bartek, and K. Helin. Phosphorylation of mammalian CDC6 by cyclin A/CDK2 regulates its subcellular localization. *The EMBO journal*, 18(2):396–410, January 1999.
- [270] Cristina Pelizon, Fabrizio d'Adda di Fagagna, Lorena Farrace, and Ronald A. Laskey. Human replication protein Cdc6 is selectively cleaved by caspase 3 during apoptosis. *EMBO reports*, 3(8):780–784, August 2002.
- [271] Kenichi Yoshida and Ituro Inoue. Regulation of Geminin and Cdt1 expression by E2F transcription factors. Oncogene, 23(21):3802–3812, May 2004.
- [272] Taras Valovka, Manuela Schönfeld, Philipp Raffeiner, Kathrin Breuker, Theresia Dunzendorfer-Matt, Markus Hartl, and Klaus Bister. Transcriptional control of DNA replication licensing by Myc. *Scientific Reports*, 3:3444, December 2013.
- [273] Ken-ichiro Yanagi, Takeshi Mizuno, Zhiying You, and Fumio Hanaoka. Mouse geminin inhibits not only Cdt1-MCM6 interactions but also a novel intrinsic Cdt1 DNA binding activity. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277(43):40871–40880, October 2002.
- [274] Linda Clijsters, Janneke Ogink, and Rob Wolthuis. The spindle checkpoint, APC/C(Cdc20), and APC/C(Cdh1) play distinct roles in connecting mitosis to S phase. J Cell Biol, 201(7):1013–1026, June 2013.
- [275] Irene García-Higuera, Eusebio Manchado, Pierre Dubus, Marta Cañamero, Juan Méndez, Sergio Moreno, and Marcos Malumbres. Genomic stability and tumour suppression by the APC/C cofactor Cdh1. *Nature Cell Biology*, 10(7):802–811, July 2008.

- [276] K. E. Knudsen, A. F. Fribourg, M. W. Strobeck, J. M. Blanchard, and E. S. Knudsen. Cyclin A is a functional target of retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein-mediated cell cycle arrest. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(39):27632–27641, September 1999.
- [277] Il-Man Kim, Timothy Ackerson, Sneha Ramakrishna, Maria Tretiakova, I.-Ching Wang, Tanya V. Kalin, Michael L. Major, Galina A. Gusarova, Helena M. Yoder, Robert H. Costa, and Vladimir V. Kalinichenko. The Forkhead Box m1 transcription factor stimulates the proliferation of tumor cells during development of lung cancer. *Cancer Research*, 66(4):2153–2161, February 2006.
- [278] Tanya V. Kalin, I.-Ching Wang, Timothy J. Ackerson, Michael L. Major, Carol J. Detrisac, Vladimir V. Kalinichenko, Alexander Lyubimov, and Robert H. Costa. Increased levels of the FoxM1 transcription factor accelerate development and progression of prostate carcinomas in both TRAMP and LADY transgenic mice. *Cancer Research*, 66(3):1712–1720, February 2006.
- [279] Jamila Laoukili, Matthijs R. H. Kooistra, Alexandra Brás, Jos Kauw, Ron M. Kerkhoven, Ashby Morrison, Hans Clevers, and René H. Medema. FoxM1 is required for execution of the mitotic programme and chromosome stability. *Nature Cell Biology*, 7(2):126–136, February 2005.
- [280] Mónica Alvarez-Fernández, Vincentius A. Halim, Lenno Krenning, Melinda Aprelia, Shabaz Mohammed, Albert J. Heck, and René H. Medema. Recovery from a DNA-damage-induced G2 arrest requires Cdk-dependent activation of FoxM1. *EMBO reports*, 11(6):452–458, June 2010.
- [281] Moe Tategu, Hiroki Nakagawa, Kaori Sasaki, Rieko Yamauchi, Sota Sekimachi, Yuka Suita, Naoko Watanabe, and Kenichi Yoshid. Transcriptional regulation of human polo-like kinases and early mitotic inhibitor. Journal of Genetics and Genomics = Yi Chuan Xue Bao, 35(4):215–224, April 2008.
- [282] Jerry Y. Hsu, Julie D. R. Reimann, Claus S. Sørensen, Jiri Lukas, and Peter K. Jackson. E2Fdependent accumulation of hEmi1 regulates S phase entry by inhibiting APC(Cdh1). Nature Cell Biology, 4(5):358–366, May 2002.
- [283] Jinho Lee, Jin Ah Kim, Valerie Barbier, Arun Fotedar, and Rati Fotedar. DNA damage triggers p21WAF1-dependent Emi1 down-regulation that maintains G2 arrest. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 20(7):1891–1902, April 2009.
- [284] David V. Hansen, Alexander V. Loktev, Kenneth H. Ban, and Peter K. Jackson. Plk1 regulates activation of the anaphase promoting complex by phosphorylating and triggering SCFbetaTrCPdependent destruction of the APC Inhibitor Emi1. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 15(12):5623–5634, December 2004.
- [285] Huafeng Pan, Yudi Zhu, Wei Wei, Siliang Shao, and Xin Rui. Transcription factor FoxM1 is the downstream target of c-Myc and contributes to the development of prostate cancer. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 16(1):59, March 2018.
- [286] Juliane M. Lüscher-Firzlaff, Richard Lilischkis, and Bernhard Lüscher. Regulation of the transcription factor FOXM1c by Cyclin E/CDK2. FEBS letters, 580(7):1716–1722, March 2006.
- [287] Con Sullivan, Youhong Liu, Jingjing Shen, Adam Curtis, Christina Newman, Janet M. Hock, and Xiong Li. Novel interactions between FOXM1 and CDC25A regulate the cell cycle. *PloS One*, 7(12):e51277, 2012.
- [288] Michael L. Major, Rita Lepe, and Robert H. Costa. Forkhead box M1B transcriptional activity requires binding of Cdk-cyclin complexes for phosphorylation-dependent recruitment of p300/CBP coactivators. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 24(7):2649–2661, April 2004.
- [289] Zheng Fu, Liviu Malureanu, Jun Huang, Wei Wang, Hao Li, Jan M. van Deursen, Donald J. Tindall, and Junjie Chen. Plk1-dependent phosphorylation of FoxM1 regulates a transcriptional programme required for mitotic progression. *Nature Cell Biology*, 10(9):1076–1082, September 2008.

- [290] Jamila Laoukili, Monica Alvarez, Lars A. T. Meijer, Marie Stahl, Shabaz Mohammed, Livio Kleij, Albert J. R. Heck, and René H. Medema. Activation of FoxM1 during G2 requires cyclin A/Cdkdependent relief of autorepression by the FoxM1 N-terminal domain. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 28(9):3076–3087, May 2008.
- [291] Tiebang Kang, Yongkun Wei, Yuchi Honaker, Hiroshi Yamaguchi, Ettore Appella, Mien-Chie Hung, and Helen Piwnica-Worms. GSK-3 beta targets Cdc25A for ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, and GSK-3 beta inactivation correlates with Cdc25A overproduction in human cancers. *Cancer Cell*, 13(1):36–47, January 2008.
- [292] E. Vigo, H. Müller, E. Prosperini, G. Hateboer, P. Cartwright, M. C. Moroni, and K. Helin. CDC25A phosphatase is a target of E2F and is required for efficient E2F-induced S phase. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 19(9):6379–6395, September 1999.
- [293] L. Wu, E. C. Goodwin, L. K. Naeger, E. Vigo, K. Galaktionov, K. Helin, and D. DiMaio. E2F-Rb complexes assemble and inhibit cdc25A transcription in cervical carcinoma cells following repression of human papillomavirus oncogene expression. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 20(19):7059–7067, October 2000.
- [294] I Hoffmann, G Draetta, and E Karsenti. Activation of the phosphatase activity of human cdc25A by a cdk2-cyclin E dependent phosphorylation at the G1/S transition. *EMBO J*, 13(18):4302–4310, September 1994.
- [295] Laurent Mazzolini, Anaïs Broban, Carine Froment, Odile Burlet-Schiltz, Arnaud Besson, Stéphane Manenti, and Christine Dozier. Phosphorylation of CDC25A on SER283 in late S/G2 by CDK/cyclin complexes accelerates mitotic entry. *Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex.)*, 15(20):2742–2752, October 2016.
- [296] Niels Mailand, Alexandre V. Podtelejnikov, Anja Groth, Matthias Mann, Jiri Bartek, and Jiri Lukas. Regulation of G(2)/M events by Cdc25A through phosphorylation-dependent modulation of its stability. *The EMBO journal*, 21(21):5911–5920, November 2002.
- [297] Maddalena Donzelli, Massimo Squatrito, Dvora Ganoth, Avram Hershko, Michele Pagano, and Giulio F Draetta. Dual mode of degradation of Cdc25 A phosphatase. *The EMBO journal*, 21(18):4875–4884, 2002.
- [298] Eusebio Manchado, Manuel Eguren, and Marcos Malumbres. The anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C): Cell-cycle-dependent and -independent functions. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 38(Pt 1):65–71, February 2010.
- [299] Mei-Shya Chen, Christine E. Ryan, and Helen Piwnica-Worms. Chk1 kinase negatively regulates mitotic function of Cdc25A phosphatase through 14-3-3 binding. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 23(21):7488–7497, November 2003.
- [300] Ida Blomberg and Ingrid Hoffmann. Ectopic expression of Cdc25A accelerates the G1/S transition and leads to premature activation of cyclin E-and cyclin A-dependent kinases. *Molecular and cellular biology*, 19(9):6183–6194, 1999.
- [301] Yuichi J. Machida and Anindya Dutta. The APC/C inhibitor, Emi1, is essential for prevention of rereplication. Genes & Development, 21(2):184–194, January 2007.
- [302] J. D. Reimann, E. Freed, J. Y. Hsu, E. R. Kramer, J. M. Peters, and P. K. Jackson. Emi1 is a mitotic regulator that interacts with Cdc20 and inhibits the anaphase promoting complex. *Cell*, 105(5):645–655, June 2001.
- [303] J. D. Reimann, B. E. Gardner, F. Margottin-Goguet, and P. K. Jackson. Emi1 regulates the anaphasepromoting complex by a different mechanism than Mad2 proteins. *Genes & Development*, 15(24):3278– 3285, December 2001.

- [304] Yuko Katsuno, Ayumi Suzuki, Kazuto Sugimura, Katsuzumi Okumura, Doaa H Zineldeen, Midori Shimada, Hiroyuki Niida, Takeshi Mizuno, Fumio Hanaoka, and Makoto Nakanishi. Cyclin A-Cdk1 regulates the origin firing program in mammalian cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(9):3184–3189, March 2009.
- [305] Michael Rape and Marc W. Kirschner. Autonomous regulation of the anaphase-promoting complex couples mitosis to S-phase entry. *Nature*, 432(7017):588–595, December 2004.
- [306] S. Geley, E. Kramer, C. Gieffers, J. Gannon, J. M. Peters, and T. Hunt. Anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome-dependent proteolysis of human cyclin A starts at the beginning of mitosis and is not subject to the spindle assembly checkpoint. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 153(1):137–148, April 2001.
- [307] N. den Elzen and J. Pines. Cyclin A is destroyed in prometaphase and can delay chromosome alignment and anaphase. The Journal of Cell Biology, 153(1):121–136, April 2001.
- [308] Barbara Di Fiore and Jonathon Pines. How cyclin A destruction escapes the spindle assembly checkpoint. The Journal of Cell Biology, 190(4):501–509, August 2010.
- [309] J W Harper. The anaphase-promoting complex: It's not just for mitosis any more. Genes & Development, 16(17):2179–2206, September 2002.
- [310] Richard W Deibler and Marc W. Kirschner. Quantitative reconstitution of mitotic CDK1 activation in somatic cell extracts. *Mol Cell*, 37(6):753–767, March 2010.
- [311] Nobumoto Watanabe, Harumi Arai, Jun-Ichi Iwasaki, Masaaki Shiina, Kazuhiro Ogata, Tony Hunter, and Hiroyuki Osada. Cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) phosphorylation destabilizes somatic Wee1 via multiple pathways. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 102(33):11663–11668, August 2005.
- [312] Joon Lee, Akiko Kumagai, and William G Dunphy. Positive regulation of Wee1 by Chk1 and 14-3-3 proteins. *Molecular biology of the cell*, 12(3):551–563, 2001.
- [313] Raquel Domínguez-Kelly, Yusé Martín, Stephane Koundrioukoff, Marvin E. Tanenbaum, Veronique A. J. Smits, René H. Medema, Michelle Debatisse, and Raimundo Freire. Weel controls genomic stability during replication by regulating the Mus81-Emel endonuclease. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 194(4):567–579, August 2011.
- [314] B. B. Zhou, H. Li, J. Yuan, and M. W. Kirschner. Caspase-dependent activation of cyclin-dependent kinases during Fas-induced apoptosis in Jurkat cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 95(12):6785–6790, June 1998.
- [315] B. Alvarez, C. Martínez-A, B. M. Burgering, and A. C. Carrera. Forkhead transcription factors contribute to execution of the mitotic programme in mammals. *Nature*, 413(6857):744–747, October 2001.
- [316] T. W. Leung, S. S. Lin, A. C. Tsang, C. S. Tong, J. C. Ching, W. Y. Leung, R. Gimlich, G. G. Wong, and K. M. Yao. Over-expression of FoxM1 stimulates cyclin B1 expression. *FEBS letters*, 507(1):59–66, October 2001.
- [317] I.-Ching Wang, Yi-Ju Chen, Douglas Hughes, Vladimir Petrovic, Michael L. Major, Hyung Jung Park, Yongjun Tan, Timothy Ackerson, and Robert H. Costa. Forkhead box M1 regulates the transcriptional network of genes essential for mitotic progression and genes encoding the SCF (Skp2-Cks1) ubiquitin ligase. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 25(24):10875–10894, December 2005.
- [318] Stéphanie Dutertre, Martine Cazales, Muriel Quaranta, Carine Froment, Valerie Trabut, Christine Dozier, Gladys Mirey, Jean-Pierre Bouché, Nathalie Theis-Febvre, Estelle Schmitt, Bernard Monsarrat, Claude Prigent, and Bernard Ducommun. Phosphorylation of CDC25B by Aurora-A at the centrosome contributes to the G2-M transition. *Journal of Cell Science*, 117(Pt 12):2523–2531, May 2004.

- [319] Stéphanie Dutertre, Simon Descamps, and Claude Prigent. On the role of aurora-A in centrosome function. Oncogene, 21(40):6175–6183, September 2002.
- [320] Lilia Gheghiani, Damarys Loew, Bérangère Lombard, Jörg Mansfeld, and Olivier Gavet. PLK1 Activation in Late G2 Sets Up Commitment to Mitosis. *Cell Reports*, 19(10):2060–2073, June 2017.
- [321] Oleg Timofeev, Onur Cizmecioglu, Entan Hu, Thomas Orlik, and Ingrid Hoffmann. Human Cdc25A phosphatase has a non-redundant function in G2 phase by activating Cyclin A-dependent kinases. *FEBS letters*, 583(4):841–847, February 2009.
- [322] S. Kotani, S. Tugendreich, M. Fujii, P. M. Jorgensen, N. Watanabe, C. Hoog, P. Hieter, and K. Todokoro. PKA and MPF-activated polo-like kinase regulate anaphase-promoting complex activity and mitosis progression. *Molecular Cell*, 1(3):371–380, February 1998.
- [323] Y. W. Qian, E. Erikson, C. Li, and J. L. Maller. Activated polo-like kinase Plx1 is required at multiple points during mitosis in Xenopus laevis. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 18(7):4262–4271, July 1998.
- [324] Yann Thomas, Luca Cirillo, Costanza Panbianco, Lisa Martino, Nicolas Tavernier, Françoise Schwager, Lucie Van Hove, Nicolas Joly, Anna Santamaria, Lionel Pintard, and Monica Gotta. Cdk1 Phosphorylates SPAT-1/Bora to Promote Plk1 Activation in C. elegans and Human Cells. *Cell Reports*, 15(3):510–518, April 2016.
- [325] Catherine Lindon and Jonathon Pines. Ordered proteolysis in anaphase inactivates Plk1 to contribute to proper mitotic exit in human cells. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 164(2):233–241, January 2004.
- [326] C. P. De Souza, K. A. Ellem, and B. G. Gabrielli. Centrosomal and cytoplasmic Cdc2/cyclin B1 activation precedes nuclear mitotic events. *Experimental Cell Research*, 257(1):11–21, May 2000.
- [327] Mark Jackman, Catherine Lindon, Erich A. Nigg, and Jonathon Pines. Active cyclin B1-Cdk1 first appears on centrosomes in prophase. *Nature Cell Biology*, 5(2):143–148, February 2003.
- [328] Arne Lindqvist, Helena Källström, Andreas Lundgren, Emad Barsoum, and Christina Karlsson Rosenthal. Cdc25B cooperates with Cdc25A to induce mitosis but has a unique role in activating cyclin B1-Cdk1 at the centrosome. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 171(1):35–45, October 2005.
- [329] Valerie Lobjois, Denis Jullien, Jean-Pierre Bouché, and Bernard Ducommun. The polo-like kinase 1 regulates CDC25B-dependent mitosis entry. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*, 1793(3):462–468, March 2009.
- [330] B. Ouyang, W. Li, H. Pan, J. Meadows, I. Hoffmann, and W. Dai. The physical association and phosphorylation of Cdc25C protein phosphatase by Prk. Oncogene, 18(44):6029–6036, October 1999.
- [331] J. P. Cogswell, C. E. Brown, J. E. Bisi, and S. D. Neill. Dominant-negative polo-like kinase 1 induces mitotic catastrophe independent of cdc25C function. *Cell Growth & Differentiation: The Molecular Biology Journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*, 11(12):615–623, December 2000.
- [332] I. Hoffmann, P. R. Clarke, M. J. Marcote, E. Karsenti, and G. Draetta. Phosphorylation and activation of human cdc25-C by cdc2-cyclin B and its involvement in the self-amplification of MPF at mitosis. *The EMBO journal*, 12(1):53–63, January 1993.
- [333] A Karaskou, X Cayla, O Haccard, C Jessus, and R Ozon. MPF amplification in Xenopus oocyte extracts depends on a two-step activation of cdc25 phosphatase. *Experimental Cell Research*, 244(2):491–500, November 1998.
- [334] A. Lopez-Girona, B. Furnari, O. Mondesert, and P. Russell. Nuclear localization of Cdc25 is regulated by DNA damage and a 14-3-3 protein. *Nature*, 397(6715):172–175, January 1999.
- [335] Estelle Schmitt, Rose Boutros, Carine Froment, Bernard Monsarrat, Bernard Ducommun, and Christine Dozier. CHK1 phosphorylates CDC25B during the cell cycle in the absence of DNA damage. *Journal* of Cell Science, 119(Pt 20):4269–4275, October 2006.

- [336] Alwin Krämer, Niels Mailand, Claudia Lukas, Randi G. Syljuåsen, Christopher J. Wilkinson, Erich A. Nigg, Jiri Bartek, and Jiri Lukas. Centrosome-associated Chk1 prevents premature activation of cyclin-B-Cdk1 kinase. *Nature Cell Biology*, 6(9):884–891, September 2004.
- [337] R Heald, M McLoughlin, and F McKeon. Human weel maintains mitotic timing by protecting the nucleus from cytoplasmically activated Cdc2 kinase. *Cell*, 74(3):463–474, August 1993.
- [338] MR Jackman and JN Pines. Cyclins and the G2/M transition. *Cancer surveys*, 29:47–73, 1996.
- [339] Amnon Golan, Yana Yudkovsky, and Avram Hershko. The cyclin-ubiquitin ligase activity of cyclosome/APC is jointly activated by protein kinases Cdk1-cyclin B and Plk. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277(18):15552–15557, May 2002.
- [340] Adam D Rudner and Andrew W Murray. Phosphorylation by Cdc28 activates the Cdc20-dependent activity of the anaphase-promoting complex. The Journal of cell biology, 149(7):1377–1390, 2000.
- [341] Xinxian Qiao, Liyong Zhang, Armin M Gamper, Takeo Fujita, and Yong Wan. APC/C-Cdh1: From cell cycle to cellular differentiation and genomic integrity. *Cell Cycle*, 9(19):3904–3912, October 2010.
- [342] Vincenzo D'Angiolella, Cecilia Mari, Donatella Nocera, Linda Rametti, and Domenico Grieco. The spindle checkpoint requires cyclin-dependent kinase activity. *Genes & Development*, 17(20):2520–2525, October 2003.
- [343] Jamin B. Hein and Jakob Nilsson. Interphase APC/C-Cdc20 inhibition by cyclin A2-Cdk2 ensures efficient mitotic entry. *Nature Communications*, 7:10975, March 2016.
- [344] Speranta Avram, Maria Mernea, Dan Florin Mihailescu, Corina Duda Seiman, Daniel Duda Seiman, and Mihai Viorel Putz. Mitotic checkpoint proteins Mad1 and Mad2 - structural and functional relationship with implication in genetic diseases. *Current Computer-Aided Drug Design*, 10(2):168–181, 2014.
- [345] Brian R Thornton and David P Toczyski. Precise destruction: An emerging picture of the APC. Genes & development, 20(22):3069–3078, 2006.
- [346] Jan-Michael Peters. The anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome: A machine designed to destroy. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology, 7(9):644–656, 2006.
- [347] S K Reddy, M Rape, W A Margansky, and M W Kirschner. Ubiquitination by the anaphase-promoting complex drives spindle checkpoint inactivation. *Nature*, 446(7138):921–925, April 2007.
- [348] Luigi Nezi and Andrea Musacchio. Sister chromatid tension and the spindle assembly checkpoint. Current opinion in cell biology, 21(6):785–795, December 2009.
- [349] Weiping Wang and Marc W. Kirschner. Emi1 preferentially inhibits ubiquitin chain elongation by the anaphase-promoting complex. *Nature Cell Biology*, 15(7):797–806, July 2013.
- [350] Bing Ren, Hieu Cam, Yasuhiko Takahashi, Thomas Volkert, Jolyon Terragni, Richard A. Young, and Brian David Dynlacht. E2F integrates cell cycle progression with DNA repair, replication, and G(2)/M checkpoints. Genes & Development, 16(2):245–256, January 2002.
- [351] Hugh Cam and Brian David Dynlacht. Emerging roles for E2F: Beyond the G1/S transition and DNA replication. *Cancer Cell*, 3(4):311–316, April 2003.
- [352] Dawn Coverley, Heike Laman, and Ronald A Laskey. Distinct roles for cyclins E and A during DNA replication complex assembly and activation. *Nature Cell Biology*, 4(7):523–528, 2002.
- [353] Michalis Fragkos, Olivier Ganier, Philippe Coulombe, and Marcel Méchali. DNA replication origin activation in space and time. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 16(6):360–374, June 2015.
- [354] Niels Mailand, Jacob Falck, Claudia Lukas, Randi G Syljuåsen, Markus Welcker, Jiri Bartek, and Jiri Lukas. Rapid destruction of human Cdc25A in response to DNA damage. *Science*, 288(5470):1425–1429, 2000.

- [355] Maddalena Donzelli and Giulio F Draetta. Regulating mammalian checkpoints through Cdc25 inactivation. EMBO reports, 4(7):671–677, July 2003.
- [356] Eva Petermann, Apolinar Maya-Mendoza, George Zachos, David A F Gillespie, Dean A Jackson, and Keith W Caldecott. Chk1 requirement for high global rates of replication fork progression during normal vertebrate S phase. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 26(8):3319–3326, April 2006.
- [357] Irma Sánchez and Brian David Dynlacht. New insights into cyclins, CDKs, and cell cycle control. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 16(3):311–321, June 2005.
- [358] F. Uhlmann, F. Lottspeich, and K. Nasmyth. Sister-chromatid separation at anaphase onset is promoted by cleavage of the cohesin subunit Scc1. *Nature*, 400(6739):37–42, July 1999.
- [359] Tamar Listovsky and Julian E. Sale. Sequestration of CDH1 by MAD2L2 prevents premature APC/C activation prior to anaphase onset. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 203(1):87–100, October 2013.
- [360] Yuji Nakayama, Yuki Matsui, Yumi Takeda, Mai Okamoto, Kohei Abe, Yasunori Fukumoto, and Naoto Yamaguchi. C-Src but not Fyn promotes proper spindle orientation in early prometaphase. *The Journal* of Biological Chemistry, 287(30):24905–24915, July 2012.
- [361] Michelle S. Lu and Christopher A. Johnston. Molecular pathways regulating mitotic spindle orientation in animal cells. *Development*, 140(9):1843–1856, May 2013.
- [362] Kathleen G. Bickel, Barbara J. Mann, Joshua S. Waitzman, Taylor A. Poor, Sarah E. Rice, and Patricia Wadsworth. Src family kinase phosphorylation of the motor domain of the human kinesin-5, Eg5. *Cytoskeleton*, 74(9):317–330, September 2017.
- [363] Mark Petronczki, Péter Lénárt, and Jan-Michael Peters. Polo on the Rise-from Mitotic Entry to Cytokinesis with Plk1. Developmental Cell, 14(5):646–659, May 2008.
- [364] Travis L Schmit, Weixiong Zhong, Vijayasaradhi Setaluri, Vladimir S Spiegelman, and Nihal Ahmad. Targeted depletion of Polo-like kinase (Plk) 1 through lentiviral shRNA or a small-molecule inhibitor causes mitotic catastrophe and induction of apoptosis in human melanoma cells. The Journal of investigative dermatology, 129(12):2843–2853, December 2009.
- [365] Andrea Vecchione, Gustavo Baldassarre, Hideshi Ishii, Milena S. Nicoloso, Barbara Belletti, Fabio Petrocca, Nicola Zanesi, Louise Y. Y. Fong, Sabrina Battista, Daniela Guarnieri, Raffaele Baffa, Hansjuerg Alder, John L. Farber, Peter J. Donovan, and Carlo M. Croce. Fez1/Lzts1 absence impairs Cdk1/Cdc25C interaction during mitosis and predisposes mice to cancer development. *Cancer Cell*, 11(3):275–289, March 2007.
- [366] Mark Petronczki, Michael Glotzer, Norbert Kraut, and Jan-Michael Peters. Polo-like kinase 1 triggers the initiation of cytokinesis in human cells by promoting recruitment of the RhoGEF Ect2 to the central spindle. *Developmental Cell*, 12(5):713–725, May 2007.
- [367] Zengqiang Yuan, Esther B E Becker, Paola Merlo, Tomoko Yamada, Sara DiBacco, Yoshiyuki Konishi, Erik M Schaefer, and Azad Bonni. Activation of FOXO1 by Cdk1 in cycling cells and postmitotic neurons. Science (New York, NY), 319(5870):1665–1668, March 2008.
- [368] Suzanne Floyd, Jonathon Pines, and Catherine Lindon. APC/C Cdh1 targets aurora kinase to control reorganization of the mitotic spindle at anaphase. *Current biology: CB*, 18(21):1649–1658, November 2008.
- [369] Benjamin A. Wolfe, Tohru Takaki, Mark Petronczki, and Michael Glotzer. Polo-like kinase 1 directs assembly of the HsCyk-4 RhoGAP/Ect2 RhoGEF complex to initiate cleavage furrow formation. *PLoS biology*, 7(5):e1000110, May 2009.
- [370] Herbert Sizek, Andrew Hamel, Dávid Deritei, Sarah Campbell, and Erzsébet Ravasz Regan. Boolean model of growth signaling, cell cycle and apoptosis predicts the molecular mechanism of aberrant cell cycle progression driven by hyperactive PI3K. *PLoS computational biology*, 15(3):e1006402, March 2019.

- [371] Mark E. Burkard, Catherine L. Randall, Stéphane Larochelle, Chao Zhang, Kevan M. Shokat, Robert P. Fisher, and Prasad V. Jallepalli. Chemical genetics reveals the requirement for Polo-like kinase 1 activity in positioning RhoA and triggering cytokinesis in human cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(11):4383–4388, March 2007.
- [372] Kousuke Kasahara, Yuji Nakayama, Yoshimi Nakazato, Kikuko Ikeda, Takahisa Kuga, and Naoto Yamaguchi. Src signaling regulates completion of abscission in cytokinesis through ERK/MAPK activation at the midbody. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282(8):5327–5339, February 2007.
- [373] Maria Eugenia Guicciardi and Gregory J Gores. Life and death by death receptors. The FASEB Journal, 23(6):1625–1637, June 2009.
- [374] Bodvaël Pennarun, Annemieke Meijer, Elisabeth G. E. de Vries, Jan H. Kleibeuker, Frank Kruyt, and Steven de Jong. Playing the DISC: Turning on TRAIL death receptor-mediated apoptosis in cancer. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*, 1805(2):123–140, April 2010.
- [375] E. A. Slee, M. T. Harte, R. M. Kluck, B. B. Wolf, C. A. Casiano, D. D. Newmeyer, H. G. Wang, J. C. Reed, D. W. Nicholson, E. S. Alnemri, D. R. Green, and S. J. Martin. Ordering the cytochrome c-initiated caspase cascade: Hierarchical activation of caspases-2, -3, -6, -7, -8, and -10 in a caspase-9-dependent manner. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 144(2):281–292, January 1999.
- [376] V. Cowling and J. Downward. Caspase-6 is the direct activator of caspase-8 in the cytochrome cinduced apoptosis pathway: Absolute requirement for removal of caspase-6 prodomain. *Cell Death and Differentiation*, 9(10):1046–1056, October 2002.
- [377] Joshua L Andersen, Carrie E Johnson, Christopher D Freel, Amanda B Parrish, Jennifer L Day, Marisa R Buchakjian, Leta K Nutt, J Will Thompson, M Arthur Moseley, and Sally Kornbluth. Restraint of apoptosis during mitosis through interdomain phosphorylation of caspase-2. *The EMBO Journal*, 28(20):3216–3227, October 2009.
- [378] Celia Vogel, Anne Kienitz, Rolf Müller, and Holger Bastians. The mitotic spindle checkpoint is a critical determinant for topoisomerase-based chemotherapy. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280(6):4025–4028, February 2005.
- [379] Akira Masuda, Ken Maeno, Taku Nakagawa, Hiroko Saito, and Takashi Takahashi. Association between mitotic spindle checkpoint impairment and susceptibility to the induction of apoptosis by anti-microtubule agents in human lung cancers. *The American Journal of Pathology*, 163(3):1109–1116, September 2003.
- [380] Richa B. Shah, Ruth Thompson, and Samuel Sidi. A mitosis-sensing caspase activation platform? New insights into the PIDDosome. *Molecular & Cellular Oncology*, 3(3):e1059921, May 2016.
- [381] H. Li, L. Bergeron, V. Cryns, M. S. Pasternack, H. Zhu, L. Shi, A. Greenberg, and J. Yuan. Activation of caspase-2 in apoptosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 272(34):21010–21017, August 1997.
- [382] E. A. Slee, C. Adrain, and S. J. Martin. Executioner caspase-3, -6, and -7 perform distinct, non-redundant roles during the demolition phase of apoptosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(10):7320–7326, March 2001.
- [383] Ulrich Maurer, Céline Charvet, Allan S Wagman, Emmanuel Dejardin, and Douglas R Green. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 regulates mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization and apoptosis by destabilization of MCL-1. *Mol Cell*, 21(6):749–760, March 2006.
- [384] Ingrid E. Wertz, Saritha Kusam, Cynthia Lam, Toru Okamoto, Wendy Sandoval, Daniel J. Anderson, Elizabeth Helgason, James A. Ernst, Mike Eby, Jinfeng Liu, Lisa D. Belmont, Josh S. Kaminker, Karen M. O'Rourke, Kanan Pujara, Pawan Bir Kohli, Adam R. Johnson, Mark L. Chiu, Jennie R. Lill, Peter K. Jackson, Wayne J. Fairbrother, Somasekar Seshagiri, Mary J. C. Ludlam, Kevin G. Leong, Erin C. Dueber, Heather Maecker, David C. S. Huang, and Vishva M. Dixit. Sensitivity to antitubulin chemotherapeutics is regulated by MCL1 and FBW7. *Nature*, 471(7336):110–114, March 2011.

- [385] Qingqing Ding, Longfei Huo, Jer-Yen Yang, Weiya Xia, Yongkun Wei, Yong Liao, Chun-Ju Chang, Yan Yang, Chien-Chen Lai, Dung-Fang Lee, Chia-Jui Yen, Yun-Ju Rita Chen, Jung-Mao Hsu, Hsu-Ping Kuo, Chun-Yi Lin, Fuu-Jen Tsai, Long-Yuan Li, Chang-Hai Tsai, and Mien-Chie Hung. Down-regulation of myeloid cell leukemia-1 through inhibiting Erk/Pin 1 pathway by sorafenib facilitates chemosensitization in breast cancer. *Cancer Research*, 68(15):6109–6117, August 2008.
- [386] K. J. Townsend, J. L. Trusty, M. A. Traupman, A. Eastman, and R. W. Craig. Expression of the antiapoptotic MCL1 gene product is regulated by a mitogen activated protein kinase-mediated pathway triggered through microtubule disruption and protein kinase C. Oncogene, 17(10):1223–1234, September 1998.
- [387] Rhonda Croxton, Yihong Ma, Lanxi Song, Eric B Haura, and W Douglas Cress. Direct repression of the Mcl-1 promoter by E2F1. Oncogene, 21(9):1359–1369, February 2002.
- [388] Margaret E. Harley, Lindsey A. Allan, Helen S. Sanderson, and Paul R. Clarke. Phosphorylation of Mcl-1 by CDK1-cyclin B1 initiates its Cdc20-dependent destruction during mitotic arrest. *The EMBO journal*, 29(14):2407–2420, July 2010.
- [389] Chiou-Feng Lin, Cheng-Chieh Tsai, Wei-Ching Huang, Yu-Chih Wang, Po-Chun Tseng, Tsung-Ting Tsai, and Chia-Ling Chen. Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3β and Caspase-2 Mediate Ceramide- and Etoposide-Induced Apoptosis by Regulating the Lysosomal-Mitochondrial Axis. *PloS One*, 11(1):e0145460, 2016.
- [390] Eun-Sil Sung, Kyung-Jin Park, Hye-Ji Choi, Chul-Ho Kim, and Yong-Sung Kim. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 potentiates TRAIL receptor agonist-induced apoptosis by stabilizing tBid and Bik in human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Experimental Cell Research*, 318(13):1564–1576, August 2012.
- [391] E. Yang, J. Zha, J. Jockel, L. H. Boise, C. B. Thompson, and S. J. Korsmeyer. Bad, a heterodimeric partner for Bcl-XL and Bcl-2, displaces Bax and promotes cell death. *Cell*, 80(2):285–291, January 1995.
- [392] Lilly Magdalena Weiß, Manuela Hugle, Sarah Romero, and Simone Fulda. Synergistic induction of apoptosis by a polo-like kinase 1 inhibitor and microtubule-interfering drugs in Ewing sarcoma cells. *International Journal of Cancer*, 138(2):497–506, January 2016.
- [393] David T. Terrano, Meenakshi Upreti, and Timothy C. Chambers. Cyclin-dependent kinase 1-mediated Bcl-xL/Bcl-2 phosphorylation acts as a functional link coupling mitotic arrest and apoptosis. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 30(3):640–656, February 2010.
- [394] Lingli Zhou, Xiaoling Cai, Xueyao Han, Naihan Xu, and Donald C. Chang. CDK1 switches mitotic arrest to apoptosis by phosphorylating Bcl-2/Bax family proteins during treatment with microtubule interfering agents. *Cell Biology International*, 38(6):737–746, June 2014.
- [395] N. Bah, L. Maillet, J. Ryan, S. Dubreil, F. Gautier, A. Letai, P. Juin, and S. Barillé-Nion. Bcl-xL controls a switch between cell death modes during mitotic arrest. *Cell Death & Disease*, 5:e1291, June 2014.
- [396] Céline Gélinas and Eileen White. BH3-only proteins in control: Specificity regulates MCL-1 and BAK-mediated apoptosis. Genes & Development, 19(11):1263-1268, June 2005.
- [397] D. G. Kirsch, A. Doseff, B. N. Chau, D. S. Lim, N. C. de Souza-Pinto, R. Hansford, M. B. Kastan, Y. A. Lazebnik, and J. M. Hardwick. Caspase-3-dependent cleavage of Bcl-2 promotes release of cytochrome c. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(30):21155–21161, July 1999.
- [398] B. Elangovan and G. Chinnadurai. Functional dissection of the pro-apoptotic protein Bik. Heterodimerization with anti-apoptosis proteins is insufficient for induction of cell death. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 272(39):24494–24498, September 1997.

- [399] L. O'Connor, A. Strasser, L. A. O'Reilly, G. Hausmann, J. M. Adams, S. Cory, and D. C. Huang. Bim: A novel member of the Bcl-2 family that promotes apoptosis. *The EMBO journal*, 17(2):384–395, January 1998.
- [400] X. Fang, S. Yu, A. Eder, M. Mao, R. C. Bast, D. Boyd, and G. B. Mills. Regulation of BAD phosphorylation at serine 112 by the Ras-mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. *Oncogene*, 18(48):6635–6640, November 1999.
- [401] S R Datta, H Dudek, X Tao, S Masters, H Fu, Y Gotoh, and M E Greenberg. Akt phosphorylation of BAD couples survival signals to the cell-intrinsic death machinery. *Cell*, 91(2):231–241, October 1997.
- [402] Y. Tan, M. R. Demeter, H. Ruan, and M. J. Comb. BAD Ser-155 phosphorylation regulates BAD/Bcl-XL interaction and cell survival. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275(33):25865–25869, August 2000.
- [403] F. Condorelli, P. Salomoni, S. Cotteret, V. Cesi, S. M. Srinivasula, E. S. Alnemri, and B. Calabretta. Caspase cleavage enhances the apoptosis-inducing effects of BAD. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 21(9):3025–3036, May 2001.
- [404] Agshin F. Taghiyev, Natalya V. Guseva, Hisashi Harada, C. Michael Knudson, Oskar W. Rokhlin, and Michael B. Cohen. Overexpression of BAD potentiates sensitivity to tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand treatment in the prostatic carcinoma cell line LNCaP. *Molecular cancer* research: MCR, 1(7):500–507, May 2003.
- [405] Bernhard Gillissen, Frank Essmann, Philipp G. Hemmati, Antje Richter, Anja Richter, Ilker Oztop, Govindaswamy Chinnadurai, Bernd Dörken, and Peter T. Daniel. Mcl-1 determines the Bax dependency of Nbk/Bik-induced apoptosis. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 179(4):701–715, November 2007.
- [406] J. M. Boyd, G. J. Gallo, B. Elangovan, A. B. Houghton, S. Malstrom, B. J. Avery, R. G. Ebb, T. Subramanian, T. Chittenden, and R. J. Lutz. Bik, a novel death-inducing protein shares a distinct sequence motif with Bcl-2 family proteins and interacts with viral and cellular survival-promoting proteins. Oncogene, 11(9):1921–1928, November 1995.
- [407] Rosie Hughes, Jonathan Gilley, Mark Kristiansen, and Jonathan Ham. The MEK-ERK pathway negatively regulates bim expression through the 3' UTR in sympathetic neurons. *BMC neuroscience*, 12:69, July 2011.
- [408] P. F. Dijkers, R. H. Medema, J. W. Lammers, L. Koenderman, and P. J. Coffer. Expression of the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member Bim is regulated by the forkhead transcription factor FKHR-L1. *Current biology: CB*, 10(19):1201–1204, October 2000.
- [409] Vesa Hongisto, Nina Smeds, Stephan Brecht, Thomas Herdegen, Michael J. Courtney, and Eleanor T. Coffey. Lithium blocks the c-Jun stress response and protects neurons via its action on glycogen synthase kinase 3. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 23(17):6027–6036, September 2003.
- [410] Patricia Gomez-Bougie, Régis Bataille, and Martine Amiot. The imbalance between Bim and Mcl-1 expression controls the survival of human myeloma cells. *European Journal of Immunology*, 34(11):3156– 3164, November 2004.
- [411] H. Yamada, S. Tada-Oikawa, A. Uchida, and S. Kawanishi. TRAIL causes cleavage of bid by caspase-8 and loss of mitochondrial membrane potential resulting in apoptosis in BJAB cells. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 265(1):130–133, November 1999.
- [412] Kai Huang, Jingjing Zhang, Katelyn L. O'Neill, Channabasavaiah B. Gurumurthy, Rolen M. Quadros, Yaping Tu, and Xu Luo. Cleavage by Caspase 8 and Mitochondrial Membrane Association Activate the BH3-only Protein Bid during TRAIL-induced Apoptosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 291(22):11843–11851, May 2016.

- [413] H Li, H Zhu, C J Xu, and J Yuan. Cleavage of BID by caspase 8 mediates the mitochondrial damage in the Fas pathway of apoptosis. *Cell*, 94(4):491–501, August 1998.
- [414] John-Paul Upton, Kathryn Austgen, Mari Nishino, Kristen M. Coakley, Andrew Hagen, Dan Han, Feroz R. Papa, and Scott A. Oakes. Caspase-2 cleavage of BID is a critical apoptotic signal downstream of endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 28(12):3943–3951, June 2008.
- [415] Hyungjin Kim, Mubina Rafiuddin-Shah, Ho-Chou Tu, John R. Jeffers, Gerard P. Zambetti, James J.-D. Hsieh, and Emily H.-Y. Cheng. Hierarchical regulation of mitochondrion-dependent apoptosis by BCL-2 subfamilies. *Nature Cell Biology*, 8(12):1348–1358, December 2006.
- [416] Kristopher A. Sarosiek, Xiaoke Chi, John A. Bachman, Joshua J. Sims, Joan Montero, Luv Patel, Annabelle Flanagan, David W. Andrews, Peter Sorger, and Anthony Letai. BID preferentially activates BAK while BIM preferentially activates BAX, affecting chemotherapy response. *Molecular Cell*, 51(6):751–765, September 2013.
- [417] Dayong Zhai, Chaofang Jin, Ziwei Huang, Arnold C. Satterthwait, and John C. Reed. Differential regulation of Bax and Bak by anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins Bcl-B and Mcl-1. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 283(15):9580–9586, April 2008.
- [418] Simon N. Willis, Lin Chen, Grant Dewson, Andrew Wei, Edwina Naik, Jamie I. Fletcher, Jerry M. Adams, and David C. S. Huang. Proapoptotic Bak is sequestered by Mcl-1 and Bcl-xL, but not Bcl-2, until displaced by BH3-only proteins. *Genes & Development*, 19(11):1294–1305, June 2005.
- [419] Erinna F. Lee, Stephanie Grabow, Stephane Chappaz, Grant Dewson, Colin Hockings, Ruth M. Kluck, Marlyse A. Debrincat, Daniel H. Gray, Matthew T. Witkowski, Marco Evangelista, Anne Pettikiriarachchi, Philippe Bouillet, Rachael M. Lane, Peter E. Czabotar, Peter M. Colman, Brian J. Smith, Benjamin T. Kile, and W. Douglas Fairlie. Physiological restraint of Bak by Bcl-xL is essential for cell survival. *Genes & Development*, 30(10):1240–1250, May 2016.
- [420] Haiming Dai, X. Wei Meng, Sun-Hee Lee, Paula A. Schneider, and Scott H. Kaufmann. Contextdependent Bcl-2/Bak interactions regulate lymphoid cell apoptosis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284(27):18311–18322, July 2009.
- [421] Jaigi P. Mathai, Marc Germain, and Gordon C. Shore. BH3-only BIK regulates BAX, BAK-dependent release of Ca2+ from endoplasmic reticulum stores and mitochondrial apoptosis during stress-induced cell death. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 280(25):23829–23836, June 2005.
- [422] M. C. Wei, T. Lindsten, V. K. Mootha, S. Weiler, A. Gross, M. Ashiya, C. B. Thompson, and S. J. Korsmeyer. tBID, a membrane-targeted death ligand, oligomerizes BAK to release cytochrome c. *Genes & Development*, 14(16):2060–2071, August 2000.
- [423] Marc Germain, Jocelyn Milburn, and Vincent Duronio. MCL-1 inhibits BAX in the absence of MCL-1/BAX Interaction. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 283(10):6384–6392, March 2008.
- [424] M. C. Wei, W. X. Zong, E. H. Cheng, T. Lindsten, V. Panoutsakopoulou, A. J. Ross, K. A. Roth, G. R. MacGregor, C. B. Thompson, and S. J. Korsmeyer. Proapoptotic BAX and BAK: A requisite gateway to mitochondrial dysfunction and death. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 292(5517):727–730, April 2001.
- [425] Z. N. Oltvai, C. L. Milliman, and S. J. Korsmeyer. Bcl-2 heterodimerizes in vivo with a conserved homolog, Bax, that accelerates programmed cell death. *Cell*, 74(4):609–619, August 1993.
- [426] S. Manon, B. Chaudhuri, and M. Guérin. Release of cytochrome c and decrease of cytochrome c oxidase in Bax-expressing yeast cells, and prevention of these effects by coexpression of Bcl-xL. *FEBS letters*, 415(1):29–32, September 1997.
- [427] Liying Zhou and Donald C. Chang. Dynamics and structure of the Bax-Bak complex responsible for releasing mitochondrial proteins during apoptosis. *Journal of Cell Science*, 121(Pt 13):2186–2196, July 2008.

- [428] Ping Hu, Zhang Han, Anthony D. Couvillon, and John H. Exton. Critical role of endogenous Akt/IAPs and MEK1/ERK pathways in counteracting endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced cell death. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279(47):49420–49429, November 2004.
- [429] C. Du, M. Fang, Y. Li, L. Li, and X. Wang. Smac, a mitochondrial protein that promotes cytochrome c-dependent caspase activation by eliminating IAP inhibition. *Cell*, 102(1):33–42, July 2000.
- [430] S. M. Srinivasula, M. Ahmad, T. Fernandes-Alnemri, and E. S. Alnemri. Autoactivation of procaspase-9 by Apaf-1-mediated oligomerization. *Molecular Cell*, 1(7):949–957, June 1998.
- [431] Q. L. Deveraux, N. Roy, H. R. Stennicke, T. Van Arsdale, Q. Zhou, S. M. Srinivasula, E. S. Alnemri, G. S. Salvesen, and J. C. Reed. IAPs block apoptotic events induced by caspase-8 and cytochrome c by direct inhibition of distinct caspases. *The EMBO journal*, 17(8):2215–2223, April 1998.
- [432] H. R. Stennicke, J. M. Jürgensmeier, H. Shin, Q. Deveraux, B. B. Wolf, X. Yang, Q. Zhou, H. M. Ellerby, L. M. Ellerby, D. Bredesen, D. R. Green, J. C. Reed, C. J. Froelich, and G. S. Salvesen. Pro-caspase-3 is a major physiologic target of caspase-8. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 273(42):27084–27090, October 1998.
- [433] Sabrina L. Spencer, Suzanne Gaudet, John G. Albeck, John M. Burke, and Peter K. Sorger. Non-genetic origins of cell-to-cell variability in TRAIL-induced apoptosis. *Nature*, 459(7245):428–432, May 2009.
- [434] S. J. Riedl, M. Renatus, R. Schwarzenbacher, Q. Zhou, C. Sun, S. W. Fesik, R. C. Liddington, and G. S. Salvesen. Structural basis for the inhibition of caspase-3 by XIAP. *Cell*, 104(5):791–800, March 2001.
- [435] P. Li, D. Nijhawan, I. Budihardjo, S. M. Srinivasula, M. Ahmad, E. S. Alnemri, and X. Wang. Cytochrome c and dATP-dependent formation of Apaf-1/caspase-9 complex initiates an apoptotic protease cascade. *Cell*, 91(4):479–489, November 1997.
- [436] B. B. Wolf, M. Schuler, F. Echeverri, and D. R. Green. Caspase-3 is the primary activator of apoptotic DNA fragmentation via DNA fragmentation factor-45/inhibitor of caspase-activated DNase inactivation. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(43):30651–30656, October 1999.