

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Apr 21, 2024 – 12:57 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2RUY
BMRB ID	:	11478
Title	:	Solution structures of the DNA-binding domain (ZF10) of immune-related zinc-finger protein ZFAT
Authors Deposited on		Tochio, N.; Umehara, T.; Kigawa, T.; Yokoyama, S. 2015-01-26

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:


wwPDB-RCI	: :	$4.02b-467$ 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019) v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005) Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker Ideal geometry (proteins) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	Engh & Huber (2001) Parkinson et al. (1996)

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 84%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	(#Entries)	(# Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	А	37	62%	•	35%		



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 13 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mode					
1	A:10-A:33 (24)	0.33	13		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
2	1, 3, 9, 11
3	2, 5, 6
Single-model clusters	10



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 571 atoms, of which 281 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Zinc finger protein ZFAT.

Mol	Chain	Residues		A	Atom	s			Trace
1	۸	27	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	S	0
	A	57	570	177	281	55	54	3	0

There are 7 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243
A	2	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243
А	3	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243
A	4	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243
А	5	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243
А	6	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243
А	7	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q9P243

• Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
2	Λ	1	Total Zn
	А	1	1 1



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	62%	•	35%
12 12 12 12 12 13 12 13 13 14 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14			

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT





4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	59%	5%	35%
61 82 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	8334 N35 L37		

4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	62%	·	35%
12 15 15 15 12 12	834 136 136		

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	62%	•	35%
, 1 1 2 2 − 0 0 0 7 7 0 0 7 7 0 0 7 7 0 0 7 7 7 0 0 7 7 7 0 0 7			

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT
Chain A: 57% 8% 35%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	62%	•	35%
61 82 85 85 85 86 85 85 85 85 12 1 121	N 334 1 36 1 37		

4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:		59%	5%	35%
5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	121 K22 S34 N35 I36 L37			

4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	62%	•	35%
12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1			

4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	57%	8%	35%
C H C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	120 121 83 136 136 136		



4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:		62%	•	35%	
G C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	T21 334 N35 136 L37				

4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:		59%	5%	35%
G1 S2 S5 S5 S6 H G T H 8 L 9	121 R27 S34 N35 L37 L37			

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	(62%	•	35%
0 0 7 0 0 7 0 0	37			

4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	65%	35%
61 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	834 N35 L136 L137	

4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:			59%	 5%	35%
G1 S2 S5 C4 C4 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5	T21 R27	S34 N35 I36 L37			

4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	62%	·	35%
11 12 13 14 14 15 14 15 16 16 18 12 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	834 136 137 137		

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein ZFAT

Chain A:	65%	35%
G1 S5 S5 C5 S5 C1 C1 S5 C1 S5 C1 C1 S5 C1 C1 S5 C1 C1 S5 C1 C1 S5 C1 C1 S5 C1 S5 C1 S5 C1 S5 C1 S5 C1 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C2 S5 C5 S5 S5 C5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5 S5	N35 136 136	



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *DGSA-distance geometry simulated annealing.*

Of the 20 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
Amber	structure solution	
Amber	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	373
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	373
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	84%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles		
		RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$0.75 {\pm} 0.00$	$0{\pm}0/210~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$0.90{\pm}0.03$	$0{\pm}0/282~(~0.1{\pm}~0.1\%)$	
All	All	0.75	0/4200 ($0.0%$)	0.91	3/5640 ($0.1%$)	

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Ζ	$Observed(^{o})$	$\operatorname{Ideal}(^{o})$	Moo Worst	dels Total
1	А	27	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.11	123.35	120.30	17	3

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	4100	3980	3980	-

The all-atom clash score is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clash score for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	А	24/37~(65%)	23 ± 0 (96 $\pm1\%$)	0±0 (0±1%)	1±0 (4±1%)		5	33
All	All	480/740~(65%)	460 (96%)	2~(0%)	18 (4%)		5	33

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	21	THR	18

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	24/34~(71%)	24 ± 1 (99 $\pm3\%$)	$0\pm1~(1\pm3\%)$	66 95
All	All	480/680 (71%)	473 (99%)	7 (1%)	66 95

All 3 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	20	ILE	4
1	А	22	LYS	2
1	А	19	SER	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 1 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 84% for the well-defined parts and 77% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	373
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	373
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	3

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	30	-0.61 ± 0.28	Should be checked
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	29	0.13 ± 0.27	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	27	0.08 ± 0.18	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
¹⁵ N	25	-0.46 ± 0.98	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 287 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 343. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Backbone	104/120~(87%)	42/48~(88%)	43/48~(90%)	19/24~(79%)
Sidechain	149/175~(85%)	100/113~(88%)	47/53~(89%)	2/9~(22%)

Continued on next page...



	Total	1 H	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$	
Aromatic	34/48~(71%)	$17/24 \ (71\%)$	17/21 (81%)	0/3~(0%)	
Overall	287/343~(84%)	159/185~(86%)	107/122~(88%)	21/36~(58%)	

Continued from previous page...

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 77%, i.e. 373 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 482. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Backbone	137/188~(73%)	55/77~(71%)	57/74~(77%)	25/37~(68%)
Sidechain	200/239~(84%)	135/156~(87%)	62/73~(85%)	3/10~(30%)
Aromatic	36/55~(65%)	18/28~(64%)	18/23~(78%)	0/4 (0%)
Overall	373/482~(77%)	208/261~(80%)	137/170~(81%)	28/51~(55%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	14	GLN	HB3	0.53	0.71 - 3.33	-5.7
1	А	27	ARG	NE	93.21	76.53 - 92.65	5.3
1	А	32	LYS	HB3	0.41	0.46 - 3.04	-5.2

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



