

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 09:00 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2LQI BMRB ID : 18315

Title: NMR structure of FOXO3a transactivation domains (CR2C-CR3) in complex

with CBP KIX domain (213b conformation)

Authors: Wang, F.; Marshall, C.B.; Yamamoto, K.; Li, G.B.; Gasmi-Seabrook, G.M.C.;

Okada, H.; Mak, T.W.; Ikura, M.

Deposited on : 2012-03-06

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 14%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$rac{ m NMR~archive}{ m (\#Entries)}$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	A	87		62%		26%	•	8%
2	В	52	21%	6%	73	3%		



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model			
1	A:4-A:83, B:114-B:118,	0.57	8			
	B:141-B:149 (94)					

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 6 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	4, 6, 8, 20
2	5, 12, 15
3	9, 11, 19
4	1, 7
5	14, 16
Single-model clusters	2; 3; 10; 13; 17; 18



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2146 atoms, of which 1058 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called CREB-binding protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
1	Λ	07	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
	A	01	1463	459	735	136	131	2	0

• Molecule 2 is a protein called Forkhead box O3.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
9	B	52	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
2 B	52	683	208	323	62	86	4	U	




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: CREB-binding protein



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 8. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: CREB-binding protein





Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	
CYANA	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	325
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	325
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	14%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.3
All	All	0	2

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	15	ARG	Sidechain	1
1	A	39	ARG	Sidechain	1

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	670	666	666	17±2
2	В	110	115	115	1±1
All	All	15600	15620	15620	338

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 11.

5 of 95 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	${f Models}$	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:40:MET:SD	1:A:44:VAL:HB	0.73	2.22	4	15
1:A:38:ARG:HD2	1:A:39:ARG:N	0.67	2.04	16	2
1:A:35:LEU:HA	1:A:40:MET:HE3	0.64	1.68	10	1
1:A:77:LYS:HB3	1:A:77:LYS:NZ	0.64	2.08	17	2
1:A:18:LEU:HD23	1:A:68:LEU:HD21	0.63	1.71	13	19

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured Allowed		Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	80/87 (92%)	79±1 (99±1%)	1±1 (1±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
2	В	$14/52\ (27\%)$	13±0 (92±4%)	1±1 (5±5%)	0±0 (3±3%)	7	41
All	All	1880/2780 (68%)	1837 (98%)	35 (2%)	8 (0%)	38	78

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	В	142	GLU	8

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	71/77~(92%)	60±2 (85±3%)	11±2 (15±3%)	6 43
2	В	14/40~(35%)	14±1 (97±4%)	0±1 (3±4%)	45 89
All	All	1700/2340~(73%)	1475 (87%)	225 (13%)	7 48

5 of 32 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	54	MET	20
1	A	61	ARG	20
1	A	64	TYR	20
1	A	82	LYS	20
1	A	71	LYS	19

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 14% for the well-defined parts and 18% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	325
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	325
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	29	2.09 ± 0.10	Should be checked
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	29	3.04 ± 0.09	Should be checked
¹³ C′	27	2.37 ± 0.47	Should be applied
^{15}N	27	-0.26 ± 0.22	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 14%, i.e. 183 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1353. 0 out of 16 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	69/466~(15%)	28/187 (15%)	27/188 (14%)	14/91 (15%)
Sidechain	114/785~(15%)	78/507 (15%)	36/246 (15%)	0/32 (0%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	0/102 (0%)	0/51 (0%)	0/45~(0%)	0/6 (0%)
Overall	183/1353 (14%)	106/745~(14%)	63/479 (13%)	14/129 (11%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	2994
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	628
Sequential (i-j =1)	754
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	923
Long range (i-j ≥5)	471
Inter-chain	100
Hydrogen bond restraints	118
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	198
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	23.0
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.4

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	18.7	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	5.8	0.49
>0.5 (Large)	24.4	4.23



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	5.4	5.2
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Donatore in the desire	C t	% ¹	Vic	${f Violated^3}$			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Restraints type	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$	
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	628	21.0	18	2.9	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Backbone	3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Sidechain	487	16.3	17	3.5	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	
Sidechain-Sidechain	138	4.6	1	0.7	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Sequential (i-j =1)	754	25.2	36	4.8	1.2	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Backbone	136	4.5	3	2.2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Sidechain	447	14.9	18	4.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	
Sidechain-Sidechain	171	5.7	15	8.8	0.5	0	0.0	0.0	
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	923	30.8	47	5.1	1.6	7	0.8	0.2	
Backbone-Backbone	236	7.9	2	0.8	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Sidechain	420	14.0	22	5.2	0.7	4	1.0	0.1	
Sidechain-Sidechain	267	8.9	23	8.6	0.8	3	1.1	0.1	
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	471	15.7	45	9.6	1.5	1	0.2	0.0	
Backbone-Backbone	12	0.4	2	16.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Sidechain	191	6.4	11	5.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	
Sidechain-Sidechain	268	9.0	32	11.9	1.1	1	0.4	0.0	
Inter-chain	100	3.3	14	14.0	0.5	1	1.0	0.0	
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Sidechain	7	0.2	1	14.3	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Sidechain-Sidechain	93	3.1	13	14.0	0.4	1	1.1	0.0	
Hydrogen bond	118	3.9	4	3.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	2994	100.0	164	5.5	5.5	9	0.3	0.3	
Backbone-Backbone	505	16.9	11	2.2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	
Backbone-Sidechain	1552	51.8	69	4.4	2.3	4	0.3	0.1	
Sidechain-Sidechain	937	31.3	84	9.0	2.8	5	0.5	0.2	

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	M (Å)	M (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
1	6	6	16	20	3	51	0.86	3.69	0.89	0.34
2	4	6	15	8	5	38	0.74	2.63	0.84	0.18
3	3	9	19	14	2	47	1.07	4.2	1.06	0.85
4	5	8	18	10	3	44	1.14	4.19	1.08	0.88
5	3	11	21	10	4	49	1.02	4.16	1.06	0.78
6	7	9	25	20	4	65	1.01	4.17	1.04	0.7
7	3	9	22	12	3	49	1.04	4.23	1.08	0.71
8	7	12	20	11	3	53	0.96	4.17	1.02	0.35
9	4	12	19	13	3	51	1.01	4.18	1.08	0.58
10	4	9	22	15	3	53	0.97	4.18	1.06	0.34
11	2	7	21	9	2	41	0.64	2.63	0.75	0.21

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	3	4	18	13	4	42	0.65	2.56	0.74	0.2
13	5	6	17	12	2	42	0.67	2.65	0.8	0.17
14	4	12	21	10	3	50	1.03	4.19	1.06	0.74
15	5	6	18	9	3	41	0.98	4.16	1.17	0.25
16	5	13	21	12	2	53	0.98	4.15	1.04	0.71
17	4	9	20	13	2	48	1.07	4.1	1.07	0.68
18	5	8	18	14	3	48	1.05	4.18	1.08	0.72
19	6	11	21	20	3	61	1.0	4.15	1.0	0.64
20	4	10	23	11	3	51	1.02	4.14	1.08	0.69

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 2716(IR:610, SQ:718, MR:876, LR:426, IC:86) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
8	19	16	10	9	62	1	5.0
2	4	5	5	1	17	2	10.0
3	3	3	12	1	22	3	15.0
1	1	2	1	0	5	4	20.0
0	1	1	3	0	5	5	25.0
0	0	1	2	0	3	6	30.0
0	0	0	2	0	2	7	35.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
1	0	1	1	0	3	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	12	60.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	14	70.0
1	3	6	4	0	14	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	17	85.0
0	0	2	1	0	3	18	90.0
2	3	2	2	0	9	19	95.0
0	0	7	1	1	9	20	100.0

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	20	3.17	1.73	4.15
(2,2129)	1:A:65:TYR:HE2	1:A:69:ALA:H	20	2.56	0.08	2.58
(2,2030)	1:A:62:ASP:HB2	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	20	2.4	0.33	2.51
(2,2030)	1:A:62:ASP:HB3	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	20	2.4	0.33	2.51
(2,2038)	1:A:62:ASP:HA	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	2.37	0.2	2.44
(2,1984)	1:A:61:ARG:HG2	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	20	2.21	0.22	2.13
(2,2028)	1:A:62:ASP:HA	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	20	2.07	0.19	2.11
(2,2119)	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	2:B:137:LEU:HD11	20	1.11	0.46	1.0
(2,2119)	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	2:B:137:LEU:HD12	20	1.11	0.46	1.0
(2,2119)	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	2:B:137:LEU:HD13	20	1.11	0.46	1.0
(2,2119)	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	2:B:137:LEU:HD21	20	1.11	0.46	1.0
(2,2119)	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	2:B:137:LEU:HD22	20	1.11	0.46	1.0
(2,2119)	1:A:65:TYR:HD1	2:B:137:LEU:HD23	20	1.11	0.46	1.0
(2,427)	1:A:14:LEU:HD11	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	0.51	0.15	0.54
(2,427)	1:A:14:LEU:HD12	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	0.51	0.15	0.54
(2,427)	1:A:14:LEU:HD13	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	0.51	0.15	0.54

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,427)	1:A:14:LEU:HD21	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	0.51	0.15	0.54
(2,427)	1:A:14:LEU:HD22	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	0.51	0.15	0.54
(2,427)	1:A:14:LEU:HD23	1:A:65:TYR:HE1	20	0.51	0.15	0.54
(2,1543)	1:A:46:TYR:HA	1:A:49:LYS:HB2	20	0.26	0.01	0.26
(2,1451)	1:A:43:LEU:HA	1:A:46:TYR:HD2	19	1.87	0.18	1.85

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	7	4.23
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	3	4.2
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	14	4.19
(2,2012)	1:A:61:ARG:HD3	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	4	4.19
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	9	4.18
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	10	4.18
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	18	4.18
(2,2018)	1:A:61:ARG:HD2	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	8	4.17
(2,2012)	1:A:61:ARG:HD3	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	6	4.17
(2,2012)	1:A:61:ARG:HD3	1:A:64:TYR:HD1	18	4.17



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vie	${f Violated}^3$			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	
PSI	99	50.0	19	19.2	9.6	0	0.0	0.0	
PHI	99	50.0	13	13.1	6.6	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	198	100.0	32	16.2	16.2	0	0.0	0.0	

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Nun	nber o	of violations	Mean (°)	Mov (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Wiodei 1D	PSI	PHI	Total	Mean ()	$\mathbf{Max} (^{\circ})$	\mathbf{SD} (°)	Median ()
1	3	2	5	1.68	2.0	0.32	1.9
2	5	1	6	1.43	2.0	0.31	1.4
3	2	4	6	1.97	2.9	0.75	1.9
4	4	5	9	1.23	1.5	0.15	1.2
5	2	5	7	1.6	2.4	0.43	1.3
6	2	3	5	2.44	3.8	1.02	2.0
7	2	1	3	1.83	2.0	0.12	1.8
8	2	4	6	1.52	3.0	0.67	1.25
9	2	4	6	1.62	2.4	0.51	1.45
10	2	3	5	1.32	1.6	0.19	1.4
11	1	2	3	2.0	3.3	0.93	1.5
12	3	2	5	1.58	2.1	0.44	1.4
13	2	1	3	3.03	3.5	0.37	3.0
14	2	1	3	1.93	2.3	0.33	2.0
15	4	5	9	1.53	2.4	0.37	1.4
16	4	3	7	2.0	3.0	0.49	1.8
17	1	2	3	1.77	2.8	0.73	1.3
18	4	3	7	1.93	3.1	0.57	1.7
19	1	2	3	1.13	1.2	0.05	1.1
20	3	4	7	2.11	5.2	1.3	1.6



10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PSI	PHI	Total	Count ¹	%
8	4	12	1	5.0
5	2	7	2	10.0
2	0	2	3	15.0
3	3	6	4	20.0
0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	7	35.0
0	1	1	8	40.0
0	2	2	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	1	1	11	55.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PSI	PHI	Total	Count ¹	%
0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	14	70.0
1	0	1	15	75.0
0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	20	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}^2$	Median
(1,148)	1:A:84:ARG:N	1:A:84:ARG:CA	1:A:84:ARG:C	1:A:85:SER:N	15	2.01	0.62	2.0
(1,183)	2:B:140:ASP:C	2:B:141:MET:N	2:B:141:MET:CA	2:B:141:MET:C	11	1.85	0.59	1.7
(1,55)	1:A:35:LEU:C	1:A:36:LYS:N	1:A:36:LYS:CA	1:A:36:LYS:C	9	2.2	1.4	1.3
(1,145)	1:A:82:LYS:C	1:A:83:ARG:N	1:A:83:ARG:CA	1:A:83:ARG:C	9	1.26	0.14	1.2
(1,195)	2:B:147:SER:C	2:B:148:GLU:N	2:B:148:GLU:CA	2:B:148:GLU:C	8	1.61	0.39	1.55
(1,184)	2:B:141:MET:N	2:B:141:MET:CA	2:B:141:MET:C	2:B:142:GLU:N	4	2.1	0.55	1.95
(1,22)	1:A:14:LEU:N	1:A:14:LEU:CA	1:A:14:LEU:C	1:A:15:ARG:N	4	2.08	0.68	2.2
(1,182)	2:B:139:CYS:N	2:B:139:CYS:CA	2:B:139:CYS:C	2:B:140:ASP:N	4	1.72	0.53	1.75
(1,177)	2:B:136:SER:C	2:B:137:LEU:N	2:B:137:LEU:CA	2:B:137:LEU:C	4	1.5	0.35	1.35
(1,43)	1:A:24:GLN:C	1:A:25:ALA:N	1:A:25:ALA:CA	1:A:25:ALA:C	4	1.32	0.28	1.2


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,55)	1:A:35:LEU:C	1:A:36:LYS:N	1:A:36:LYS:CA	1:A:36:LYS:C	20	5.2
(1,176)	2:B:121:SER:N	2:B:121:SER:CA	2:B:121:SER:C	2:B:122:HIS:N	6	3.8
(1,55)	1:A:35:LEU:C	1:A:36:LYS:N	1:A:36:LYS:CA	1:A:36:LYS:C	6	3.5
(1,55)	1:A:35:LEU:C	1:A:36:LYS:N	1:A:36:LYS:CA	1:A:36:LYS:C	13	3.5
(1,148)	1:A:84:ARG:N	1:A:84:ARG:CA	1:A:84:ARG:C	1:A:85:SER:N	11	3.3
(1,179)	2:B:137:LEU:C	2:B:138:GLU:N	2:B:138:GLU:CA	2:B:138:GLU:C	18	3.1
(1,184)	2:B:141:MET:N	2:B:141:MET:CA	2:B:141:MET:C	2:B:142:GLU:N	16	3.0
(1,183)	2:B:140:ASP:C	2:B:141:MET:N	2:B:141:MET:CA	2:B:141:MET:C	8	3.0
(1,148)	1:A:84:ARG:N	1:A:84:ARG:CA	1:A:84:ARG:C	1:A:85:SER:N	13	3.0
(1,180)	2:B:138:GLU:N	2:B:138:GLU:CA	2:B:138:GLU:C	2:B:139:CYS:N	3	2.9

