



## wwPDB EM Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Dec 11, 2022 – 06:11 am GMT

PDB ID : 6RZU  
EMDB ID : EMD-10063  
Title : Structure of s-Mgm1 decorating the outer surface of tubulated lipid membranes in the GTPgammaS bound state  
Authors : Faelber, K.; Dietrich, L.; Noel, J.K.; Sanchez, R.; Kudryashev, M.; Kuelbrandt, W.; Daumke, O.  
Deposited on : 2019-06-13  
Resolution : 14.70 Å(reported)

This is a wwPDB EM Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/EMValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:


EMDB validation analysis : 0.0.1.dev43  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
MapQ : 1.9.9  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.31.3

# 1 Overall quality at a glance i

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  
*ELECTRON MICROSCOPY*

The reported resolution of this entry is 14.70 Å.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.







Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	EM structures (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	4297
Ramachandran outliers	154571	4023
Sidechain outliers	154315	3826

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the map. The red, orange, yellow and green segments of the bar indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria respectively. A grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ . The upper red bar (where present) indicates the fraction of residues that have poor fit to the EM map (all-atom inclusion  $< 40\%$ ). The numeric value is given above the bar.

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">33%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 33%, orange 48%, yellow 63%, green 78%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">48%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">33%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">12%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	B	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">22%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 22%, orange 56%, yellow 71%, green 86%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">56%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">32%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">7%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	C	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">19%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 19%, orange 54%, yellow 69%, green 84%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">54%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">34%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">6%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	D	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">34%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 34%, orange 49%, yellow 64%, green 79%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">49%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">32%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">13%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	E	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">26%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 26%, orange 48%, yellow 63%, green 78%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">48%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">35%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">11%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	F	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">31%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 31%, orange 57%, yellow 72%, green 87%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">57%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">31%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">6%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	G	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">25%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 25%, orange 53%, yellow 68%, green 83%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">53%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">35%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">6%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>
1	H	695	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">55%</div> <div style="width: 100%; height: 15px; background: linear-gradient(to right, red 55%, orange 49%, yellow 64%, green 79%, grey 100%);"></div> <div style="text-align: center;">49%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">32%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">12%</div> <div style="text-align: center;">• 5%</div> </div>

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	I	695	
1	J	695	
1	K	695	
1	L	695	

## 2 Entry composition [i](#)


There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 61824 atoms, of which 0 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

In the tables below, the AltConf column contains the number of residues with at least one atom in alternate conformation and the Trace column contains the number of residues modelled with at most 2 atoms.


- Molecule 1 is a protein called Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					AltConf	Trace
			Total	C	N	O	S		
1	A	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	B	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	C	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	D	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	E	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	F	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	G	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	H	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	I	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	J	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	K	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0
1	L	659	5152	3243	910	982	17	0	0







• Molecule 1: Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein




• Molecule 1: Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein









• Molecule 1: Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein










• Molecule 1: Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein









• Molecule 1: Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein






• Molecule 1: Putative mitochondrial dynamin protein







## 4 Experimental information

Property	Value	Source
EM reconstruction method	SUBTOMOGRAM AVERAGING	Depositor
Imposed symmetry	POINT, C1	Depositor
Number of subtomograms used	9471	Depositor
Resolution determination method	FSC 0.143 CUT-OFF	Depositor
CTF correction method	PHASE FLIPPING ONLY; CTF determination was done by Gctf and correction was performed by ctfphaseflip from Imod	Depositor
Microscope	FEI TITAN KRIOS	Depositor
Voltage (kV)	300	Depositor
Electron dose ( $e^-/\text{\AA}^2$ )	2	Depositor
Minimum defocus (nm)	2000	Depositor
Maximum defocus (nm)	4000	Depositor
Magnification	53000	Depositor
Image detector	GATAN K2 SUMMIT (4k x 4k)	Depositor
Maximum map value	7.692	Depositor
Minimum map value	-4.498	Depositor
Average map value	0.207	Depositor
Map value standard deviation	1.019	Depositor
Recommended contour level	2.0	Depositor
Map size ( $\text{\AA}$ )	324.0, 324.0, 324.0	wwPDB
Map dimensions	120, 120, 120	wwPDB
Map angles ( $^\circ$ )	90.0, 90.0, 90.0	wwPDB
Pixel spacing ( $\text{\AA}$ )	2.7, 2.7, 2.7	Depositor

## 5 Model quality i

### 5.1 Standard geometry i

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	# Z  >5	RMSZ	# Z  >5
1	A	1.52	34/5238 (0.6%)	1.12	20/7075 (0.3%)
1	B	1.50	29/5238 (0.6%)	1.05	13/7075 (0.2%)
1	C	1.48	25/5238 (0.5%)	1.08	15/7075 (0.2%)
1	D	1.52	29/5238 (0.6%)	1.11	23/7075 (0.3%)
1	E	1.52	30/5238 (0.6%)	1.12	16/7075 (0.2%)
1	F	1.50	22/5238 (0.4%)	1.07	19/7075 (0.3%)
1	G	1.59	23/5238 (0.4%)	1.06	20/7075 (0.3%)
1	H	1.53	26/5238 (0.5%)	1.13	17/7075 (0.2%)
1	I	1.47	18/5238 (0.3%)	1.09	21/7075 (0.3%)
1	J	1.53	27/5238 (0.5%)	1.11	14/7075 (0.2%)
1	K	1.53	29/5238 (0.6%)	1.12	20/7075 (0.3%)
1	L	1.57	33/5238 (0.6%)	1.07	15/7075 (0.2%)
All	All	1.52	325/62856 (0.5%)	1.09	213/84900 (0.3%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	#Chirality outliers	#Planarity outliers
1	A	0	12
1	B	0	11
1	C	0	12
1	D	0	18
1	E	0	15
1	F	0	16
1	G	0	14
1	H	0	13
1	I	0	19
1	J	0	12
1	K	0	18
1	L	0	12
All	All	0	172



The worst 5 of 325 bond length outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)
1	G	777	GLY	CA-C	40.10	2.16	1.51
1	L	779	PHE	CG-CD2	15.07	1.61	1.38
1	L	779	PHE	CG-CD1	14.74	1.60	1.38
1	L	779	PHE	CE1-CZ	14.55	1.65	1.37
1	L	779	PHE	CD2-CE2	13.13	1.65	1.39

The worst 5 of 213 bond angle outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)
1	K	882	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	-12.81	113.89	120.30
1	H	413	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-11.49	114.55	120.30
1	L	287	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-10.13	115.23	120.30
1	H	506	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-9.78	115.41	120.30
1	L	238	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	9.63	125.12	120.30

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 172 planarity outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group
1	A	388	ARG	Sidechain
1	A	444	ARG	Sidechain
1	A	491	GLU	Sidechain
1	A	583	ASP	Sidechain
1	A	600	ARG	Sidechain

## 5.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in the chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes within the asymmetric unit, whereas Symm-Clashes lists symmetry-related clashes.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes	Symm-Clashes
1	A	5152	0	5221	412	0
1	B	5152	0	5223	199	0
1	C	5152	0	5223	195	0
1	D	5152	0	5222	498	0
1	E	5152	0	5221	462	0
1	F	5152	0	5223	167	0
1	G	5152	0	5223	242	0

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes	Symm-Clashes
1	H	5152	0	5222	440	0
1	I	5152	0	5223	214	0
1	J	5152	0	5222	402	0
1	K	5152	0	5222	454	0
1	L	5152	0	5223	214	0
All	All	61824	0	62668	3743	0

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 30.

The worst 5 of 3743 close contacts within the same asymmetric unit are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Interatomic distance (Å)	Clash overlap (Å)
1:E:441:LEU:HD23	1:E:498:VAL:CB	1.21	1.67
1:D:441:LEU:HD23	1:D:498:VAL:CB	1.25	1.64
1:K:441:LEU:CD2	1:K:498:VAL:HB	1.27	1.64
1:H:426:ILE:CD1	1:H:440:ILE:HG22	1.17	1.61
1:D:426:ILE:CD1	1:D:440:ILE:HG22	1.28	1.60

There are no symmetry-related clashes.

## 5.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 5.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all EM entries.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	651/695 (94%)	623 (96%)	26 (4%)	2 (0%)	41 77
1	B	651/695 (94%)	618 (95%)	30 (5%)	3 (0%)	29 69
1	C	651/695 (94%)	619 (95%)	29 (4%)	3 (0%)	29 69
1	D	651/695 (94%)	620 (95%)	29 (4%)	2 (0%)	41 77
1	E	651/695 (94%)	621 (95%)	28 (4%)	2 (0%)	41 77

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	F	651/695 (94%)	624 (96%)	25 (4%)	2 (0%)	41	77
1	G	651/695 (94%)	616 (95%)	31 (5%)	4 (1%)	25	66
1	H	651/695 (94%)	620 (95%)	30 (5%)	1 (0%)	47	81
1	I	651/695 (94%)	608 (93%)	37 (6%)	6 (1%)	17	57
1	J	651/695 (94%)	621 (95%)	27 (4%)	3 (0%)	29	69
1	K	651/695 (94%)	619 (95%)	31 (5%)	1 (0%)	47	81
1	L	651/695 (94%)	614 (94%)	33 (5%)	4 (1%)	25	66
All	All	7812/8340 (94%)	7423 (95%)	356 (5%)	33 (0%)	38	72

5 of 33 Ramachandran outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	G	776	ALA
1	E	388	ARG
1	J	260	SER
1	B	260	SER
1	B	611	GLU

### 5.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all EM entries.

The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed, and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	563/594 (95%)	459 (82%)	104 (18%)	1	9
1	B	563/594 (95%)	492 (87%)	71 (13%)	4	19
1	C	563/594 (95%)	489 (87%)	74 (13%)	4	18
1	D	563/594 (95%)	459 (82%)	104 (18%)	1	9
1	E	563/594 (95%)	468 (83%)	95 (17%)	2	12
1	F	563/594 (95%)	489 (87%)	74 (13%)	4	18
1	G	563/594 (95%)	497 (88%)	66 (12%)	5	21
1	H	563/594 (95%)	458 (81%)	105 (19%)	1	9
1	I	563/594 (95%)	484 (86%)	79 (14%)	3	17

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	J	563/594 (95%)	460 (82%)	103 (18%)	1	10
1	K	563/594 (95%)	464 (82%)	99 (18%)	2	11
1	L	563/594 (95%)	494 (88%)	69 (12%)	4	19
All	All	6756/7128 (95%)	5713 (85%)	1043 (15%)	6	14

5 of 1043 residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	K	431	LEU
1	K	631	GLN
1	K	430	ASP
1	L	844	GLU
1	E	388	ARG

Sometimes sidechains can be flipped to improve hydrogen bonding and reduce clashes. 5 of 78 such sidechains are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	I	563	HIS
1	K	657	GLN
1	I	657	GLN
1	J	657	GLN
1	L	563	HIS

### 5.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 5.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 5.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 5.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 5.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such residues in this entry.

## 5.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

The following chains have linkage breaks:

Mol	Chain	Number of breaks
1	A	1
1	E	1
1	J	1
1	D	1
1	H	1
1	K	1

The worst 5 of 6 chain breaks are listed below:

Model	Chain	Residue-1	Atom-1	Residue-2	Atom-2	Distance (Å)
1	A	253:PRO	C	254:SER	N	1.16
1	E	253:PRO	C	254:SER	N	1.15
1	J	253:PRO	C	254:SER	N	1.14
1	D	253:PRO	C	254:SER	N	1.13
1	H	253:PRO	C	254:SER	N	1.12


## 6 Map visualisation [i](#)

This section contains visualisations of the EMDB entry EMD-10063. These allow visual inspection of the internal detail of the map and identification of artifacts.


Images derived from a raw map, generated by summing the deposited half-maps, are presented below the corresponding image components of the primary map to allow further visual inspection and comparison with those of the primary map.

### 6.1 Orthogonal projections [i](#)

#### 6.1.1 Primary map



X




Y




Z


#### 6.1.2 Raw map



X



Y



Z

The images above show the map projected in three orthogonal directions.

## 6.2 Central slices [i](#)

### 6.2.1 Primary map



X Index: 60




Y Index: 60




Z Index: 60

### 6.2.2 Raw map



X Index: 60



Y Index: 60



Z Index: 60

The images above show central slices of the map in three orthogonal directions.

## 6.3 Largest variance slices [i](#)


### 6.3.1 Primary map



X Index: 63




Y Index: 37




Z Index: 42


### 6.3.2 Raw map



X Index: 119



Y Index: 1



Z Index: 1

The images above show the largest variance slices of the map in three orthogonal directions.




## 6.4 Orthogonal surface views [i](#)

### 6.4.1 Primary map



X



Y




Z

The images above show the 3D surface view of the map at the recommended contour level 2.0. These images, in conjunction with the slice images, may facilitate assessment of whether an appropriate contour level has been provided.

### 6.4.2 Raw map



X



Y



Z

These images show the 3D surface of the raw map. The raw map's contour level was selected so that its surface encloses the same volume as the primary map does at its recommended contour level.


## 6.5 Mask visualisation [i](#)

This section shows the 3D surface view of the primary map at 50% transparency overlaid with the specified mask at 0% transparency


A mask typically either:

- Encompasses the whole structure
- Separates out a domain, a functional unit, a monomer or an area of interest from a larger structure


### 6.5.1 emd\_10063\_msk\_1.map [i](#)



X



Y




Z

## 7 Map analysis [i](#)


This section contains the results of statistical analysis of the map.

### 7.1 Map-value distribution [i](#)



The map-value distribution is plotted in 128 intervals along the x-axis. The y-axis is logarithmic. A spike in this graph at zero usually indicates that the volume has been masked.


## 7.2 Volume estimate [i](#)



The volume at the recommended contour level is 2386 nm<sup>3</sup>; this corresponds to an approximate mass of 2155 kDa.

The volume estimate graph shows how the enclosed volume varies with the contour level. The recommended contour level is shown as a vertical line and the intersection between the line and the curve gives the volume of the enclosed surface at the given level.

### 7.3 Rotationally averaged power spectrum [i](#)




\*Reported resolution corresponds to spatial frequency of 0.068 Å<sup>-1</sup>

## 8 Fourier-Shell correlation [i](#)

Fourier-Shell Correlation (FSC) is the most commonly used method to estimate the resolution of single-particle and subtomogram-averaged maps. The shape of the curve depends on the imposed symmetry, mask and whether or not the two 3D reconstructions used were processed from a common reference. The reported resolution is shown as a black line. A curve is displayed for the half-bit criterion in addition to lines showing the 0.143 gold standard cut-off and 0.5 cut-off.

### 8.1 FSC [i](#)



\*Reported resolution corresponds to spatial frequency of 0.068 Å<sup>-1</sup>

## 8.2 Resolution estimates [i](#)


Resolution estimate (Å)	Estimation criterion (FSC cut-off)		
	0.143	0.5	Half-bit
Reported by author	14.70	-	-
Author-provided FSC curve	14.39	17.79	14.60
Unmasked-calculated*	17.48	24.27	17.83

\*Resolution estimate based on FSC curve calculated by comparison of deposited half-maps. The value from deposited half-maps intersecting FSC 0.143 CUT-OFF 17.48 differs from the reported value 14.7 by more than 10 %

## 9 Map-model fit [i](#)

This section contains information regarding the fit between EMDB map EMD-10063 and PDB model 6RZU. Per-residue inclusion information can be found in section 3 on page 5.


### 9.1 Map-model overlay [i](#)



The images above show the 3D surface view of the map at the recommended contour level 2.0 at 50% transparency in yellow overlaid with a ribbon representation of the model coloured in blue. These images allow for the visual assessment of the quality of fit between the atomic model and the map.




## 9.2 Q-score mapped to coordinate model [\(i\)](#)




The images above show the model with each residue coloured according to its Q-score. This shows their resolvability in the map with higher Q-score values reflecting better resolvability. Please note: Q-score is calculating the resolvability of atoms, and thus high values are only expected at resolutions at which atoms can be resolved. Low Q-score values may therefore be expected for many entries.

## 9.3 Atom inclusion mapped to coordinate model [\(i\)](#)



The images above show the model with each residue coloured according to its atom inclusion. This shows to what extent they are inside the map at the recommended contour level (2.0).



























## 9.4 Atom inclusion [i](#)



At the recommended contour level, 65% of all backbone atoms, 61% of all non-hydrogen atoms, are inside the map.

## 9.5 Map-model fit summary

The table lists the average atom inclusion at the recommended contour level (2.0) and Q-score for the entire model and for each chain.

Chain	Atom inclusion	Q-score
All	 0.6052	 0.0680
A	 0.6139	 0.0690
B	 0.7147	 0.0760
C	 0.7583	 0.0750
D	 0.5957	 0.0770
E	 0.6793	 0.0720
F	 0.6288	 0.0760
G	 0.6886	 0.0760
H	 0.3904	 0.0390
I	 0.4900	 0.0680
J	 0.6779	 0.0750
K	 0.6571	 0.0710
L	 0.3682	 0.0430

