W O R L D W I D E

wwPDB

P R O T E I N   D A T A   B A N K

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 3, 2023 – 05:37 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2MPN
BMRB ID	:	19994
Title	:	3D NMR structure of the transmembrane domain of the full-length inner membrane protein YgaP from Escherichia coli
Authors	:	Eichmann, C.; Tzitzilonis, C.; Bordignon, E.; Maslennikov, I.; Choe, S.; Riek, R.
Deposited on	:	2014-05-29

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

|		
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance ⓘ

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 19%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	Percentile Ranks	Value
Clashscore		18
Ramachandran outliers		2.0%
Sidechain outliers		27.4%

Worse   *Better*

▮ Percentile relative to all structures

▯ Percentile relative to all NMR structures

Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	68	41% 37% • 19%
1	B	68	44% 34% • 19%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis ⓘ

This entry contains 10 models. Model 3 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *fewest violations*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:112-A:166, B:112-B:166 (110)	0.29	3

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 8, 9
2	1, 5, 7
3	2, 4
Single-model clusters	6; 10

3 Entry composition ⓘ

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1926 atoms, of which 920 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Inner membrane protein YgaP.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	68	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			963	331	460	86	83	3	
1	B	68	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			963	331	460	86	83	3	

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

| Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	158	SER	CYS	conflict	UNP P55734
B	158	SER	CYS	conflict	UNP P55734

4   Residue-property plots ⓘ

4.1   Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP

Chain A:

• Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP

Chain B:

4.2   Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 3. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP

Chain A:

• Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP

Chain B:

5   Refinement protocol and experimental data overview ⓘ

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing.*

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	371
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	330
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	41
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	19%

6 Model quality ⓘ

6.1 Standard geometry ⓘ

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts ⓘ

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	395	377	421	21±5
1	B	395	377	421	20±5
All	All	7900	7540	8420	286

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 18.

5 of 116 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models Worst	Total
1:A:128:VAL:HG12	1:B:128:VAL:HG12	0.92	1.39	10	2
1:A:128:VAL:HG11	1:B:129:VAL:HG22	0.73	1.59	8	6
1:A:129:VAL:HG22	1:B:128:VAL:HG11	0.73	1.60	6	6
1:B:125:LEU:HD13	1:B:154:ILE:HG13	0.65	1.68	6	2
1:A:124:ILE:HG23	1:B:150:LEU:HD22	0.64	1.69	6	5

6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR

entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	55/68 (81%)	50±1 (90±2%)	4±1 (8±2%)	1±0 (2±1%)	11	52
1	B	55/68 (81%)	49±1 (90±2%)	5±1 (8±1%)	1±0 (2±1%)	11	52
All	All	1100/1360 (81%)	990 (90%)	88 (8%)	22 (2%)	11	52

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	140	LEU	10
1	B	140	LEU	10
1	A	141	LEU	1
1	B	141	LEU	1

6.3.2   Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	39/51 (76%)	28±1 (73±4%)	11±1 (27±4%)	2	21
1	B	39/51 (76%)	28±1 (73±3%)	11±1 (27±3%)	2	21
All	All	780/1020 (76%)	566 (73%)	214 (27%)	2	21

5 of 48 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	136	SER	10
1	A	141	LEU	10
1	B	136	SER	10
1	B	141	LEU	10
1	B	164	LEU	10

6.3.3   RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

WORLDWIDE
wwPDB
PROTEIN DATA BANK

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains ⓘ

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates ⓘ

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry ⓘ

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers ⓘ

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues ⓘ

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation ⓘ

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 19% for the well-defined parts and 20% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_ chem_ shift_ list_ 1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping ⓘ

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	371
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	330
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	41
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	11

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 41) occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	106	LYS	HB2	1.658	.	.
1	A	107	SER	HB2	3.826	.	.
1	A	108	GLN	HB2	2.007	.	.
1	A	108	GLN	HG2	2.322	.	.
1	A	109	PRO	HB2	2.213	.	.
1	A	109	PRO	HG2	1.922	.	.
1	A	109	PRO	HD2	3.712	.	.
1	A	110	LEU	HB2	1.797	.	.
1	A	111	PRO	HB2	2.337	.	.
1	A	112	LEU	HB2	1.808	.	.
1	A	113	MET	HB2	2.251	.	.
1	A	113	MET	HG2	2.528	.	.
1	A	114	ARG	HB2	1.834	.	.
1	A	117	GLN	HB2	1.994	.	.

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	118	ILE	HG12	1.236	.	.
1	A	132	TYR	HB2	2.744	.	.
1	A	142	SER	HB2	3.895	.	.
1	A	144	PHE	HB2	3.114	.	.
1	A	150	LEU	HB2	1.483	.	.
1	A	151	PHE	HB2	3.126	.	.
1	A	154	ILE	HG12	1.437	.	.
1	A	155	SER	HB2	3.659	.	.
1	A	157	PHE	HB2	3.083	.	.
1	A	158	SER	HB2	3.86	.	.
1	A	162	ARG	HB2	1.813	.	.
1	A	162	ARG	HG2	1.663	.	.
1	A	164	LEU	HB2	1.792	.	.
1	A	165	ASP	HB2	2.697	.	.
1	A	166	LYS	HB2	1.882	.	.
1	A	166	LYS	HG2	1.399	.	.
1	A	166	LYS	HD2	1.737	.	.
1	A	167	MET	HG2	2.491	.	.
1	A	168	PRO	HG2	1.961	.	.
1	A	168	PRO	HD2	3.614	.	.
1	A	169	TRP	HB2	3.289	.	.
1	A	170	ASN	HB2	2.796	.	.
1	A	171	GLN	HB2	1.854	.	.
1	A	171	GLN	HG2	2.385	.	.
1	A	172	ARG	HB2	1.771	.	.
1	A	172	ARG	HG2	1.657	.	.
1	A	172	ARG	HD2	3.223	.	.

7.1.2   Chemical shift referencing ⓘ

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	1	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{13}C'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	58	0.42 ± 0.84	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments ⓘ

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 19%, i.e. 275 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1466. 0 out of 30 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	134/572 (23%)	86/242 (36%)	0/220 (0%)	48/110 (44%)
Sidechain	138/776 (18%)	134/524 (26%)	4/232 (2%)	0/20 (0%)
Aromatic	3/118 (3%)	3/58 (5%)	0/60 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	275/1466 (19%)	223/824 (27%)	4/512 (1%)	48/130 (37%)

### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	169	TRP	CH2	33.77	116.19 − 131.43	-59.1
1	A	169	TRP	CD1	37.73	117.34 − 135.80	-48.1
1	A	169	TRP	CZ2	54.04	107.20 − 121.33	-42.6
1	A	169	TRP	CZ3	59.39	113.48 − 129.28	-39.2
1	A	169	TRP	CE3	59.39	111.58 − 129.41	-34.3
1	A	169	TRP	NE1	58.93	118.53 − 139.98	-32.8
1	A	113	MET	CG	61.49	25.46 − 38.60	22.4
1	A	110	LEU	CD2	54.74	15.73 − 32.47	18.3
1	A	167	MET	CE	47.52	8.39 − 25.85	17.4
1	A	113	MET	CE	46.35	8.39 − 25.85	16.7
1	A	160	MET	CE	46.35	8.39 − 25.85	16.7

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

8 NMR restraints analysis ⓘ

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	711
Intra-residue ($|i-j|=0$)	138
Sequential ($|i-j|=1$)	196
Medium range ($|i-j|>1$ and $|i-j|<5$)	148
Long range ($|i-j|\geq 5$)	46
Inter-chain	23
Hydrogen bond restraints	160
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	139
Number of restraints per residue	5.2
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	0.3

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations ⓘ

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model ⓘ

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	33.8	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	30.7	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	86.4	7.03

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model ⓘ

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.There are no dihedral-angle violations

9 Distance violation analysis ⓘ

9.1 Summary of distance violations ⓘ

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	138	19.4	11	8.0	1.5	5	3.6	0.7
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	136	19.1	11	8.1	1.5	5	3.7	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	196	27.6	31	15.8	4.4	15	7.7	2.1
Backbone-Backbone	82	11.5	12	14.6	1.7	4	4.9	0.6
Backbone-Sidechain	108	15.2	19	17.6	2.7	11	10.2	1.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	0.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	148	20.8	41	27.7	5.8	24	16.2	3.4
Backbone-Backbone	86	12.1	27	31.4	3.8	20	23.3	2.8
Backbone-Sidechain	60	8.4	14	23.3	2.0	4	6.7	0.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	46	6.5	13	28.3	1.8	2	4.3	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	22	3.1	10	45.5	1.4	2	9.1	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	24	3.4	3	12.5	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	23	3.2	4	17.4	0.6	2	8.7	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	10	1.4	3	30.0	0.4	2	20.0	0.3
Backbone-Sidechain	13	1.8	1	7.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	160	22.5	80	50.0	11.3	80	50.0	11.3
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	711	100.0	180	25.3	25.3	128	18.0	18.0
Backbone-Backbone	338	47.5	122	36.1	17.2	106	31.4	14.9
Backbone-Sidechain	339	47.7	55	16.2	7.7	22	6.5	3.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	34	4.8	3	8.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations ⓘ

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	9	22	111	6	3	151	3.45	6.98	3.04	4.28
2	9	26	110	4	2	151	3.45	6.94	3.03	4.37
3	9	28	110	6	3	156	3.35	6.98	3.04	4.14
4	9	24	112	5	2	152	3.43	6.87	3.03	4.33
5	7	22	111	4	3	147	3.54	6.93	3.02	4.61
6	7	22	114	3	2	148	3.5	6.93	3.04	4.65
7	7	25	111	5	3	151	3.44	6.98	3.04	4.37
8	6	23	111	10	3	153	3.42	6.94	3.03	4.34
9	6	23	109	6	3	147	3.55	6.92	3.01	4.6
10	7	22	112	9	3	153	3.42	7.03	3.05	4.63

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints,

[5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1   Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3   Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 451(IR:127, SQ:165, MR:107, LR:33, IC:19) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
0	1	5	4	0	10	1	10.0
4	2	2	1	1	10	2	20.0
0	2	3	3	0	8	3	30.0
0	3	0	0	0	3	4	40.0

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
0	1	1	1	1	4	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	60.0
0	0	2	0	0	2	7	70.0
0	4	2	0	0	6	8	80.0
2	3	2	2	0	9	9	90.0
5	15	24	2	2	48	10	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

9.3.1   Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

9.4   Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble ⓘ

9.4.1   Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble

9.4.2   Table: Most violated distance restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(4,1)	1:A:118:ILE:O	1:A:114:ARG:N	10	6.94	0.05	6.93
(4,41)	1:B:118:ILE:O	1:B:114:ARG:N	10	6.94	0.04	6.93
(4,65)	1:B:152:ALA:O	1:B:148:GLY:N	10	6.84	0.02	6.84
(4,10)	1:A:127:GLY:O	1:A:123:LEU:N	10	6.84	0.03	6.84
(4,25)	1:A:152:ALA:O	1:A:148:GLY:N	10	6.83	0.04	6.84
(4,50)	1:B:127:GLY:O	1:B:123:LEU:N	10	6.83	0.04	6.83
(4,69)	1:B:156:GLY:O	1:B:152:ALA:N	10	6.82	0.03	6.82
(4,29)	1:A:156:GLY:O	1:A:152:ALA:N	10	6.82	0.04	6.82
(4,14)	1:A:131:GLY:O	1:A:127:GLY:N	10	6.77	0.06	6.8
(4,73)	1:B:160:MET:O	1:B:156:GLY:N	10	6.77	0.05	6.76

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5　All violated distance restraints ⓘ

9.5.1　Histogram : Distribution of distance violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

9.5.2　Table : All distance violations ⓘ

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(4,1)	1:A:118:ILE:O	1:A:114:ARG:N	10	7.03
(4,41)	1:B:118:ILE:O	1:B:114:ARG:N	10	7.01
(4,41)	1:B:118:ILE:O	1:B:114:ARG:N	3	6.98
(4,1)	1:A:118:ILE:O	1:A:114:ARG:N	1	6.98
(4,1)	1:A:118:ILE:O	1:A:114:ARG:N	7	6.98
(4,41)	1:B:118:ILE:O	1:B:114:ARG:N	7	6.96
(4,1)	1:A:118:ILE:O	1:A:114:ARG:N	3	6.96
(4,41)	1:B:118:ILE:O	1:B:114:ARG:N	8	6.94
(4,1)	1:A:118:ILE:O	1:A:114:ARG:N	2	6.94
(4,41)	1:B:118:ILE:O	1:B:114:ARG:N	1	6.93

10   Dihedral-angle violation analysis ⓘ

No dihedral-angle restraints found