



## wwPDB EM Validation Summary Report ⓘ

May 25, 2024 – 06:36 PM EDT

PDB ID : 7RMF  
EMDB ID : EMD-24566  
Title : Substrate-bound Ura7 filament at low pH  
Authors : Hansen, J.M.; Lynch, E.M.; Farrell, D.P.; DiMaio, F.; Quispe, J.; Kollman, J.M.  
Deposited on : 2021-07-27  
Resolution : 7.30 Å(reported)

This is a wwPDB EM Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/EMValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:


EMDB validation analysis : 0.0.1.dev92  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
MapQ : 1.9.13  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36.2

# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  
*ELECTRON MICROSCOPY*

The reported resolution of this entry is 7.30 Å.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.







Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	EM structures (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	4297
Ramachandran outliers	154571	4023
Sidechain outliers	154315	3826

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the map. The red, orange, yellow and green segments of the bar indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria respectively. A grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ . The upper red bar (where present) indicates the fraction of residues that have poor fit to the EM map (all-atom inclusion  $< 40\%$ ). The numeric value is given above the bar.

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	553	
1	B	553	
1	C	553	
1	D	553	
1	E	553	
1	F	553	
1	G	553	
1	H	553	

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	I	553	 18% 92% 7%
1	J	553	 42% 93% 6%
1	K	553	 18% 92% 7%
1	W	553	 90% 93% 6%

## 2 Entry composition [i](#)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 104544 atoms, of which 52428 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

In the tables below, the AltConf column contains the number of residues with at least one atom in alternate conformation and the Trace column contains the number of residues modelled with at most 2 atoms.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called CTP synthase.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						AltConf	Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S		
1	W	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	B	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	C	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	A	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	J	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	F	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	H	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	D	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	K	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	G	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	I	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0
1	E	553	8712	2766	4369	733	821	23	0	0

There are 228 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
W	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
W	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
W	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
B	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
C	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
C	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
A	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
J	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
J	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
F	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
H	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
H	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
D	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
K	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

*Continued on next page...*



*Continued from previous page...*

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
G	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
G	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
I	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLN	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	ARG	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	LEU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

*Continued on next page...*


*Continued from previous page...*

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
E	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	PRO	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	ILE	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	ASP	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	LYS	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLU	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	THR	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	MET	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	GLY	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1
E	?	-	SER	deletion	UNP A0A7I9CFN1

### 3 Residue-property plots


These plots are drawn for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic for a chain summarises the proportions of the various outlier classes displayed in the second graphic. The second graphic shows the sequence view annotated by issues in geometry and atom inclusion in map density. Residues are color-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. A red diamond above a residue indicates a poor fit to the EM map for this residue (all-atom inclusion < 40%). Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outlier are shown as a green connector. Residues present in the sample, but not in the model, are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: CTP synthase





- Molecule 1: CTP synthase








• Molecule 1: CTP synthase





• Molecule 1: CTP synthase








- Molecule 1: CTP synthase






- Molecule 1: CTP synthase







• Molecule 1: CTP synthase








- Molecule 1: CTP synthase








- Molecule 1: CTP synthase











• Molecule 1: CTP synthase



• Molecule 1: CTP synthase



## 4 Experimental information

Property	Value	Source
EM reconstruction method	SINGLE PARTICLE	Depositor
Imposed symmetry	POINT, Not provided	
Number of particles used	19706	Depositor
Resolution determination method	FSC 0.143 CUT-OFF	Depositor
CTF correction method	PHASE FLIPPING AND AMPLITUDE CORRECTION	Depositor
Microscope	FEI TITAN KRIOS	Depositor
Voltage (kV)	300	Depositor
Electron dose ( $e^-/\text{\AA}^2$ )	90	Depositor
Minimum defocus (nm)	Not provided	
Maximum defocus (nm)	Not provided	
Magnification	Not provided	
Image detector	GATAN K2 SUMMIT (4k x 4k)	Depositor
Maximum map value	0.946	Depositor
Minimum map value	-0.305	Depositor
Average map value	0.010	Depositor
Map value standard deviation	0.058	Depositor
Recommended contour level	0.355	Depositor
Map size (Å)	336.0, 336.0, 336.0	wwPDB
Map dimensions	320, 320, 320	wwPDB
Map angles (°)	90.0, 90.0, 90.0	wwPDB
Pixel spacing (Å)	1.05, 1.05, 1.05	Depositor

## 5 Model quality

### 5.1 Standard geometry

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	# Z  >5	RMSZ	# Z  >5
1	A	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	B	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	C	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	D	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	13/5987 (0.2%)
1	E	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	F	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	G	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	H	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	13/5987 (0.2%)
1	I	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	J	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	K	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
1	W	0.69	1/4428 (0.0%)	1.06	12/5987 (0.2%)
All	All	0.69	12/53136 (0.0%)	1.06	146/71844 (0.2%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	#Chirality outliers	#Planarity outliers
1	A	1	5
1	B	1	5
1	C	1	5
1	D	1	5
1	E	1	5
1	F	1	5
1	G	1	5
1	H	1	5
1	I	1	5
1	J	1	5
1	K	1	5
1	W	1	5
All	All	12	60

The worst 5 of 12 bond length outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)
1	C	285	GLU	CD-OE2	-5.47	1.19	1.25
1	E	285	GLU	CD-OE2	-5.43	1.19	1.25
1	W	285	GLU	CD-OE2	-5.42	1.19	1.25
1	B	285	GLU	CD-OE2	-5.42	1.19	1.25
1	A	285	GLU	CD-OE2	-5.42	1.19	1.25

The worst 5 of 146 bond angle outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)
1	C	67	THR	CA-CB-OG1	8.21	126.25	109.00
1	G	67	THR	CA-CB-OG1	8.21	126.25	109.00
1	W	67	THR	CA-CB-OG1	8.20	126.21	109.00
1	F	67	THR	CA-CB-OG1	8.20	126.21	109.00
1	A	67	THR	CA-CB-OG1	8.19	126.20	109.00

5 of 12 chirality outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom
1	W	22	SER	CA
1	B	22	SER	CA
1	C	22	SER	CA
1	A	22	SER	CA
1	J	22	SER	CA

5 of 60 planarity outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group
1	W	102	ARG	Sidechain
1	W	106	TYR	Sidechain
1	W	263	TYR	Sidechain
1	W	297	PHE	Sidechain
1	W	391	ARG	Sidechain

## 5.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in the chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes within the asymmetric unit, whereas Symm-Clashes lists symmetry-related clashes.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes	Symm-Clashes
1	A	4343	4369	4366	39	0
1	B	4343	4369	4366	33	0
1	C	4343	4369	4366	41	0
1	D	4343	4369	4366	32	0
1	E	4343	4369	4366	34	0
1	F	4343	4369	4366	38	0
1	G	4343	4369	4366	39	0
1	H	4343	4369	4366	33	0
1	I	4343	4369	4366	39	0
1	J	4343	4369	4366	33	0
1	K	4343	4369	4366	42	0
1	W	4343	4369	4366	33	0
All	All	52116	52428	52392	237	0

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

The worst 5 of 237 close contacts within the same asymmetric unit are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Interatomic distance (Å)	Clash overlap (Å)
1:W:233:HIS:NE2	1:A:168:PHE:HZ	1.06	1.54
1:K:233:HIS:NE2	1:E:168:PHE:HZ	1.06	1.50
1:K:168:PHE:HZ	1:E:233:HIS:NE2	1.10	1.45
1:J:233:HIS:NE2	1:D:168:PHE:CZ	1.84	1.44
1:J:233:HIS:NE2	1:D:168:PHE:HZ	1.06	1.44

There are no symmetry-related clashes.

## 5.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 5.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all EM entries.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34 72

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	B	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	C	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	D	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	E	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	F	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	G	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	H	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	I	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	J	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	K	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
1	W	545/553 (99%)	520 (95%)	23 (4%)	2 (0%)	34	72
All	All	6540/6636 (99%)	6240 (95%)	276 (4%)	24 (0%)	38	72

5 of 24 Ramachandran outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	W	433	ILE
1	B	433	ILE
1	C	433	ILE
1	A	433	ILE
1	J	433	ILE

### 5.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all EM entries.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	B	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	C	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	D	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	E	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	F	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	G	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	H	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	I	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	J	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	K	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
1	W	479/479 (100%)	466 (97%)	13 (3%)	44	65
All	All	5748/5748 (100%)	5592 (97%)	156 (3%)	48	65

5 of 156 residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	K	474	LYS
1	E	2	LYS
1	G	21	SER
1	I	2	LYS
1	E	193	LYS

Sometimes sidechains can be flipped to improve hydrogen bonding and reduce clashes. 5 of 8 such sidechains are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	E	352	GLN
1	K	352	GLN
1	H	352	GLN
1	J	352	GLN
1	D	352	GLN

### 5.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 5.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 5.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 5.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 5.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such residues in this entry.

## 5.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

The following chains have linkage breaks:

Mol	Chain	Number of breaks
1	W	3
1	B	3
1	C	3
1	A	3
1	J	3
1	F	3
1	H	3
1	D	3
1	K	3
1	G	3
1	I	3
1	E	3

The worst 5 of 36 chain breaks are listed below:

Model	Chain	Residue-1	Atom-1	Residue-2	Atom-2	Distance (Å)
1	W	299:GLU	C	303:THR	N	15.09
1	B	299:GLU	C	303:THR	N	15.09
1	C	299:GLU	C	303:THR	N	15.09
1	A	299:GLU	C	303:THR	N	15.09
1	J	299:GLU	C	303:THR	N	15.09



## 6 Map visualisation [i](#)

This section contains visualisations of the EMDB entry EMD-24566. These allow visual inspection of the internal detail of the map and identification of artifacts.

No raw map or half-maps were deposited for this entry and therefore no images, graphs, etc. pertaining to the raw map can be shown.

### 6.1 Orthogonal projections [i](#)


#### 6.1.1 Primary map



X



Y



Z


The images above show the map projected in three orthogonal directions.

### 6.2 Central slices [i](#)


#### 6.2.1 Primary map



X Index: 160



Y Index: 160




Z Index: 160

The images above show central slices of the map in three orthogonal directions.

## 6.3 Largest variance slices [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Primary map



X Index: 147



Y Index: 171




Z Index: 181


The images above show the largest variance slices of the map in three orthogonal directions.

## 6.4 Orthogonal standard-deviation projections (False-color) [i](#)


### 6.4.1 Primary map



X



Y




Z

The images above show the map standard deviation projections with false color in three orthogonal directions. Minimum values are shown in green, max in blue, and dark to light orange shades represent small to large values respectively.

## 6.5 Orthogonal surface views [i](#)

### 6.5.1 Primary map



The images above show the 3D surface view of the map at the recommended contour level 0.355. These images, in conjunction with the slice images, may facilitate assessment of whether an appropriate contour level has been provided.


## 6.6 Mask visualisation [i](#)

This section was not generated. No masks/segmentation were deposited.

## 7 Map analysis [i](#)


This section contains the results of statistical analysis of the map.

### 7.1 Map-value distribution [i](#)



The map-value distribution is plotted in 128 intervals along the x-axis. The y-axis is logarithmic. A spike in this graph at zero usually indicates that the volume has been masked.


## 7.2 Volume estimate [i](#)



The volume at the recommended contour level is 332 nm<sup>3</sup>; this corresponds to an approximate mass of 300 kDa.

The volume estimate graph shows how the enclosed volume varies with the contour level. The recommended contour level is shown as a vertical line and the intersection between the line and the curve gives the volume of the enclosed surface at the given level.

### 7.3 Rotationally averaged power spectrum [i](#)



\*Reported resolution corresponds to spatial frequency of  $0.137 \text{\AA}^{-1}$


## 8 Fourier-Shell correlation

This section was not generated. No FSC curve or half-maps provided.

## 9 Map-model fit [i](#)

This section contains information regarding the fit between EMDB map EMD-24566 and PDB model 7RMF. Per-residue inclusion information can be found in section [3](#) on page [11](#).


### 9.1 Map-model overlay [i](#)



The images above show the 3D surface view of the map at the recommended contour level 0.355 at 50% transparency in yellow overlaid with a ribbon representation of the model coloured in blue. These images allow for the visual assessment of the quality of fit between the atomic model and the map.




## 9.2 Q-score mapped to coordinate model [i](#)




The images above show the model with each residue coloured according to its Q-score. This shows their resolvability in the map with higher Q-score values reflecting better resolvability. Please note: Q-score is calculating the resolvability of atoms, and thus high values are only expected at resolutions at which atoms can be resolved. Low Q-score values may therefore be expected for many entries.

## 9.3 Atom inclusion mapped to coordinate model [i](#)



The images above show the model with each residue coloured according to its atom inclusion. This shows to what extent they are inside the map at the recommended contour level (0.355).



























## 9.4 Atom inclusion [i](#)



At the recommended contour level, 38% of all backbone atoms, 39% of all non-hydrogen atoms, are inside the map.

## 9.5 Map-model fit summary

The table lists the average atom inclusion at the recommended contour level (0.355) and Q-score for the entire model and for each chain.

Chain	Atom inclusion	Q-score
All	 0.3890	 0.0990
A	 0.4620	 0.1110
B	 0.0890	 0.0510
C	 0.4610	 0.1130
D	 0.0880	 0.0500
E	 0.6230	 0.1340
F	 0.4620	 0.1120
G	 0.6210	 0.1340
H	 0.0890	 0.0530
I	 0.6210	 0.1330
J	 0.4640	 0.1130
K	 0.6200	 0.1340
W	 0.0890	 0.0480

